

# Wavelet Analysis and Autoregressive Modeling of the Electromyography Signal

James E. Whitney, II and Amanda K. Nebel

**Abstract**— Electromyography(EMG) serves many purposes in the biomedical field, from the diagnosis and analysis of patient medical conditions to use for patient rehabilitation. EMG usage in rehabilitation settings includes helping train patients who may have undergone trauma through accidents, or medical conditions. EMG signal analysis can also help in restoring patient ambulatory capability by modeling muscle function and creating adaptive models for use in assistive-technology hardware. Depending on the exact application, due to the amount of data obtainable from the EMG signal it is potentially more advantageous to decrease the amount of data required for the application, while maintaining the fidelity of the information contained in the data. This is particularly true when EMG data is used in assistive-technology devices. In this case it is desired to use a smaller subset of the raw data. This can be accomplished through correct modeling of the data. Two candidate methods for this analysis and modeling are the wavelet transform and autoregressive modeling.

**Keywords**—autoregressive modeling, electromyography, EMG, wavelet analysis.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Electromyography (EMG) is a technique for evaluating and recording the electrical activity produced by the muscular system. EMG is performed using an electronic instrument called an electromyograph. The electromyograph measures the minute electrical signals created by the flexing and movement of muscles, i.e., by detecting the electrical potential generated by muscle cells when these cells are electrically or neurologically activated, and produces a record called an electromyogram. The recorded electrical signals can be viewed, interpreted, and processed to determine activation level or recruitment order, to detect medical abnormalities, or to analyze the function of human or animal movement. EMG analysis is used to not only detect disease states, but is also applied in the diagnosis of, i.e., sports injuries [1]. In [2], EMG is used to find the most useful exercises to fully activate the muscle groups used by major league pitchers, who have injured shoulder scapular muscles to help in the rehabilitation.

Signal processing techniques such as the Short-Time Fourier

Transform (STFT) and wavelet transforms can be used for modeling EMG processes and parameter estimation for control system design. The models and parameters are utilized by the control system to determine the general diagnosis of the user.

Electromyogram results are used for diagnosing muscular diseases, including neuropathies and myopathies. Neuropathy is any disease that damages the peripheral nerves present in and around the muscles. Neuropathic disease has some defining EMG characteristics. One factor is a muscle signal whose action-potential amplitude is twice that of a normal muscle signal. This is due to the increased number of fibers-per-motor-unit because of the compensation for dead or damaged fibers. This compensation is called reinnervation. Another factor is an increase in duration of the action potential, which decreases the frequency of the overall signal. The EMG associated with neuropathies shows very active motor unit action potentials (MUAPs) even at rest [3].

Myopathy is any disease that causes damage to the muscle fibers. Myopathic diseases also have a few defining EMG characteristics, most notable are decreases in duration and area-to-amplitude ratio of the action potential. Patients with myopathy have a decrease in the number of motor units in the muscle.

Fig. 1 shows three examples of EMG signals: 1) a healthy patient, 2) a patient with neuropathy, and 3) a patient with myopathy. The test point for all subjects was the same; the tibialis anterior muscle. It is located on the lower leg (the shin) and aids in moving the foot up, or the toes closer to the shin.

J. E. Whitney, II is with Morgan State University, Signals and Sensors (SIGSENS) Laboratory, Baltimore, MD 21251 USA. (phone: 443-885-3067; e-mail: james.whitney@morgan.edu).

A.K. Nebel is with the Community College of Baltimore County, and continues part-time in the Morgan State University Signals and Sensors (SIGSENS) Laboratory, Baltimore, MD 21251 USA (e-mail: amnebl@morgan.edu).




Fig. 1 normal, neuropathic, and myopathic EMG signals [4]

## II. EMG STRUCTURE

A typical electromyograph (EMG) consists of three main parts: three electrodes, a multi-stage high gain amplifier, and some form of digital signal processing. Fig. 2 is a block diagram of what the structure of an electromyograph typically looks like.




Fig. 2 general electromyograph structure

There are two different types of EMG's, classified based on the type of electrode used. Intramuscular electromyography (iEMG) is done by inserting needle electrodes or a needle containing two fine-wire electrodes through the skin into the muscle tissue. A trained professional is authorized to read the electrical signal activity after inserting the electrode. As a downside, intramuscular EMG may be considered too invasive and uncomfortable, especially for younger patients.

Instead, a surface, or stick-on, electrode may be used to monitor the general function of the muscle's activation, instead of the activity of a select number of fibers [5]. Surface electromyography (sEMG) reads the muscle signals from the surface of the skin and can be a better choice since it is less invasive and does not cause electrical irritation in the muscles. This irritation, which is caused by insertion of the needle electrodes, can muddle the information obtained by the EMG.

The surface electrodes are stuck to the skin at three points: at the middle of the muscle, at the end of the muscle, and at a bony portion of the limb, such as on the elbow or knee.

Both iEMG and sEMG have their benefits and drawbacks. iEMG is typically viewed as the standard for assessing neurophysiological characteristics of neuromuscular diseases [1],[3]. This is because of the benefit of an iEMG electrode to probe a particular muscle and very accurately determine the location of specific MUAPs. This can be particularly important when diagnosing myopathies, where only individual muscles might be affected, in a group of normally responsive muscles. The primary drawback is that iEMG is a clinical procedure requiring the necessity to insert the needle electrodes.

sEMG techniques have the benefit of easily attachable electrodes. While still a very useful technique, sEMG has several drawbacks: the ability to measure only superficial muscles; the measurements include any muscle activity within the measurement periphery of the surface electrode, hence, making it difficult to isolate specific MUAPs associated with a particular muscle.

Myoelectric signals are quite small, in the range of tens-of-microvolts to tens-of-millivolts, depending on the muscle being measured. As such, the amplifier portion of the EMG is essential. One researched example of an electromyograph had a total gain of 1200V/V. Of special importance is the instrumentation amplifier, as its high common-mode rejection ratio cancels out much of the noise picked up by the natural antenna that is the human body.

Other noise, including the 60 Hz frequency that is common to most AC-powered electrical equipment, must be filtered out using the digital signal processing stage of the EMG. The 60 Hz band is removed using a band stop or notch filter. This type of filter must be used, as 60 Hz is in the middle of the 0-to-500 Hz common range of frequency of the myoelectric signals [6]. The exact values differ based on whether the patient is healthy, or is suffering from myopathy or neuropathy.

Other sources of noise or distortion include skin resistance or motion artifacts, clipping, baseline drift, and processing errors [7].

## III. SIGNAL ANALYSIS

As shown in Fig. 1, three EMG signals were obtained from the PhysioNET database: one from a healthy patient, one from a patient with neuropathy and one from a patient with myopathy. The signals are analyzed to determine defining characteristics as well as relative differences between the three types. The modeling is done to create ideal signals to compare to the received voltages. Results of the comparison will provide information to the control system which correction maneuver, if any, should be done. For modeling, autoregressive, fuzzy logic, or neural network systems can be used to model various signals. In this case, autoregressive modeling was implemented.

To better assess the difference in signals, spectrogram analysis was performed on each of the signals using MATLAB. Creating a spectrogram using the Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT) is a process in which data sampled in the time domain, is broken up into segments and Fourier-transformed to calculate the magnitude of the frequency spectrum for each portion. Each piece then corresponds to a vertical line or block in the image. The pieces are then laid side by side to form the image.

The continuous wavelet transform was also used on each signal. A wavelet transform (WT) is used to divide a continuous-time function into wavelets. In contrast to the STFT, the continuous wavelet transform possesses the ability to construct a time-frequency representation of a signal that allows frequency and amplitude to be located at a particular time. Analysis of the provided signals will aid in the modelling of clinically obtained muscular signals.

The wavelet transform can be performed by using different wavelet families. Each of these families has a particularly shaped mother wavelet which is then compressed and/or dilated. These differing shapes allow the analyzer to get more information from the signal that is being studied. The wavelet family whose shape more similarly matches the original signal waveform will give more information about that signal. For each of these myoelectric signals, both the Morlet and Daubechies wavelets were utilized. In all three cases, the Morlet wavelet was found to give more accurate information about the original signal.

For the healthy patient, when the muscle is smoothly contracted, action potentials begin to appear. As the strength and duration of the muscle contraction are increased, an increased number of muscle fibers produce action potentials. When the muscle is fully contracted, there should appear a non-periodic and apparently random group of action potentials of varying rates and amplitudes. When the muscle is not contracted, there should be no electrical activity whatsoever. Fig. 3 is the MATLAB-plotted values of a healthy tibialis anterior muscle obtained from PhysioNET.




Fig. 3 EMG of a healthy subject

Time (in seconds) is along the horizontal axis, and the voltage (in millivolts) is along the vertical axis. Fig. 4 shows the spectrogram of the healthy patient's myoelectric signal.




Fig 4 Spectrogram of the EMG of a healthy subject

The spectrogram shows varying frequencies from 0-to-600 Hz. Most are lower amplitudes but the darker color at two seconds, four seconds, and ten seconds shows higher-amplitude signal components. This correlates with the data from the original signal. Fig. 5 shows the Continuous Wavelet Transform (CWT) of the healthy signal using the Daubechies 4 wavelet.




Fig. 5 Daubechies CWT of the EMG of a healthy subject.

The CWT shows a three-dimensional view of the time-frequency representation of our healthy signal. It is a smooth graph due to the difference in shape of the Daubechies 4 wavelet as compared to the waveform of the EMG signal. Fig. 6 shows another form of the CWT called the Morlet Wavelet.






Fig. 6 Morlet CWT of the EMG of a healthy subject.

Here the signal has a more jagged response due to the Morlet wavelet being very similar to the shape of the healthy individual's muscular waveform. The wavelets verify the spectrogram results that there are varying frequencies present the whole time, most of which have low amplitudes. The only exceptions are those amplitudes located as illustrated in Fig.'s 2 and 3, at times of two-, four-, and ten-seconds.

A person suffering from myopathy has damage within the muscle itself. There is a decrease in the duration of the action potentials. The myopathy signal in Fig. 7 shows that the amplitude voltages change more quickly and that the action potential duration shortens.




Fig. 7 EMG of a myopathic subject

The spectrogram of a myopathy signal is given in Fig. 8. The spectrogram shows varying frequencies with medium-to-high amplitudes, with the highest amplitudes between the frequencies for 0-to-1000 Hz, which are present for the duration of the signal acquisition.




Fig. 8 Spectrogram of the EMG of a myopathic subject

The Daubechies wavelet, Fig. 9, shows a smoother representation of the myopathy signal, which still verifies the characteristics of a patient with myopathy.




Fig. 9 Daubechies CWT of the EMG of a myopathic subject.

The Morlet wavelet (Fig. 10) however, shows that the amplitudes are actually higher than the Daubechies results suggest.




Fig. 10 Morlet CWT of myopathic signal

A person who suffers from neuropathy has endured damage

to the nerves inside the muscles. An EMG of a person with neuropathy will show an increase in duration of the action potentials. It will also show a decrease in the reaction time of the remaining motor neurons because of the attempt to compensate for the damaged or missing ones. Fig. 11 shows the plotted data from the patient with neuropathy.




Fig. 11 EMG signal for a neuropathic subject.

It is unknown whether the higher-amplitude signal component starting at approximately eleven seconds is an error or part of the useful data. Fig. 12 is the spectrogram of the neuropathic patient's electromyogram.




Fig. 12 Spectrogram of the EMG signal for a neuropathic subject

It shows medium-high amplitude frequency bands at 400 Hz and 1100 Hz, and a medium amplitude frequency band at 3000 Hz. All amplitudes after twelve seconds are high and of varying frequencies, which correlates with the graphing of the raw data results. The wavelets shown were taken using scales from 25 to 225, which emphasizes the lower frequencies. Both are approximately the same shape, with low amplitudes up to the very high amplitude shown in the data and spectrogram. The Morlet CWT shows higher amplitude at that point than the Daubechies CWT shows (Fig. 13 and Fig. 14 respectively).




Fig. 13 Morlet CWT of the EMG signal for a neuropathic subject.




Fig. 14 Daubechies CWT of the EMG signal for a neuropathic subject.

#### IV. SIGNAL MODELING

In order to efficiently diagnose the various classes of pathologies, i.e., myopathies, neuropathologies, as well as normal functioning, it would be necessary to follow the prior modeling steps with a classifier stage such as, i.e., a state vector machine. It can be seen that autoregressive (AR) modeling could replace thousands of bytes of data with a model with as few as 10 – 30 AR coefficients. The results of the modeling are shown in Fig.'s 15 – 29, the modeled response illustrated with the thicker, smoother lines, and the original data, shown with a thinner, more variable line.

Each model uses the Yule-Walker autoregressive method to obtain its coefficients. This method estimates the power spectral density of the signal by creating an autoregressive model that fits the sectioned or windowed input data [8]. Human speech, the most complicated commonly-modeled



signal, requires a model order of fourteen coefficients to create a sufficient model, thus for the EMG modeling an initial model order of 20 was utilized to give some flexibility margin to the estimator. Model orders of 10, 15, 25, and 30 were also tried to provide comparison and contrast. Due to the amount of variation in the signals as a whole, the modeling would need to be performed on blocks of data. Ideally, several larger sets of data could be utilized to come up with ranges for the average values of the modeled parameters, thus adding robustness to the classifier.




Fig. 15 10<sup>th</sup>- order autoregressive model of healthy EMG




Fig. 16 15<sup>th</sup>-order autoregressive model (healthy)




Fig. 17 twentieth order autoregressive model (EMG)




Fig. 18 25<sup>th</sup>-order AR model (healthy)




Fig. 19 30<sup>th</sup>-order AR model (healthy)

There is an obvious progression in the quality of the model as the order, or number of coefficients, increases from ten to thirty. Processing 30 coefficients is not a problem for, i.e., a desktop computer, but for use in an embedded environment such as controlling the assistive device, the minimum number of coefficients to successfully control the device should be determined. While not explored in this work, the Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) could be used to determine optimum model order. To determine the exact pathological condition, the signal processing techniques explored here – wavelet analysis [9], autoregressive (AR), or even autoregressive-moving average (ARMA) models could be combined with a support vector machine (SVM) [10].




Fig. 20 10<sup>th</sup>-order autoregressive model of myopathic EMG




Fig. 21 15<sup>th</sup>-order autoregressive model (myopathic)




Fig. 22 20<sup>th</sup>-order AR model (myopathic)




Fig. 23 25<sup>th</sup>-order AR model (myopathic)









Fig. 24 30<sup>th</sup>-order AR model (myopathic)



Fig. 25 10<sup>th</sup>-order AR model of neuropathic EMGFig. 28 25<sup>th</sup>-order AR model (neuropathic)Fig. 26 15<sup>th</sup>-order autoregressive model (neuropathic)Fig. 29 30<sup>th</sup>-order AR model (neuropathic)Fig. 27 20<sup>th</sup>-order AR model (neuropathic)

## V. CONTROL SYSTEM

A control system utilizing the results of the signal modeling and analysis can be implemented in a number of different ways. Ideally, the autoregressive models would be compared, but the same could be done with the spectrograms or wavelet transforms.

The spectrograms – and, the autoregressive models in particular – work well for this application. They give general information about the signal, which allows for the natural differences between various patients. Without this generalization, it would be nearly impossible to be able to match any two signals, and even more difficult to ensure that the correct match (i.e., diagnosis of myopathy or neuropathy) would be made.

In a clinical setting, the electromyograph would record ten seconds of muscle activity data, which was the approximate length of the data sets from PhysioNET. The microcontroller would then take a few different sections of the data, modeling them with the same autoregressive technique used here. It would then compare these models in succession to those stored



of a healthy individual, an individual with myopathy, and an individual with neuropathy.

The matching process would have a slight variance to provide allowance for differences between patients. With a 75% match or better, the braces would be adjusted for one of three actions. If the models matched that of a healthy patient, no additive processing would occur for the braces. Generally, no corrective action would be needed for a healthy individual, but the data would still be available to the device for comparison purposes. If the models of the received signal matched that of a patient with myopathy, the braces would be setup to provide the maximum support. This action was chosen because myopathy causes more weakness in the muscles than neuropathy causes. If the models matched that of a patient with neuropathy, then the braces would be setup to provide a slight amount of additional support and stability, as maximum support would be unnecessary. If no match is made, the doctor or clinician would be alerted by the braces that more information is needed or that there is some sort of error in the processing.

## VI. CONCLUSION

Signal processing and analysis play an important part in utilizing electromyograms to control a rehabilitative or assistive device. In particular, parameter estimation can be used to create models that can be utilized by the assistive technology's control system to aid in increasing the patient's ability to perambulate on their own.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Sebastian, P. Kumar, and M. Schoen, "Spatial filter masks optimization using genetic algorithm and modeling dynamic behavior of sEMG and finger force signals", *International Journal of Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing*, Issue 6, Vol. 5, 2011, pp. 597-608.
- [2] J. B. Moseley, F. W. Jobe, M. Pink, J. Perry, J. Tibone, "EMG analysis of the scapular muscles during a shoulder rehabilitation program", *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, March 1992, Vol. 20, No. 2, pp. 128-134.
- [3] R. Istenic, P. Kaplanis, et. al., "Analysis of neuromuscular disorders using statistical and entropy metrics on surface EMG", *WSEAS Transactions on Signal Processing*
- [4] S. Rutkove. (Ed. 2011, December 16). Examples of Electromyograms. [Online]. Available: <http://www.physionet.org/physiobank/database/emgdb/>
- [5] M. B. I. Reaz, M. S. Hussain, and F. Mohd-Yasin, "Techniques of EMG signal analysis: detection, processing, classification and applications", *Biol. Proced.*, 2006, 8(1), pp. 11-35.
- [6] C. J. DeLuca, "Surface Electromyography: Detection and Recording", *Delsys Incorporated*, 2002. [Online]. Available: <http://www.delsys.com/library/papers/SEMGintro.pdf>
- [7] S. Siriprayoonsaki, "Real-time measurement of prehensile EMG signals", M.S. Thesis, Dept. of Comp. Sci., San Diego St. Univ., San Diego, CA, 2005.
- [8] S. Singh, "Yule Walker Method", PowerPoint Presentation, [Online], Oct. 31, 2012. Available: [www.slideshare.net/contactsarbjee/yule-walker-method](http://www.slideshare.net/contactsarbjee/yule-walker-method)
- [9] M. S. Hussain, M. B. I. Reaz, and M. I. Ibrahimy, "SEMG signal processing and analysis using wavelet transform and higher order statistics to characterize muscle force", 12<sup>th</sup> WSEAS International Conference on Systems, Iraklion, Greece, July 22 – 24.
- [10] G. Kaur, A. Shatru, and V. Jain, "Multi-class support vector machine classifier in EMG diagnosis", *WSEAS Transactions on Signal Processing*.

**James E. Whitney** earned his PhD. degree in electrical engineering from Marquette University in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, in 1995. He earned his M.S. degree in electrical engineering from The Catholic University of America, Washington, D.C., in 1992, and his B.S. degree in electrical engineering from the University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, in 1985. He is currently at Morgan State University, Baltimore, Maryland where he is an Associate Professor in the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department and is also Director of the signals and sensors (SIGSENS) laboratory. He has worked for, or collaborated with scientists and engineers at the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Naval Undersea Warfare Center (NUWC), Newport Rhode Island, Johns Hopkins University and Applied Physics Laboratory (JHU-APL), and Norsk Rikskringkasting (NRK) in Oslo, Norway. He has served, and continues to serve as a consultant to several universities and commercial clients. Dr. Whitney is a member the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE), Audio Engineering Society (AES), and American Society of Engineering Educators (ASEE). His current and past research is in the areas of signal processing including: high-performance embedded computing via DSP and FPGA's, autonomous algorithms, acoustics, optimization, and detection/estimation.

**Amanda K. Nebel** earned a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from Morgan State University, Baltimore, Maryland, in 2013. She currently works part time at the Community College of Baltimore County as a Tutor, and at Morgan State University as a Research Assistant in the Signals and Sensors (SIGSENS) Laboratory.