Modeling the effects of parathyroid hormone and vitamin D on calcium homeostasis
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Abstract—Calcium homeostasis is an important mechanism in human being. The disorder of such mechanism may leads to serious diseases. In this paper, we propose a mathematical model to describe calcium homeostasis based on the effects of two major factors, parathyroid hormone and vitamin D. The various kinds of dynamics behavior are investigated both theoretically and numerically.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In order that all cells in human body function normally, appropriate amounts of calcium ion in the extracellular fluid are required [1]-[4]. The mechanism that maintains the calcium level in the normal range is calcium homeostasis. Two major factors involve in the mechanism are parathyroid hormone and vitamin D [1]-[4].

Parathyroid hormone (PTH) is released from the parathyroid glands in response to the low level of calcium ion in blood [1], [5]. The target organs of PTH are bone, intestine and kidney. On bone, PTH increases the osteoclastic activity resulting in the increase of calcium ion released into blood [1]. On intestine, PTH stimulates the enzyme that converts vitamin D to its active form and then increases calcium absorption from diet [1]. On kidney, PTH stimulates the reabsorption of calcium from urine [1]. Therefore, the increase in PTH level leads to the increase in the calcium level in blood. When the calcium level in blood is high the release of PTH will be decreased in order to maintain the normal range of calcium level in blood.

Vitamin D is produced in human body, requiring only exposure to sunlight. The major biological active metabolite of the vitamin D sterol family is \(1,25(OH)_{2}D_{3} \) [3]. After vitamin D is synthesized into its active form, it binds to vitamin D receptor (VDR) located on the target cells [6]. Vitamin D plays important roles in maintaining of calcium balance by enhancing calcium absorption in the intestines and increasing calcium mobilization from bone [6]-[10].

Calcium is very essential for human being. It controls various processes such as the division of cells, the clotting of blood and the contraction of muscles [1]-[4]. The imbalance of calcium level may leads to some diseases such as hypocalcaemia and hypocalcaemia [1]-[4]. Therefore, it is necessary to maintain calcium level within the normal range. In the next section, we then propose a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations to describe the effects of parathyroid hormone and vitamin D on calcium homeostasis.

II. MODEL EQUATIONS

We propose the following system of ordinary differential equations to describe calcium homeostasis based upon the effects of parathyroid hormone and vitamin D:

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{a_1}{(k_1 + Y)(k_2 + Z)} - b_1 X \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{dY}{dt} = \frac{(a_2 + a_3 X)(a_4 - a_5 Y)}{(k_3 + X^2)(k_4 + Z)} - b_2 Y \quad (2)
\]

\[
\frac{dZ}{dt} = \frac{(a_6 + a_7 X)(a_8 + a_9 Y)}{(k_5 + X)(k_6 + Y)} - b_3 Z \quad (3)
\]

where \(X(t)\) denotes the concentration of parathyroid hormone (PTH) above the basal level in blood at time \(t\), \(Y(t)\) denotes the concentration of calcitriol (the active form of vitamin D) in blood at time \(t\), and \(Z(t)\) denotes the concentration of calcium in blood at time \(t\).

Equation (1) represents the rate of change of the concentration of PTH above the basal level in blood at time \(t\). The first term on the right hand side stands for the secretion
rate of PTH from the parathyroid glands in response to the level of calcium and active vitamin D in blood. When the calcium level or vitamin D level is high the secretion rate of PTH will be decreased in order to counter balance the high level of calcium in blood. The last term stands for the removal rate of PTH from the system.

Equation (2) represents the rate of change of the concentration of calcitriol (active vitamin D) in blood at time t. The first term on the right hand side stands for the synthesis rate of active vitamin D in response to the level of calcium and PTH in blood. The last term stands for the removal rate of active vitamin D from the system.

Equation (3) represents the rate of change of the concentration of calcium in blood at time t. The first term on the right hand side for the rate of change in calcium level corresponding to the level of PTH and active vitamin D in blood. The last term stands for the removal rate of calcium from the system.

Note that all parameters in the system are assumed to be positive.

III. GEOMETRIC SINGULAR PERTURBATION ANALYSIS

Assuming that PTH has the fastest dynamics, active vitamin D has the intermediate dynamics and calcium has the slowest dynamics. In order to apply the geometric singular perturbation technique [11], [12] to our system, we then scale the dynamics of the three components and parameters of the system in term of small positive parameters $0 < \varepsilon << 1$ and $0 < \delta << 1$ as follows. Letting $x = X$, $y = Y$, $z = Z$, $c_1 = a_1$, $c_2 = a_2 / \varepsilon$, $c_3 = a_3 / \varepsilon$, $c_4 = a_4$, $c_5 = a_5$, $c_6 = a_6 / \varepsilon^{\delta}$, $c_7 = a_7 / \varepsilon^{\delta}$, $c_8 = a_8$, $c_9 = a_9$, $d_1 = b_1$, $d_2 = b_2 / \varepsilon$, $d_3 = b_3 / \varepsilon^{\delta}$, the system (1)-(3) can be written as:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{c_1}{(k_1 + y)(k_2 + z)} - d_1 x = f(x, y, z)$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \varepsilon \left( \frac{c_2 + c_3 x}{k_1 + x^2} t_x - d_2 y \right) = \varepsilon g(x, y, z)$$

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \varepsilon^{\delta} \left( \frac{c_8 + c_9 y}{k_2 + y} t_z - d_3 z \right) = \varepsilon^{\delta} h(x, y, z)$$

The shapes and relative positions of the manifolds $\{f = 0\}$, $\{g = 0\}$, and $\{h = 0\}$ determine the shapes, directions and speeds of the solution trajectories. We then investigate each of the equilibrium manifolds in detail.

The manifold $\{f = 0\}$

This manifold is given by the equation

$$x = \frac{c_1}{d_1 (k_1 + y)(k_2 + z)} \equiv A_1(y, z)$$

which intersects the $(x, y)$–plane along the curve

$$x = \frac{c_1}{d_1 k_2 (k_1 + y)}$$

It intersects the $x$–axis at the point where

$$x = \frac{c_1}{d_1 k_2} \equiv x_1$$

The manifold $\{f = 0\}$ also intersects the $(x, z)$–plane along the curve

$$x = \frac{c_1}{d_1 k_1 (k_2 + z)}$$

Note that, in the first octant, $A_1(y, z)$ is an decreasing function of $y$ and $z$ so that $A_1(y, z) \to 0$ as $y \to \infty$ and $A_1(y, z) \to 0$ as $z \to \infty$.

The manifold $\{g = 0\}$

This manifold consists of two sub-manifolds, the trivial manifold $y = 0$ and the nontrivial manifold given by the equation

$$y = \frac{c_4}{c_3} - \frac{d_2 (k_4 + x^2)(k_4 + z)}{c_3 (c_2 + c_3 x)} = A_2(x, z)$$

which is a decreasing function of $z$ in the first octant.

The nontrivial manifold intersects the $(x, y)$–plane along the curve

$$y = \frac{c_4}{c_3} - \frac{d_2 k_4 (k_4 + x^2)}{c_3 (c_2 + c_3 x)} = B_2(x)$$

attaining its maximum at the point where

$$x = \frac{c_4 k_4}{c_3} \equiv x_2$$

and

$$y = \frac{c_4}{c_3} - \frac{d_2 k_4}{c_3 (c_2 + c_3 x)} \equiv y_2$$

In addition, $y = B_2(x)$ intersects the $x$–axis and $y$–axis at the points where

$$x = \frac{c_4 k_4}{2d_2 k_4} \equiv x_3$$

and

$$y = \frac{c_4 k_4}{c_3} \equiv y_1$$

Note that $x_3 > 0$ and $y_1 > 0$ if

$$c_2 k_4 > d_2 k_4$$

The nontrivial manifold intersects the $(x, z)$–plane along the curve

$$z = \frac{1}{d_3} \left[ c_4 (c_2 + c_3 x) \right] \equiv B_2(x)$$

attaining its maximum at the point where $x = x_2$ and

$$z = \frac{1}{d_3} \left[ c_4 (c_2 + c_3 x) \right] \equiv z_2$$

In addition, $y = B_2(x)$ intersects the $x$–axis and $z$–axis at the point where $x = x_3$ and
\[ z = \frac{c_1 c_i - d_z d_i k_i}{d_i k_i} = z_i, \]  
respectively. Note that \( z_i > 0 \) if the inequality (15) holds.

The nontrivial manifold intersects the \((y, z)\)–plane along the line
\[ y = \frac{c_2 c_4 - d_z d_i k_4 - d_i k_2}{c_i c_4 - c_2 c_z} \frac{d_z}{d_z} \frac{z-B_i(z)}{z-B_i(z)} = 1, \]  
which intersects the \(y\)-axis and the \(z\)-axis at the point where \(y = y_1\) and \(z = z_1\), respectively.

The manifold \( \{ f = 0 \} \) intersects the trivial manifold \( y = 0 \) of the manifold \( \{ g = 0 \} \) along the curve
\[ x = \frac{c_1}{d_i(k_i + z)} \quad \text{if} \quad y = 0 \]  
which is asymptotic to the line \( x = 0 \).

The manifold \( \{ f = 0 \} \) intersects the nontrivial manifold \( \{ g = 0 \} \) along the curve
\[ x = \frac{c_1}{d_i(k_i + y)(k_i + z)} \quad \text{if} \quad y = 0 \]  
attaining its relative maximum at the point \((x_\alpha, y_\alpha, z_\alpha)\) where \(y_\alpha\) is a real solution of
\[ A y^2 + By + C = 0 \]  
where
\( A = -c_2 d_i x_2(c_2 + x_2) < 0 \)
\( B = d_i d_z x_2(k_2 + x_2^2)(k_2 - k_4) + d_i x_2(c_2 + c_4 x_2)(c_4 - c_i k_4) \)
\( C = c_2 d_i x_2(c_2 + c_4 x_2) + d_i d_z x_2(k_3 + x_2^2)(k_2 - k_4) + c_4 d_z \left(k_3 + x_2^2\right)(k_2 - k_4) \)
and
\[ z_\alpha = \frac{c_1}{d_i x_2(k_i + y_\alpha)} - k_2 \]  
Note that \(y_\alpha\) exists in the first octant and is unique if \( C > 0 \)

Moreover, \( z_\alpha > 0 \) if
\[ y_\alpha < \frac{c_1}{d_i k_i x_2} - k_4 \]  
provided that \(y_\alpha > 0\).

The manifold \( \{ h = 0 \} \)
This manifold is given by the equation
\[ z = \frac{c_4 c_z + c_y y}{d_z(k_z + y)} = A_y(x, y) \]  
which intersects the \((x, z)\)–plane along the curve
\[ z = \frac{c_4 c_z + c_y y}{d_z(k_z + x)} = B_y(x) \]  
It intersects the \(z\)-axis at the point where
\[ z = \frac{c_4 c_z}{d_z} = z_4 \]  
and is also asymptotic to the line
\[ z = \frac{c_4 c_z}{d_z} = z_4 \]  

The manifold intersects the \((y, z)\)–plane along the curve
\[ z = \frac{c_4 c_z + c_y y}{d_z k_z + y} = B_y(y) \]  
which intersects the \(z\)-axis at the point where \(z = z_4\) and is also asymptotic to the line
\[ z = \frac{c_4 c_z}{d_z} = z_4 \]  

The manifold \( \{ f = 0 \} \) intersects the manifold \( \{ h = 0 \} \) along the curve
\[ x = \frac{c_1}{d_i(k_i + y)(k_i + z)} \quad \text{if} \quad y = 0 \]  
which intersects the trivial manifold \( \{ g = 0 \} \) at the point \(S_1 = (x_4, 0, z_6)\) where \(x_4\) is a positive root of
\[ D y^2 + E y + F = 0 \]  
where
\( D = c_4 c_d k_4 d_4 d_i k_i k_4 k_6 > 0 \)
\( E = c_4 c_d k_4 d_4 d_i k_i k_4 - c_4 d_i k_6 \)
\( F = -c_4 d_4 k_4 k_6 < 0 \)
and
\[ z_6 = \frac{c_1}{d_i x_4} - k_2 \]  
Note that (37) always has a unique positive root \( x_4 \) and \( z_6 > 0 \) if
\[ c_4 > k_2 d_i k_i x_4 \]  

Moreover, the curve \( \{ f = h = 0 \} \) in (35) intersects the nontrivial manifold of \( \{ g = 0 \} \) at the point \(S_2 = (x_5, y_5, z_5)\) where
\[ x_5 = \frac{c_4}{d_i (k_5 + z_5)(k_5 + z_5)}, \]
\[ y_5 = \frac{c_4}{c_5} \frac{d_5 (k_5 + x_5^2)}{c_5 (c_2 + c_4 x_5)}, \]
\[ z_5 = \frac{(c_4 + c_4 x_5^2)}{d_5 (k_5 + x_5^2)} \]
Note that \( y_5 > 0 \) if
\[ c_4 (c_2 + c_4 x_5) > d_5 (k_5 + x_5^2)(k_5 + z_5) \]  

**Case 1** If \( \varepsilon \) and \( \delta \) are sufficiently small, and the inequalities (15), (24), (25), (35), (36) hold, and
\[ x_2 < x_5 < x_4 < x_1 \]
\[ z_4 < z_m < z_5 \]
where all parametric values are defined as above, then a periodic solution exists for the system of (4)-(6). The proof of the theorem is based on geometric singular perturbation method [11]-[12].

If all conditions in Case 1 hold, then the shapes of the manifolds \( \{f = 0\}, \{g = 0\} \) and \( \{h = 0\} \) are positioned as in Fig. 1. Starting from a point A in front of the manifold \( \{f = 0\} \). Here, \( \{f < 0\} \) and a fast transition will then bring the system to the point B on the manifold \( \{f = 0\} \) in the direction of decreasing \( x \). Here, \( \{g > 0\} \) and a transition at intermediate speed will be made in the direction of increasing \( y \) until the point C on the curve \( \{f = g = 0\} \) is reached. A slow transition then follows along this curve to the point D where the stability of sub-manifold will be lost. A jump to point E on the other stable part of \( \{f = g = 0\} \) followed by a slow transition in the direction of decreasing \( z \) until the point F is reached since \( \{h < 0\} \) here. The stability of sub-manifold will be lost. A jump to point G on the other stable part of \( \{f = g = 0\} \) followed by a slow transition in the direction of increasing \( z \) since \( \{h > 0\} \) here. Consequently, a slow transition will bring the system back to the point D, followed by flows along the same path repeatedly, resulting in the closed orbit DEFGD. Thus, for sufficiently small \( \varepsilon \) and \( \delta \), a periodic solution of the system exists.

Fig. 1 The three equilibrium manifolds \( \{f = 0\}, \{g = 0\} \) and \( \{h = 0\} \) in \((x, y, z)\) – space in Case 1. Segments of the trajectories with one, two, and three arrows represent slow, intermediate, and fast transitions, respectively.
Case 2 If \(\varepsilon\) and \(\delta\) are sufficiently small, and the inequalities (15), (24), (25), (35), (36) hold, and
\[
x_{x_1} < x_2 < x_4 < x_f
\]
where all parametric values are defined as above, then the manifolds are positioned as in Fig. 2 and the system of (4)-(6) will have a stable equilibrium point.

If all conditions in Case 2 hold, then the shapes of the manifolds \(\{f = 0\}\), \(\{g = 0\}\) and \(\{h = 0\}\) are positioned as in Fig. 2. Starting from a point \(A\) in front of the manifold \(\{f = 0\}\). Here, \(\{f < 0\}\) and a fast transition will then bring the system to the point \(B\) on the manifold \(\{f = 0\}\) in the direction of decreasing \(x\). Here, \(\{g > 0\}\) and a transition at intermediate speed will be made in the direction of increasing \(y\) until point \(C\) on the curve \(\{g = 0\}\) is reached. Here, \(\{h > 0\}\) and a slow transition then follows along this curve until the point \(S_2\) is reached. Thus, the solution trajectory is expected in this case to tend toward this stable equilibrium point \(S_2\) as time passes.

Fig. 2 The three equilibrium manifolds \(\{f = 0\}\), \(\{g = 0\}\) and \(\{h = 0\}\) in \((x, y, z)\)–space in Case 2. Segments of the trajectories with one, two, and three arrows represent slow, intermediate, and fast transitions, respectively.
Case 3 If $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$ are sufficiently small, and the inequalities (15), (24), (25), (35), (36) hold, and

$$x_2 < x_3 < x_4 < x_5 < x_6$$

$$z_2 < z_3 < z_4$$

where all parametric values are defined as above, then the manifolds are positioned as in Fig. 3 and the system of (4)-(6) will have a stable equilibrium point.

If all conditions in Case 3 hold, then the shapes of the manifolds $\{f = 0\}$, $\{g = 0\}$ and $\{h = 0\}$ are positioned as in Fig. 3. Starting from a point A in front of the manifold $\{f = 0\}$. Here, $\{f < 0\}$ and a fast transition will then bring the system to the point B on the manifold $\{f = 0\}$ in the direction of decreasing $x$. Here, $\{g > 0\}$ and a transition at intermediate speed will be made in the direction of increasing $y$ until point C on the curve $\{f = g = 0\}$ is reached. Here, $\{h > 0\}$ and a slow transition then follows along this curve to the point D where the stability of sub-manifold will be lost. A jump to point E on the other stable part of $\{f = g = 0\}$ followed by a slow transition in the direction of decreasing $z$ until the point $S_1$ is reached since $\{h < 0\}$ here. Thus, the solution trajectory is expected in this case to tend toward this stable equilibrium point $S_1$ as time passes.

Fig. 3 The three equilibrium manifolds $\{f = 0\}$, $\{g = 0\}$ and $\{h = 0\}$ in $(x, y, z)$–space in Case 3. Segments of the trajectories with one, two, and three arrows represent slow, intermediate, and fast transitions, respectively.
IV. COMPUTER SIMULATIONS

A numerical result of the system (4)-(6) is presented in Fig. 4, with parametric values chosen to satisfy the inequalities identified in Case 1. The solution trajectory, shown in Fig. 4a project onto the \( (x, y) \)-plane, tends to a limit cycle as theoretically predicted. The corresponding time courses of the PTH, active vitamin D, and calcium concentration are as shown in Fig. 4b, 4c, and 4d respectively.

![Graphs showing simulation results](image-url)

Fig. 4 A computer simulation of the model systems (4)-(6) with \( c_1 = 0.008, c_4 = 0.15, c_5 = 0.8, c_6 = 0.5, c_7 = 0.01, c_8 = 0.9, c_9 = 0.02, c_{10} = 0.02, c_{11} = 0.08, k_1 = 0.08, k_2 = 0.01, k_3 = 3.9, k_4 = 0.06, k_5 = 0.08, k_6 = 0.5, d_1 = 0.07, d_2 = 0.145, d_3 = 0.06, \epsilon = 0.95, \delta = 0.95, x(0) = 0.5, y(0) = 0.5, z(0) = 1 \). (a) The solution trajectory projected onto the \((x, y)\)-plane. (b) The corresponding time courses of PTH concentration \(x\), (c) active vitamin D concentration \(y\), and (d) calcium concentration \(z\), respectively.
A numerical result of the system (4)-(6) is presented in Fig. 5, with parametric values chosen to satisfy the inequalities identified in Case 2. The solution trajectory, shown in Fig. 5a project onto the $(x,y)$-plane, tends to a stable equilibrium as theoretically predicted. The corresponding time courses of the PTH, active vitamin D, and calcium concentration are as shown in Fig. 5b, 5c, and 5d respectively.

Fig. 5 A computer simulation of the model systems (4)-(6) with $c_1 = 0.008, c_2 = 0.15, c_3 = 0.8, c_4 = 0.9, c_5 = 0.01, c_6 = 0.9, c_7 = 0.02, c_8 = 0.02$, $c_9 = 0.08, k_1 = 0.08, k_2 = 0.01, k_3 = 0.01, k_4 = 3.9, k_5 = 0.06, k_6 = 0.08, k_7 = 0.5, d_1 = 0.05, d_2 = 0.145, d_3 = 0.06, c = 0.95, \delta = 0.95, x(0) = 0.5, y(0) = 0.5, z(0) = 0.5$. (a) The solution trajectory projected onto the $(x,y)$-plane. (b) The corresponding time courses of PTH concentration ($x$), (c) active vitamin D concentration ($y$), and (d) calcium concentration ($z$), respectively.
A numerical result of the system (4)-(6) is presented in Fig. 6, with parametric values chosen to satisfy the inequalities identified in Case 3. The solution trajectory, shown in Fig. 6a project onto the \((x, y)\)-plane, tends to a stable equilibrium as theoretically predicted. The corresponding time courses of the PTH, active vitamin D, and calcium concentration are as shown in Fig. 6b, 6c, and 6d respectively.

Fig. 6 A computer simulation of the model systems (4)-(6) with \(c_1 = 0.008, c_2 = 0.15, c_3 = 0.8, c_4 = 0.5, c_5 = 0.01, c_6 = 0.9, c_7 = 0.02, c_8 = 0.02, c_9 = 0.08, k_1 = 0.08, k_2 = 0.01, k_3 = 3.9, k_4 = 0.06, k_5 = 0.08, k_6 = 0.5, d_1 = 0.07, d_2 = 0.145, d_3 = 0.06, \varepsilon = 0.95, \delta = 0.95, \alpha(0) = 0.5, y(0) = 0.5, z(0) = 1\). (a) The solution trajectory projected onto the \((x, y)\)-plane. (b) The corresponding time courses of PTH concentration \((x)\), (c) active vitamin D concentration \((y)\), and (d) calcium concentration \((z)\), respectively.
V. CONCLUSION

A system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations are developed in order to describe calcium homeostasis by focusing on the effects of parathyroid hormone and vitamin D. Geometric singular perturbation is then applied in order to obtain the delineating conditions that differentiate various kinds of dynamic behavior exhibited by the system. In this paper, we present the results in 3 cases. In Case 1, a periodic solution is expected. In Case 2 and 3, a stable equilibrium solution is expected. The well-known Runge-Kutta method has been used to find an approximation of a solution for the system of ordinary differential equations [13]-[16] is then utilized in order to find an approximation of a solution of our system in each of the three cases. Computer simulations carried out in each case confirm our theoretical predictions. Both theoretical and numerical results show that our system can deduce a periodic behavior which closely resembles to the pulsatile patterns observed clinically in the serum level of parathyroid hormone, vitamin D and calcium [17]-[19].
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