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Abstract—The goal of this paper is to detect and to justify the basic parameters and operational conditions in hydrodynamic seals with thin layer fluid flow when three-dimensionality of space, influence of inertia and viscous forces in consistent cannot be neglected. The mathematical model of three-dimensional enforced and shear fluid flow in an eccentric channel between a stationary outer cone and a rotating inner cylinder is based on the Navier-Stokes equation and the continuity equation. Such flows occur in the hydrodynamic seals and fluid-film bearings. On the basis of similarity theory and dimensional analysis the significance of the terms in the Navier–Stokes equation and the continuity equation were considered with the values of similarity criteria, such as Reynolds number, Euler number and others and geometry parameters, such as the eccentricity and conicity parameters. The numerical solution and simulation program are based on the finite-volume method with the calculation schemes, represented in this paper. The results represent velocity and pressure fields together with some integral characteristics e.g. leakage as a function of conicity and eccentricity. The results of numerical solutions were compared with results of widely known analytical solutions and with the results calculated by other numerical methods.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Mathematical modeling of enforced and shear flows of viscous fluids in gaps of various geometry is a topical question in hydrodynamics. Among examples of such flows are flows in noncontact hydrodynamic seals and fluid-film bearings which are widely used in mechanical engineering, metallurgical and rocket industry. It is widely known that there are many life-time and reliability requirements for seals and bearings [1], [2].

Mathematical and simulation models of the three-dimensional enforced and shear flow of viscous incompressible fluid in the gap between the steady state cone and the rotating eccentric cylinder are studied in this article. The main equations in this mathematical model are the Navier-Stokes equation and the continuity equation [3]. The three-dimensional flow in the channel under study, the thickness of which is variable in all directions, does not allow to use traditional approaches to form a problem of the thin layers of fluid flow in the fluid-film bearings and the hydrodynamic seals. For example, as it will be shown below, it is impossible to omit inertia terms and some dissipative terms of the Navier-Stokes, i.e. it is impossible to use the Reynolds equation [3] or variation approach described in [4], [17]. In this case, the present research focuses on the numerical solution of the Navier-Stokes equation system considering all the inertia and dissipative terms.

II. MODELING

A. Mathematical model

The flow of the viscous incompressible Newtonian fluid in the confusor is under investigation. The flow region is formed by the stationary truncated cone (stator) and the rotating cylinder (rotor), which are shown in Fig. 1. The Cone has radii $R_1$ and $R_2$ respectively. The Cylinder with radius $r$ is off-centered in cone and is rotating at a constant angular velocity $\omega$. Under pressure $P_1$ the fluid flows from one end towards the channel shrinkage and escapes from the other end under pressure $P_0$. 
The fluid is assumed to fill up the whole channel, the flow is laminar. The temperature is assumed as constant.

The Navier-Stokes equation and the continuity equation are the fundamental equations which describe the flow process [3], [5] and in tensor form look like:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho_0 \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}) &= -\nabla P + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}_\sigma, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} &= 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \rho_0 \) is a density of fluid, \( \mathbf{V} \) is a velocity vector, \( \nabla P \) is a gradient of the pressure, \( \mathbf{D}_\sigma \) is a stress deviator, \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} \) is a velocity divergence, \( \otimes \) is a tensor product.

The stress deviator is determined by the Newton's generalized hypothesis:

\[
\mathbf{D}_\sigma = 2\mu \mathbf{E}_\xi,
\]

where \( \mu \) is a coefficient of the dynamic viscosity, \( \mathbf{E}_\xi \) is a strain-rate deviator.

For the incompressible medium:

\[
T_\xi = \mathbf{D}_\xi = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V} \right).
\]

Due to the nondimensionalization by means of characteristic quantities, system (1) in cylindrical coordinates can be rearranged as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta^2 \hat{V}_\rho &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \frac{1}{\rho + \psi} \frac{\partial \hat{V}_\rho}{\partial \rho} \right) + \delta^2 \hat{V}_z = \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_\rho}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho + \psi} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_\rho}{\partial \rho^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_\rho}{\partial \rho \partial \psi} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_\rho}{\partial \rho \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_\rho}{\partial \psi \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_\rho}{\partial \psi^2} + \frac{1}{\rho + \psi} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_\rho}{\partial \psi \partial z} = -\dot{\mathbf{D}} \hat{V}_\rho \\
\hat{V}_\varphi &+ \frac{1}{\rho + \psi} \frac{\partial \hat{V}_\varphi}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_\varphi}{\partial \rho \partial \psi} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_\varphi}{\partial \rho \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_\varphi}{\partial \psi \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_\varphi}{\partial \psi^2} + \frac{1}{\rho + \psi} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_\varphi}{\partial \psi \partial z} = -\dot{\mathbf{D}} \hat{V}_\varphi \\
\hat{V}_z &+ \frac{1}{\rho + \psi} \frac{\partial \hat{V}_z}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_z}{\partial \rho \partial \psi} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_z}{\partial \rho \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_z}{\partial \psi \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_z}{\partial \psi^2} + \frac{1}{\rho + \psi} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}_z}{\partial \psi \partial z} = -\dot{\mathbf{D}} \hat{V}_z,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \hat{\mathbf{D}} = \frac{\rho - (r - e)}{h_0 + e}, \hat{\mathbf{V}} = \frac{\mathbf{V}}{V^*} \) are non-dimensional radial and axes coordinates, \( \hat{\mathbf{V}}_\rho = \frac{\mathbf{V}_\rho}{V^*}, \hat{\mathbf{V}}_\varphi = \frac{\mathbf{V}_\varphi}{V^*}, \hat{\mathbf{V}}_z = \frac{\mathbf{V}_z}{V^*} \) are non-dimensional radial, tangential and axes components of the velocity vector \( \hat{\mathbf{V}}, \hat{\mathbf{P}} = \frac{\rho - P_0}{\Delta P} \) is a non-dimensional pressure function, \( \delta = (\xi + 1)(\beta + \eta \gamma), \psi = (\gamma - \xi (\beta + \eta \gamma)) \delta^{-1}, \beta = \frac{R_2 - R_1}{L}, \gamma = \frac{r}{L}, \eta = \frac{h_01}{r}, \xi = \frac{e}{h_02} \) are non-dimensional coefficients and geometric parameters, \( Re = \frac{\rho_0 V^* (h_0 + e)}{\mu}, Eu = \frac{\Delta P}{\rho_0 (V^*)^2} \) are Reynolds and Euler numbers respectively, \( V^* \) is a characteristic velocity, \( h_{0j} = R_j - r \) is a radial clearance, \( e \) is a eccentricity.

The equations of the channel boundaries may be shown as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{R}(\bar{z}) &= -\beta \delta^{-1} \bar{z} + 1, \\
\hat{r}(\varphi) &= \delta^{-1} (\xi (\beta + \eta \gamma) (1 + \cos(\varphi - \alpha))) - \gamma + \\
&+ \sqrt{1 - \xi^2 (\beta + \eta \gamma)^2 \sin^2(\varphi - \alpha)}, \quad \varphi \in [0, 2\pi].
\end{align*}
\]
System (4) can be solved with boundary conditions simultaneously. The boundary conditions for the velocity components can be presented as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{V}_\rho (\phi, \varphi, z) & = 0, \\
\vec{V}_\varphi (\phi, \varphi, z) & = \frac{ar}{V}, \\
\vec{V}_z (\phi, \varphi, z) & = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

For pressure function \( \hat{P} \) on the ends of canal:

\[
\hat{P}(\hat{\rho}, \phi, 0) = 1, \quad \hat{P}(\hat{\rho}, \phi, 1) = 0.
\]

(6)

Because the fluid flow canal is closed form the tangential coordinate direction the periodical conditions can be described as follows:

\[
\hat{F}_i (\hat{\rho}, 0, \hat{z}) = \hat{F}_i (\hat{\rho}, 2\pi, \hat{z}), \\
\frac{\partial \hat{F}_i (\hat{\rho}, 0, \hat{z})}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial \hat{F}_i (\hat{\rho}, 2\pi, \hat{z})}{\partial \phi}.
\]

(7)

B. Analyses of model

As was said before, the flows in noncontact seals and fluid-film bearings are investigated, so the flow thickness is very small. The set of main parameters and theirs order of magnitudes are presented in Table I.

I The main parameters order of magnitudes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Lower level</th>
<th>Upper level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( r, \text{ m} )</td>
<td>( 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 10^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_{01}, \text{ m} )</td>
<td>( 10^{3} )</td>
<td>( 10^{4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>( 0 )</td>
<td>( 10^{1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L, \text{ m} )</td>
<td>( 10^{-2} )</td>
<td>( 10^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n, \text{ rpm} )</td>
<td>( 10^{1} )</td>
<td>( 10^{5} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta P, \text{ Pa} )</td>
<td>( 10^{5} )</td>
<td>( 10^{7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu, \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{s} )</td>
<td>( 10^{3} )</td>
<td>( 10^{6} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_0, \text{ kg/m}^3 )</td>
<td>( 10^{0} )</td>
<td>( 10^{3} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using order-of-magnitude analysis it is easy to determining which terms in the equations are very small relative to the other terms [1], [3], [6], [7]. The values of the terms of equations (4) are presented in Table II, the geometry parameter \( \delta \) coefficient domain is \( 10^{-4} \) to \( 10^{-1} \). In order to \( \delta \) coefficient two cases available, firstly, if the conicity parameter \( \beta \) has the same magnitude with the relative gap \( \eta \), and secondly, if the conicity parameter \( \beta \) exceeds the relative gap \( \eta \) by one or more orders of magnitude. Also the Euler number \( Eu \) and the Reynolds number \( Re \) orders of magnitude are considered in follow conclusions:

- if the conicity parameter \( \beta \) is less than \( 10^{-3} \) and the Reynolds number is less than \( 10^{0} \), then the velocity radial component, the inertial term and the velocity components derivatives in the tangential and axes directions are negligible;
- if the Reynolds number is more than \( 10^{0} \), then the inertial terms and the viscosity terms has the same magnitude, and if the conicity parameter \( \beta \) is more or equal to \( 10^{-3} \), all the velocity components has significant values.

II Equation (4) terms order of magnitudes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \delta^2 )</th>
<th>( \delta^2 )</th>
<th>( \delta^3 )</th>
<th>( \delta^3 )</th>
<th>( \delta^3 )</th>
<th>( \delta^2 )</th>
<th>( \delta^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( Eu )</td>
<td>( \frac{\delta}{Re} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\delta^2}{Re} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\delta^3}{Re} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\delta^3}{Re} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\delta^3}{Re} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\delta^2}{Re} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The continuity equation

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \frac{\rho \vec{V}}{\rho} \right) = 0.
\]

According to the order-of-magnitude analysis, widely used assumptions of hydrodynamic theory of lubrication [3], [6] are acceptable if the conicity parameter \( \beta \) is less than \( 10^{-3} \), and that is the flow between two cylinders actually. In this study it is necessary to consider the Navier-Stokes equation in its complete form.

Thus, the mathematical model of the researched process has a look (4)-(7) and consists of four nonlinear partial differential equations with four unknown functions.

III. NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS

Numerical calculations of equations (4)-(7) are based on the finite (or control) volume method (F.V.M.). By means of the F.V.M. it is possible to get an adequate solution even for a crude mesh, because of guaranteed fulfilling of the fundamental laws of conservation [8], [9].

Equations (4) in tensor form look as follows:

\[
\left\{ \begin{align*}
\hat{\nabla} \cdot \left( \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} \right) & = -Eu\hat{\nabla} \hat{P} + \frac{1}{Re} \hat{\nabla} \cdot \left( \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} + \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} \right), \\
\hat{\nabla} \cdot \hat{\nabla} & = 0,
\end{align*} \right.
\]

(8)

where \( \hat{\nabla} \) – a non-dimensional Hamiltonian operator.

According to the flow region geometry the element size by \( O\rho \) direction is variable and depends on the \( O\varphi \) coordinate. The element sizes measured with the \( O\rho \) and \( Oz \) coordinates are constant. See Fig. 2 as the discretization principle visualization in case of the coaxial flow region.
According to approach [8] - [15] the following operation is the volume integration of equations (8) in each finite volume: $d\mathcal{O} = \hat{\rho} d\rho d\varphi d\varphi \mathcal{E}$, shown in the Fig. 2 in a curvilinear coordinate system.

Using the Ostrogradskii formula it is possible to decrease the digit of the derivatives of the velocity vector:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \hat{n}_i \cdot (\hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla}) dS_i = -E_{\rho} \left[ \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} d\mathcal{O} + \frac{1}{Re} \hat{n}_i \cdot (\hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} + \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla}) dS_i \right], \\ \hat{n}_i \cdot \hat{\nabla} dS_i = 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{9}$$

where $\hat{n}_i$ is a unit normal vector on the respectively surface of FV.

When we calculate surface integrals on each FV surface (Fig. 2) and use the mean-value theorem, velocity components in the Navier-Stokes equations can be approximated by the exponential functions, e.g. $\hat{V}_\rho$ component of the first equation in each FV $[\hat{\rho}_e; \hat{\rho}_{ee}]$ looks as follows:

$$\hat{V}_\rho = \hat{V}_\rho + \hat{V}_\rho_{ee} - \hat{V}_\rho_{ee} \frac{\exp(\delta \hat{V}_\rho \Re(\hat{\rho} - \hat{\rho}_o)) - 1}{\exp(\delta \hat{V}_\rho \Re \delta \hat{\rho})}.$$  

After that system (9) turns to:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\hat{a}_e \hat{V}_\rho + \hat{a}_E \hat{V}_{\rho ee} + \hat{a}_\rho \hat{V}_\rho + \hat{a}_ne \hat{V}_{\rho Ne} + \hat{a}_se \hat{V}_{\rho Se} + \\ + \hat{a}_k \hat{V}_{\rho Ke} + \hat{a}_m \hat{V}_{\rho Me} = E\Delta \varphi \Delta \zeta (\hat{\rho}_e + \psi) \frac{\hat{V}_E}{\rho}, \\ + \Delta \hat{\rho} \Delta \zeta \hat{V}_\phi |_{\rho = \rho + \psi}; \\ -\hat{a}_n \hat{V}_\psi_n + \hat{a}_ne \hat{V}_{\psi en} + \hat{a}_neo \hat{V}_{\rho en} + \hat{a}_n \hat{V}_{\phi q} + \hat{a}_n \hat{V}_{\phi S} + \\ + \hat{a}_kn \hat{V}_{\phi kn} + \hat{a}_nm \hat{V}_{\phi mn} = E\Delta \varphi \Delta \zeta \hat{P} \frac{\Delta \varphi \Delta \zeta}{\rho - \psi} \frac{\hat{V}_\rho}{\rho - \psi}, \\ -\hat{a}_k \hat{V}_{z_k} + \hat{a}_k \hat{V}_{z_k e} + \hat{a}_k \hat{V}_{z_k o} + \hat{a}_nk \hat{V}_{z_k N} + \hat{a}_sk \hat{V}_{z_k S} + \\ + \hat{a}_K \hat{V}_{z_k k} + \hat{a}_p \hat{V}_{z_m} = \delta E\Delta \varphi \frac{(\hat{\rho} + \psi)}{\rho} \frac{\hat{V}_k}{\rho_k}, \\ \hat{b}_e \hat{V}_\rho - \hat{b}_o \hat{V}_\rho_{ee} - \hat{b}_m \hat{V}_\rho_m = 0, \end{array} \right. \tag{10}$$

where $\hat{a}_E = \frac{\delta^2 (\hat{\rho}_E + \psi) \hat{F}_E}{\exp(\delta \rho_p E - 1)}$,

$$\hat{a}_n = \frac{\delta^2 \hat{F}_n}{\exp(\delta \rho_n E - 1)},$$

$$\hat{a}_k = \frac{\delta^2 \hat{F}_k (\hat{\rho}_k + \psi)^2 - (\hat{\rho}_{me} + \psi)^2}{2 \Delta \hat{\rho}} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\exp(\delta \rho_{me} E - 1)}{\delta} \right) \right],$$

$$\hat{a}_m = \frac{\delta^2 \hat{F}_m (\hat{\rho}_m + \psi)^2 - (\hat{\rho}_{me} + \psi)^2}{2 \Delta \hat{\rho}} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\exp(\delta \rho_{me} E - 1)}{\delta} \right) \right],$$

$$\hat{a}_e = \hat{a}_E + \hat{a}_p + \hat{a}_ne + \hat{a}_k e + \hat{a}_me,$$

$$\hat{F}_E(\rho) = \frac{\hat{V}_{\rho E(\rho)} \Delta \varphi \Delta \zeta}{\Re(\varphi_{ne(\rho)})} \Delta \varphi \Delta \zeta,$$

$$\hat{F}_k(\rho) = \frac{\hat{V}_{\rho k(\rho)} \Delta \varphi \Delta \zeta}{\Re(\varphi_{ne(\rho)})} \Delta \varphi \Delta \zeta,$$

$$\hat{F}_{me(\rho)} = \frac{\hat{V}_{\rho me(\rho)} \Delta \varphi \Delta \zeta}{\Re(\varphi_{ne(\rho)})} \Delta \varphi \Delta \zeta,$$

$$\hat{P}_{me(\rho)} = \Re(\varphi_{ne(\rho)}) \Delta \varphi \Delta \zeta.$$
where \( A_V = (\hat{a}_{ij}) \) are coefficients before increments of velocity components in the Navier-Stokes equation in all nodes of discrete flow region, \( \tilde{\hat{p}}^S \) - approximate pressure distribution, \( \tilde{\mathbf{V}} \) - the values of the velocity components on the border of the area.

The solution of this equation system is implemented using the Gauss–Seidel method, the convergence is provided by the sufficient attribute \([8]\):

\[
\hat{a}_{ii} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{ni} \hat{a}_{ij} \quad \text{for all equation}
\]

\[
\text{and } \hat{a}_{ii} > \sum_{j=1}^{ni} \hat{a}_{ij} \quad \text{at least one equation}
\]

The fulfillment of this condition is provided by the feature of the matrix \( A_V \) coefficients, for which the diagonal elements equal the sum of side elements with all the corresponding unknown components of velocity, for instance, for the first equation: \( \hat{a}_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^{ni} \hat{a}_{ij} \).

The fulfillment of the second condition is provided by the known components of velocity on the boundary of the area, i.e. by the boundary conditions setting, so the corresponding side components move to the right-hand part, thus decreasing the sum of the remaining \( \sum_{j=1}^{ni} \hat{a}_{ij} \).

Next, the system (10) can be written for each unknown increment. The results of the zero iteration can be taken as the solution of some asymptotic problem.

Then the equation system for the increments \( \hat{S}^{s+1} \) calculation on every iteration can be obtained by means of subtraction of the corresponding equations on the previous iteration and some increment \( \hat{S}^s \). \( \hat{S}^s + \hat{S}^{s+1} = \hat{S}^s \).

The fulfillment of this condition is provided by the feature of the matrix \( A_V \) coefficients before increments of pressure in the Navier-Stokes equation, \( C_V \) are coefficients before increments of velocity components in the continuity equation, \( f(\tilde{\mathbf{V}}^S) \) is a right-hand part of the discrete analogue of the continuity equation, which includes the values of the velocity components on the previous iteration.

Matrix (11) includes zeroes block because of the continuity equation, so this matrix determinant approaches zero and its inversion is difficult to reach. As the result, the system of these equations may be solved as follows: express in terms of the vector of velocity increments in the first equation (11) and set it in the second equation (11). Hereby we can, in the first place, find pressure increments and then - velocity components increment.

The coefficients of the system of equations discrete analogue include exponential functions of the velocity components approximation (Fig.3). Further, those exponential functions can be approximated by means of the power functions as polynomials of fifth order \([8]\). Here the coefficients which are first on the list are shown as follows:

\[
\hat{A}_E = \begin{cases} 
-\partial \hat{P} E f E, & \hat{A}_E E < -10 \\
-\partial \hat{P} E E \hat{F} E + (1 + 0.1 \partial \hat{P} E E) \hat{F} E, & \hat{A}_E E \in [-10; 0] \\
(1 - 0.1 \partial \hat{P} E E) \hat{F} E, & \hat{A}_E E \in (0; 10] \\
0, & \hat{A}_E E > 10 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\hat{A}_P = \begin{cases} 
0, & \hat{A}_P E < -10 \\
(1 + 0.1 \partial \hat{P} P E) \hat{F} P, & \hat{A}_P E \in [-10; 0] \\
\hat{A}_P E P + (1 - 0.1 \partial \hat{P} P E) \hat{F} P, & \hat{A}_P E \in (0; 10] \\
\hat{A}_P E P, & \hat{A}_P E > 10 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \hat{D}_E = E \partial \Delta \hat{S}(\hat{p}_E + \psi) \), \( \hat{D}_N = E \partial \Delta \hat{S} \), \( \hat{D}_K = 0.5 E \partial \Delta \hat{S}(\hat{p}_K + \psi)^2 - (\hat{p}_K + \psi)^2 \).
The approximation accuracy in the range under factors study is less than 0.1%, and such substitution significantly decreases the calculation time.

IV. DISCUSSION

Below some simulated results for the viscous incompressible fluid enforced and shear flow in the eccentric gap between the outer cone and the inner cylinder with input data are presented (see Table III).

III The input data definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure drop $\Delta P$, Pa</th>
<th>Frequency $n$, rpm</th>
<th>Radius $r$, m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gap $h_{01}$, m</th>
<th>Length $L$, m</th>
<th>Eccentricity $e$, m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$0.2 h_{01}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conicity $\beta$</th>
<th>Density $\rho_0$, kg/m$^3$</th>
<th>Viscosity $\mu$, Pa*s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>894.5</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The velocity distributions axial component with respect to length and thickness, both in the region of the maximal gap is presented in Fig. 4. As it can be seen in Fig. 4 the maximum velocity value is reached on the lip of the channel.

For the case of coaxial and zero conicity flow region the results were compared with a well-known analytical solution and with other results simulated by the finite element method (FEM) and the finite difference method (FDM). The results of such comparison of various methods simulation are presented in table IV.

IV The simulation error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of layers through thickness</th>
<th>Max. error (FDM), %</th>
<th>Max. error (FEM), %</th>
<th>Max. error (FVM), %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>$8.3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obviously, the FV method has smallest percentage of error and adequate results even on a crude mesh.

V. CONCLUSION

So, the paper presents the mathematical model of a viscous incompressible fluid flow in a channel between a stationary cone and an eccentric rotating cylinder. This model is different from the known models of the fluid flow in the fluid-film bearings [3], [6], [18]-[20] in the following ways: firstly, it allows to consider a simultaneous action of the pressure and the shear flow, secondly, it takes a variable channel geometry.
into account, and it finally allows to consider the influence of the inertia forces on the basic physical values fields. The mathematical model was initially transformed into a non-dimensional form, which allowed to implement an analysis of the influence of every term of the equations. It was determined that for the flows in a cylinder-cone channels, where the conisity parameter is not higher than \( \beta < 10^{-3} \) the Reynolds assumptions [3] are valid regarding the smallness of the normal velocity component and the velocities derivatives over the tangential and axial coordinates, and regarding the insignificant change in pressure over a layers thickness. For the cases, when conisity \( \beta \geq 10^{-3} \) and the number \( Re > 10^{0} \) it is necessary to consider the inertia terms and the influence of the normal velocity component; it is also important to consider the change in pressure over the layers thickness and the channels length. As a result, the solutions based on the presented mathematical model, in light of its complexity, were obtained numerically. The discrete analogue of the model was obtained based in the method of finite volumes, effectiveness of which is caused by implementation of the conservation laws in every elementary volume, which makes it different from all other mesh methods. Using specific examples it was shown that calculation schemes based on the FVM allow to obtain adequate results even on a crude mesh.
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