Abstract—This paper presents the way of implementation of a numerical method for the stability analysis of a system driven by an asynchronous motor. The simulations, the experimental results and the obtained conclusions are detailed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The numerical methods have wide practical practicability. One of them is the stability analysis for driving systems having electrical motors. This paper deals in details with a new method from this category of problems.

The problem of the induction motors stability analysis when they operate at variable frequency is a present problem [1], [3], [10]-[13]. The quantitative conclusions presented in outstanding papers from this field, aiming to the induction motors parameters influences on stability, are generally known in this case. Unfortunately, the methods used for the analyses, beside the fact that it is very difficult to implement them numerically, they also have the drawback that they do not allow to study the inertia moment influence on stability, a very important thing especially in the case of the low power machines.

In order to eliminate these drawbacks, a new method for the stability study has been conceived, with the help of the equations with representative phasors written in per unit values.

II. PRESENTATION OF USED NUMERICAL METHOD

The equations system that is used has the following form [2], [6]:

\[
\omega_s^* + \Delta \omega_s^* = s_{ks} \left[ \Psi_s^* + \Delta \Psi_s^* - k (\Psi_r^* + \Delta \Psi_r^*) \right] + \\
+ \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \Psi_s^* + \Delta \Psi_s^* \right] + j (\omega_s^* + \Delta \omega_s^*) (\Psi_r^* + \Delta \Psi_r^*) \\
0 = s_{kr} \left[ \Psi_r^* + \Delta \Psi_r^* - k (\Psi_s^* + \Delta \Psi_s^*) \right] + \\
+ \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \Psi_r^* + \Delta \Psi_r^* \right] + \\
+ j (\omega_s^* + \Delta \omega_s^* - \omega^* - \Delta \omega^*) (\Psi_r^* + \Delta \Psi_r^* + \Delta \Psi_r^*)
\]

These equations are linearized further on.

In order to do this thing, it is considered that the pulsation modifies in saltus with a very low value. This variation will lead implicitly to a voltage modification, in saltus too, with the same value, so that the two quantities ratio to remain constant.

In this hypothesis equation (1) will modify as follows.

\[
\Delta \omega_s^* = \frac{h}{t} \frac{d \omega_s^*}{dt} = \frac{k}{x_{rt}} \operatorname{Im} \left[ \Psi_s^* \Psi_r^* \right] - m_r^*
\]

The following relation is obtained by applying Laplace transformation to the first two equations of the equations (1) and (2), by subtracting member by member and by neglecting the products of the form $\Delta \cdot \Delta$:

\[
\Delta \omega_s^* = (s_{ks} + j \omega_s^* + s) \cdot \Delta \Psi_s^* - s_{ks} \cdot k \cdot \Delta \Psi_r^* + \\
+ j \cdot \Psi_s^* \cdot \Delta \omega_s^*
\]

\[
0 = -s_{kr} \cdot k \cdot \Delta \Psi_s^* + (s_{kr} + s) \Delta \Psi_r^* + j(\Delta \omega_s^* - \Delta \omega^*) \Psi_r^*
\]
\[ \frac{d(\Delta \omega^* \Delta)}{dt} = -\frac{k}{x_{st}} \text{Im} \left[ \Psi^r \cdot \Delta \Psi^r_{r^*} + \Psi^r_{r^*} \cdot \Delta \Psi^s_{s^*} \right] \]

where \( s \) is the operational variable.

It must also be noticed that for simplifying the writing and for not producing confusions, both in the previous relation and in the following ones, it has been given up both to indicate the quantities depending on \( s \) and to note them with capitals.

If it is considered that \( \Delta \omega^* \) is not less than 0,1 in the previous relations, the following approximations may be made:

\[ j^* \Psi^s_{s^*} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad j^* \Psi^r_{r^*} = k \quad (4) \]

This way, the first two relations from Eqs. (3) become:

\[ 0 = (s_{ks} + j \omega^*_s + s) \Delta \Psi^s_{s^*} - s_{ks} \cdot k \cdot \Delta \Psi^r_{r^*} \quad (5) \]

\[ k(\Delta \omega^* - \Delta \omega_s^*) = -s_{kr} \cdot k \cdot \Delta \Psi^r_{r^*} + (s_{kr} + s) \Delta \Psi^s_{s^*} \]

The analysis of these relations can be simplified if it is considered that \( R_s \simeq 0 \). But this simplifying hypothesis leads to satisfactory results only inside the interval \( \omega^*_s \in (0,5 \div 1) \).

So it is imposed to analyze the situation when \( R_s \neq 0 \), but considering that the studied phenomenon is linearized.

In this purpose it is considered that the motor operated without load before modifying the frequency. In this situation, owing to the low frequency of the rotor current, its active component may be neglected.

Thus, one can write:

\[ \Delta i^*_{dr} = \Delta i^*_{d^*} + j \Delta i^*_{q^*} \simeq \Delta i^*_{d^*} = \frac{\Delta \Psi^r_{r^*} - k \Delta \Psi^s_{s^*}}{x_{s^*}} \quad (6) \]

The following relation is obtained by computations, by solving the system equation (5) relatively to \( \Delta \Psi^s_{s^*} \) and \( \Delta \Psi^s_{s^*} \), by replacing these relations in equation (6):

\[ \Delta i^*_{d^*} = \Delta \omega^*_s = \frac{s + j \omega^*_s + \varepsilon}{s^2 + (s_{ks} + s_{kr} + j \omega^*_s)s + s_{kr}(\varepsilon + j \omega^*_s)} \quad (7) \]

\[ \cdot k(\Delta \omega^* - \Delta \omega_s^*) \]

where the following notation has been used:

\[ \varepsilon = (1 - k^2)s_{ks} = \frac{r^*_s}{x_{s^*}} = \frac{r^*_r}{x_{r^*}} \quad (8) \]

When \( \omega^*_s \geq 0,1 \) it results that it can be considered (with approximation):

\[ (\Psi^s_{s^*})^* = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^r_{r^*} = -jk \quad (9) \]

In these conditions, the following relation is obtained by applying Laplace transformation to the equation (9):

\[ hs \cdot \Delta \omega^* = -\frac{k}{x_{st}} \text{Re}(\Delta \Psi^r_{r^*} - k \Delta \Psi^s_{s^*}) \quad (10) \]

or, equivalently

\[ hs \cdot \Delta \omega^* = -\frac{k}{x_{st}} \text{Re}(\Delta \Psi^r_{dr^*} - k \Delta \Psi^s_{ds^*}) \quad (11) \]

respectively

\[ hs \cdot \Delta \omega^* = -k \Delta i^*_{dr^*} \quad (12) \]

### III. SIMULATIONS. QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

Further on, for the study of the induction motor stability, the equations (7) and (12) established before are used. The first relation can be written in the form [6]:

\[ \Delta \omega^* = -\frac{k}{hs} \cdot \Delta i^*_{dr^*} \Leftrightarrow \Delta \omega^* = G_1(s) \cdot \Delta i^*_{dr^*} \quad (13) \]

\[ G_1(s) = -\frac{k}{hs} \quad (14) \]

The second relation is processed analogously:

\[ \Delta i^*_{dr^*} = G_2(s) \cdot (\Delta \omega^*_s - \Delta \omega^*) \quad (15) \]

where

\[ G_2(s) = \frac{s + j \omega^*_s + \varepsilon}{s^2 + (s_{ks} + s_{kr} + j \omega^*_s)s + s_{kr}(\varepsilon + j \omega^*_s)} \cdot k \quad (16) \]

The following configuration can be drawn by using equations (13) and (15):

Fig. 1 Machine block scheme in the mentioned situation

Further on it is possible to pass to the stability study in our concrete case by using all these introductive notions. This analysis will be made with the help of a Matlab program conceived on the basis of the scheme depicted in fig. 1 and of
the equations (13), (14), (15) and (16).

The following graphics have been obtained by running this program.

Observation 1

In order to obtain the characteristics depicted in the figures 2 and 3 it has been considered that the induction motor has the following parameters:

\[ r_s^* = 0.0989; \quad r_r^* = 0.0725; \quad x_s^* = 2.1907; \quad x_r^* = 2.1865; \]

\[ x_{lm}^* = 2.0623; \quad x_{st}^* = 0.2456; \quad x_{rf}^* = 0.2451; \quad s_{kr} = 0.4026; \]

\[ s_{kr} = 0.2958; \quad k = 0.9414; \quad h = 32.4; \quad \varepsilon = 0.0458. \]

Observation 2

With the help of a specially conceived Matlab program [15] and of the characteristics corresponding to the cases when a parameter from the ones depicted in the second
column of the table I is successively modified (over the initial case), the margins of phase depicted in the third column of the same table are obtained.

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Absolute Value [Ω, H, [kgm²]]</th>
<th>Per unit parameter</th>
<th>Per unit value</th>
<th>Phase margin [degree]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_s$</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.0988</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R'_r$</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.0330</td>
<td></td>
<td>74.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R'_r$</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.0725</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_s$</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.0593</td>
<td></td>
<td>53.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L'_r$</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>2.1907</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L'_r$</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>2.2735</td>
<td></td>
<td>69.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{sh}$</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>2.1865</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{sh}$</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>2.2694</td>
<td></td>
<td>67.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.8138</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>2.243</td>
<td></td>
<td>47.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following conclusions can be emphasized, by analyzing the previous results:
- the decrease of the stator winding resistance leads to the stability decrease;
- the rotor resistance decrease has also as an effect, the decrease of the machine stability and conversely;
- the increase of the stator winding inductivity leads to the stability decrease;
- at the same time with the rotor inductivity increase, the system stability decreases;
- the main inductivity increase has a non-stabilizing effect;
- the inertia moment increase contributes to the stability increase.

In order to catch quantitatively these interdependences, the following table can be filled.

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Per cent variation of the parameter</th>
<th>Per cent variation of the phase margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_s$</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R'_r$</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>28.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_s$</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>8.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L'_r$</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>10.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{sh}$</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IV. EXPERIMENTAL CIRCUIT**

In order to confirm the previous conclusions, a series of experimental tests have been performed; a few of them are detailed further on.

Thus, the experimental circuit has the structure depicted in the figure 4 ([5], [7] and [8]).

The notations have the following meaning:
- IM – induction motor;
- VFSC – voltage and frequency static converter;
- DAS – data acquisition board;
- CSB – command and synchronization block;
- PB – protection block;
- MPB – magnetic powder break;
- BCB – brake command block;
- STA 16 – connection block.

A picture of this circuit is depicted for conformity.

In order to obtain the determinations in dynamic regime the experimental circuit depicted before has been carried out, having a data acquisition board DAS [16] as a central element. This high speed analogical and digital interface has been assembled inside a computer. Both the acquisition and the adequate data processing are controlled with the help of a program conceived in Matlab.

The main characteristics of the board are presented in the following table.

**TABLE III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Number of analogical inputs</td>
<td>16 unipolar inputs or 8 differential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Resolution of the analogical-numerical converter</td>
<td>12 bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Inputs:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unipolar &amp; bipolar</td>
<td>$0 \div +10$ V &amp; $\pm 10$ V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Domains selection</td>
<td>By the program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Amplifications of the input domains</td>
<td>1, 10, 100, 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Channels D/A (12 bit)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Digital lines I/O</td>
<td>32 bit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Maximum sampling frequency 100 kHz
9. Acquisition time 1,4 ms

The correspondence between the amplification of the input domains, the input type and the maximum rate for scanning several channels so that to obtain the same results as in the case when a single channel is scanned, is emphasized in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amp.</th>
<th>Unipolar</th>
<th>Bipolar</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 ÷ +10 V</td>
<td>± 10 V</td>
<td>100 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 ÷ +1 V</td>
<td>± 1 V</td>
<td>100 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0 ÷ 100 mV</td>
<td>± 100 mV</td>
<td>70 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0 ÷ +20 mV</td>
<td>± 20 mV</td>
<td>30 kHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data transfer can be made in three ways:
- by direct transfer into the memory without the intermediary micro-processor DMA (Direct Memory Access);
- by subroutine of interruptions;
- by program.

The command and synchronization block CSB ensures the data acquisition starting before the motor starting. The delay occurring between the two moments is then corrected by means of software.

The module PI 200 has been used for adapting the measured currents to the values required by the board. It contains a current transformer in whose secondary there is connected a calibrated resistance; the voltage drop occurring on this resistance is of maximum +10 V.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The graphics depicted in the following figures have been obtained with the help of the previous circuit, for the case of an indirect voltage and frequency static converter voltage source with PWM command and voltage inverter with pre-computed commutation moments.
VI. CONCLUSION

A few interesting conclusions regarding the induction motor parameters influences on the dynamic regime behavior of the analyzed driving systems can be emphasized with the help of the programs detailed before:
- the stator resistance decrease increases very little the duration of the currents transient process and decreases the system stability, respectively;
- the rotor resistance decrease causes the increase of the stabilization time and the stability decrease, respectively;
- when the value of the stator inductance increases the transient process duration increases;
- the rotor inductance value increase also involves the increase of the transient process duration;
- the main inductance decrease determines a faster stabilization of the process (stability increase);
- the inertia moment value increase leads to the increase of the currents stabilization time, and to the stability decrease.

Moreover, when the inverter with pre-established commutation moments is used, it is also noticed that the maximum values of the motor phase currents are modified.

As one can observe, these experimental conclusions confirm the conclusions obtained with the new numerical method for analysis.
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