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#### Abstract

In this paper are investigated some methods for parallel computation of the QR decomposition method of matrices. A mathematical approach is based on the method of given's rotation and the method of householder reflection. The mathematical background is followed by the corresponding array which uses systolic approach. In both cases the systolic array is triangular array. On the case of the systolic array based on given's rotation, parallelization continues step by step as it is shown at figures 5 and 6 . The output values of figure 5 become the input for figure 6 and vice versa, the output values of figure 6 become the input for figure 5 . This kind of iteration is repeated until achieving the convergence.
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## I. Introduction

THe computation of the QR decomposition of a matrix is one of the most important matrix problems that arises in many applications. The domain of its application is very large. It can be used as an important tool in solving different problems in the area of signal processing, image processing, solution of differential equations etc. It can be applied in the solving of simultaneous linear equations. The $Q R$ decomposition of a matrix uses the triangularization of the coefficient matrix, followed by the use of back substitution. Most of the $Q R$-decomposition implementations are based on three methods:

1. The Given's rotation method, (also known as Jacobi rotations) used by W. Givens and originally invented by Jacobi
2. The Gram-Schmidt method and
3. The method with the Householder transformations.

The Householder transformation is one of the most computationally efficient methods to compute the QRdecomposition of a matrix. Although the error analysis [10, 26] shows that the Householder transformation outperforms the Given's method under finite precision computation, the QR decomposition of this method is more difficult. Especially, the systolic approach is difficult because we have to find only local connections. On the other hand, by the method of givens rotations, the order of rotations can be changed influencing
different rows. Taking this into the consideration, the parallel processing of this method is very appropriate.

There is a lot of research done in the area of the decomposition of matrices as well as the parallel computation of such decompositions. In the case of the SVD decomposition of matrices we are mentioning the methods based on Jacobi rotations [3] and the method based on Hestenes-Jacobi method [7]. Interesting method for evaluation of sparse Jacobians can be found in [20]. These approaches are followed by the systolic arrays for the parallelization of the SVD decomposition [1, 19, 21]. Using the adaptive singular value decomposition can be found in [24]. The spectral Decomposition of some tridiagonal matrices one can found at [9, 17].
In this paper we analyze the case of the QR decomposition method. The basic idea of the QR -decomposition of a matrix is to express a given $m \times n$ matrix $A$ in the form $A=Q R$, where Q is an orthonormal $m \times n$ matrix and R is an $n \times n$ upper triangular matrix with nonzero diagonal entries.

A parallel version of Given's rotation was proposed in [16]. In [14] one can find an alternative way for parallelization of Given's rotation which is more efficient for larger matrices. In [8] it is given a parallel pipeline version of Given's rotation for thr QR decomposition. In [2] one can find the block version of the QR decomposition, which first transforms the matrix into the Hassenberg form and then applies Given's rotation to it. In [11] one can find the design based on Householder method. In [23] is presented a new algorithm for finding QR decomposition for square and full column matrix. The numerical analysis and experiment is given in [15]. In [6] is demonstrated a parallel algorithm based on the GramSchmidt method.
The analysis in this paper uses the givens rotation method [12] and the householder method [25]. In [12, 22] are proposed two systolic arrays for the QR decomposition with hardware complexity $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ and time complexity $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ which are based on the method of Given's rotation. The systolic approach based on the same method can be found at [18].
In this paper we give the mathematical backround of the QR decomposition method, and then we analyze the corresponding parallelization for processing with this method.

## II. The QR decomposition Based on Given's Rotation

The upper triangular matrix is obtained using sequences of Given's rotations [3] such that the subdiagonal elements of the first column are nullified first, followed by those of the second column and so forth, until an upper triangular matrix is
reached. The procedure can be written in the form given below:
$Q^{T} A=R$
where $Q^{T}=Q_{n-1} Q_{n-2} \ldots Q_{1}$
and $Q_{p}=Q^{p, p} Q^{p+1, p} \ldots Q^{n-1, p}$
where $Q^{p, q}$ is the Given's rotation operator used to annihilate the matrix element located at row $q+1$ and column $p$. When we work with $2 \times 2$ matrices, an elementary Given's transformation has the form:
$\left[\begin{array}{cc}c & s \\ -s & c\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & \ldots & 0 & r_{i} & r_{i+1} & \ldots & r_{k} \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & x_{i} & x_{i+1} & \ldots & x_{k}\end{array}\right]=$
$\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & \ldots & 0 & r_{i}^{\prime} & r_{i+1}^{\prime} & \ldots & r_{k}^{\prime} \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & x_{i+1}^{\prime} & \ldots & x_{k}^{\prime}\end{array}\right]$
where $c$ and $S$ are the cosine and the sine of the annihilation angle, such that

It is not difficult to verify that the product of two rotations is also rotation. Let A be an nxn matrix. In order to transform A into an upper triangular matrix R, we can find a product of rotations $Q^{T}=Q_{n-1} Q_{n-2} \ldots Q_{1}$ such that $Q^{T} A=R$. It is not difficult to show that $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ rotations are required. Because the number of operations in every rotation is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$, the complexity of this algorithm will be $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}\right)$. In general, the computational complexity of the QR decomposition is given below [6].

1. Householder: $4 / 3 n^{3}+O\left(n^{2}\right)$
2. Given's: $8 / 3 n^{3}+O\left(n^{2}\right)$
3. Fast Given's: $4 / 3 n^{3}+O\left(n^{2}\right)$
4. Gram-Shmidt: $2 \mathrm{n}^{3}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$

From the results above it is not difficult to conclude that the Householder transformation outperforms the Given's method under finite precision computation. But on the other hand due to the vector processing nature of the Householder transformation, no local connections in the implementation of the array are necessary. Therefore QR decomposition by the method of Householder transformation is more difficult.

## III. Triangular Systolic Array Based on Given's Rotation

In [5] it is shown that a triangular systolic array can be used to obtain the upper triangular matrix $R$ based on sequences of Given's rotations. This systolic array is shown in Fig. 1.

$$
c=\frac{r_{i}}{\sqrt{r_{i}^{2}+x_{i}^{2}}}, \quad s=\frac{x_{i}}{\sqrt{r_{i}^{2}+x_{i}^{2}}}
$$



Fig. 1 Triangular systolic array for computing the upper triangular matrix $R$

As we can see, the array consists of two different shapes of cells. The cells in the shape of a circle (fig. 2), and the cells in quadratic shape (as in fig.3).


Fig. 2 Input and output of the circle cell of the array in fig. 1


Fig. 3 Input and output of the quadratic cell of the array in fig. 1
The cells of fig. 2 perform according to algorithm 1:

## Algorithm 1:

If $x_{\text {in }}=0$ then
$c=1 ; s=0$
otherwise

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{\prime}=\sqrt{r^{2}+x_{i n}^{2}} \\
& c=r / r^{\prime} ; s=x_{i n} / r^{\prime} \\
& r=r^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

end
The calculations of quadratic cells are given by the relations below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\text {out }}=c x_{\text {in }}-s r \\
& r=s x_{i n}+c r
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the relations in (1), the $Q$ matrix cannot be obtained by multiplying cumulatively the rotation parameters propagated to the right. Accumulation of the rotation parameters is possible by using an additional rectangular
systolic array.

Before giving an explanation about the systolic array for the $Q R$ decomposition, we will introduce the methodology of computing $R^{-T} x$. This computation will be used in the general design of the systolic array for a $Q R$ decomposition.

## IV. The Computation of $\mathrm{R}^{-\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}$

We present a brief derivation of the result presented in [13] about the property that a triangular array can compute $R^{-T} x$ in one phase with the matrix $R$ situated in that array.

Let $r_{i j}=[R]_{i j}$ and $r_{i j}^{\prime}=\left[R^{-1}\right]$, where $r_{i j}=0$ and $r_{i j}^{\prime}=0$ for $i>j$. It can be shown that:
$r_{i j}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{r_{i i}} ; & i=j \\ -\sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \frac{r_{i k}^{\prime} r_{k j}}{r_{j j}} ; & i<j \leq n\end{cases}$

Let
$\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]^{T}=R^{-T} X$

Then the recursive computation of (4), where $R^{-T}$ is a nxn matrix and X is an nxm matrix is:
$y_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{j} x_{i} r_{i j}^{\prime}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n$

In particular (because we want to use $R$ and X to compute $\left.R^{-T} X\right), y_{j}$ can be expressed in terms of $r_{i j}$ and $x_{i}$. By substituing the equation (4) into equation (5) we have:
$y_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{j} x_{i} r_{i j}^{\prime}=y_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i} r_{i j}^{\prime}+x_{j} r_{j j}^{\prime}=$
$=\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i} r_{i j}^{\prime}+\frac{x_{j}}{r_{j j}}$
If we continue, by transforming the relation (6), we will have:

$$
y_{j}=\frac{x_{j}}{r_{j j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i} r_{i j}^{\prime}=\frac{x_{j}}{r_{j j}}-\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i} \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \frac{r_{i k}^{\prime} r_{k j}}{r_{i j}}
$$

And finally we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{j}=\frac{1}{r_{i j}} \cdot\left(x_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} x_{i} \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} r_{i k}^{\prime} r_{k j}\right)= \\
& =\frac{1}{r_{i j}} \cdot\left(x_{j}-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} r_{i k}^{\prime} r_{k j}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the relation (5), for the final form of $y_{j}$, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j}=\frac{1}{r_{i j}}\left(x_{j}-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} y_{k} r_{k j}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using the relations obtained above (where Y is the nxm matrix, R is nxn upper triangular matrix and X is an $n x m$ matrix), the algorithm for computing $R^{-T} x$ is given:

## Algorithm 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i=1 \text { to } n \\
& \begin{array}{l}
y_{1}=\frac{1}{r_{11}} \cdot x_{1} \\
\text { for } j=2 \text { to } n \\
\text { begin } \\
z_{j}=x_{j} \\
\text { for } k=1 \text { to } j-1 \\
\quad z_{j}=z_{j}-y_{k} r_{k j} \\
y_{j}=\frac{z_{j}}{r_{j j}}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

end
The corresponding systolic array is similar as the array in fig.1. The data movement of input values $x$ and output values $y$ is presented in the figure 4.


Fig. 4 Data movement of x and y in the computation of $\mathrm{R}^{-\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{x}$

In the case presented above, the elements of the matrix $R$ are stored in the triangular array. The cells of fig. 2 (circle cells) perform the division part of the equation (8) (the part $1 / r_{j j}$ ). The second part of the eq. (8) (the part $x_{j}-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} y_{k} r_{k j}$ ) is performed by the quadratic cells shown in fig.3.

## V. MAPPING INTO THE SYSTOLIC ARRAY

The number of processors in the fig. 1 can be given by the formula $\frac{p(p+1)}{2}$ for some integer number $p$. To give the mapping scheme of this array we assume that $m=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p}\right\rfloor$ and that the cell on the position $(i, j)$ is mapped on to the processor $(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{k})$ in the corresponding network. The mapping is given by the formula [4]:

$$
s=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left\lfloor\frac{i}{m+1}\right\rfloor & \text { if } \\
\left\lfloor\frac{i}{m+1}<n \bmod p\right. \\
\left\lfloor\frac{i-n \bmod p}{m}\right\rfloor & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

And

$$
k=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left\lfloor\frac{j}{m+1}\right\rfloor & \text { if } \\
\left\lvert\, \frac{j}{m+1}<n \bmod p\right. \\
\left|\frac{j-n \bmod p}{m}\right| & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The relations given above produces a uniform mapping in the case when p is divisible with n . On the other hand (when p doesn't divide n), some processors (in the first $n \bmod p$ columns and $\boldsymbol{n} \bmod \boldsymbol{p}$ rows), take a matrix which is one dimension larger.

## VI. The QR Systolic Array

The design of the systolic array for a QR-decomposition of a matrix $A$ will be based on an iterative algorithm which consists of two basic steps. Initially we set $A_{1}=A$. The first step is to compute $A_{k}=Q_{k} R_{k}$. The process has to be continued until the convergence. To compute the next iteration $A_{k+1}$ we start from the relation (1) and taking into the consideration that Q is orthonormal ( $Q^{T} Q=I$ ), we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{k}^{T} A_{k}=R_{k} \Rightarrow A_{k}=Q_{k} R_{k} \Rightarrow A_{k} R_{k}^{-1}=Q_{k}  \tag{9}\\
& A_{k+1}=R_{k} Q_{k}=Q_{k}^{T} A_{k} Q_{k}=Q_{k}^{T} Q_{k} R_{k} Q_{k}=R_{k} Q_{k} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

So, this can be expressed as follows:

## Algorithm 3

Set $A=A_{1}$
Step 1: For $k=1,2, \ldots$, compute $A_{k}=Q_{k} R_{k}$.
Step 2: Compute $A_{k+1}=R_{k} Q_{k}$. If $A_{k+1}$ converges, then stop.
Otherwise go back to step 1 .

From $A_{k}=Q_{k} R_{k}$ we have that

$$
A_{k}^{T}=R_{k}^{T} Q_{k}^{T} \Rightarrow R_{k}^{-T} A_{k}^{T}=Q_{k}^{T}
$$

If the $i$ th column of the matrices $A_{k}{ }^{T}$ and $Q_{k}{ }^{T}$ is denoted by $a_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ respectively, then:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
R_{k}^{-T}\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot
\end{array} a_{n}\right.
\end{array}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{lllll}
q_{1}, & q_{2}, & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot
\end{array} q_{n}\right] \text { and }
$$

We already have shown how to compute $R^{-T} x$. So, the systolic array is similar to that one shown in fig. 4. Since the $i$ -th column of $A_{k}^{T}$ is the same with the $i$-th row of $A_{k}$, the elements of the matrix $A_{k}$ will be inputted row by row. The corresponding systolic array for computing the elements of $Q_{k}$ as output elements is given in fig. 5.


Fig. 5 Systolic model for computing the Q matrix

Of course, the triangular array which contains the elements of the matrix $R$ (presented as right angle triangle) is the same with the array in fig.1.

Fig. 5 in fact is the design for systolic computing of step 1 of algorithm 2. To do the second step, which consists in computing $A_{k+1}=R_{k} Q_{k}$, the output elements of fig. 5 become
row by row the input elements for the new computation. It is illustratively shown in fig. 5. So, the new array, which computes the element of the matrix $A_{k+1}$ using as an input elements the computed ones illustrated in fig. 5, is shown in fig. 6. The elements of $A_{k+1}$ come out column by column.


Fig. 6 Systolic computing of the product RQ

If the obtained result is not convergent, then a new iteration will be repeated until achieving a convergence.

## VII. Parallel Algorithm Based on Householder REFLECTIONS

Let's take the matrix $A=I-\tau u u^{T}$ where $u \neq 0$ and $\tau$ is a constant which is not equal to 0 . The purpose is to choose $\tau$ such that A is orthogonal $\left(A^{T} A=I\right)$. We have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{T} A=\left(I-\tau u u^{T}\right)^{T}\left(I-\tau u u^{T}\right) \\
=I-2 \tau u u^{T}+\tau^{2} u u^{T} u u^{T} \\
=I-2 \tau u u^{T}+\tau^{2}\left(u^{T} u\right) u u^{T} \\
=I+\left(\tau^{2} u^{T} u-2 \tau\right) u u^{T} \\
=I+\tau\left(\tau u^{T} u-2\right) u u^{T}
\end{gathered}
$$

From above, if $\tau=2 / u^{T} u$, then $A^{T} A=I$. If we take $u^{T} u=1$ then $A=I-2 v v^{T}$, where $v^{T} v=1$.

Householder reflection first implements the decomposition:

$$
Q_{1} A=R=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
x & x & x & \cdots & x \\
0 & & & & \\
0 & & & A_{k} & \\
\vdots & & & & \\
0 & & & &
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $Q_{1}=I-2 \frac{u u^{T}}{u^{T} u}$ and $A_{1}$ is the first vector of A . The matrix $Q$ can be obtained applying the formula $Q^{T}=Q_{n-1} Q_{n-2} \ldots Q_{1}$.

Graphical representation of the computation of A is given as below:


Fig. 7. Graphical representation of the computation of A

The corresponding algorithm is given as in below [25]:

## Algorithm 4

```
for \(j=1\) to \(n\) do
    \(s=0\)
    for \(i=j\) to \(m\) do \(s=s+a_{i j}^{2}\)
    \(s=\operatorname{sqrt}(s) ; d_{j}=-s\) if \(a_{j j}>0\), else \(d_{j}=s\)
    \(F=\operatorname{sqrt}\left(s^{*}\left(s+a b s\left(a_{j j}\right)\right)\right) ;\)
    \(a_{i j}=a_{i j}-d_{j} ;\)
    for \(k=j\) to \(m\) do \(a_{k j}=a_{k j} / F\);
    for \(i=j+1\) to \(n\)
    begin
        \(s=0 ;\)
        for \(k=j\) to \(m\) do \(s=s+a_{k j} * a_{k i}\);
        for \(k=j\) to \(m\) do \(a_{k i}=a_{k i}-a_{k j} * s ;\)
    end
end
```

The dependence graph and the corresponding array using the projection direction $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ are given in the fig. 8 and fig. 9.


Fig. 8. Dependence graph of the systolic array for QR decomposition using hauseholder reflections


Fig. 9. Systolic array for parallel QR decomposition using hauseholder reflection

In the first step $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}(1)$ and Q are computed in the first column. Then there is a movement of $u$ and $Q$ in the direction of $j$ axis, and then $\mathrm{v}(2)$ $\qquad$ $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{n})$ are computed correspondingly in respective columns. In the case of fig. $9, \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{i}}$ represents the column i of matrix A. As we can see the array is triangular array with the hardware complexity of $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$. The array consists of two different shapes of cells. The cells in the shape of a circle (fig. 10), and the cells in quadratic shape (as in fig.11).
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Fig. 11. Input and output of the quadratic cell of the array in fig. 9

Fig. 10. Input and output of the circle cell of the array in fig. 9
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