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#### Abstract

In this paper we define and study a new Birkhoff type integral $(B w) \int_{A} f d \mu$ (called Birkhoff weak) for a real function $f$ with respect to a set multifunction $\mu$ taking values in the family of all nonempty subsets of a real Banach space. Some classical properties are presented, such as heredity, monotonicity (relative to the function $f$, to the set multifunction $\mu$ and to the set $A$ ), homogeneity (with respect to $f$ and $\mu$ ) and additivity (relative to $f, \mu$ and $A$ ). Birkhoff weak integrability properties on atoms are also established.
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## I. Introduction

Beginning with the work of Choquet [7], the theory of setvalued integrals started to develop due to its remarkable applications in statistics, evidence theory, data mining problems, decision making theory, subjective evaluations, medicine.

Different types of set-valued integrals have been defined and studied by many authors (e.g., [1], [3], [4], [5], [6], [8], [10], [12-16], [17], [20], [21], [22-25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30]).

The Birkhoff integral [2] was defined for a vector function $f: T \rightarrow X$ with respect to a complete finite measure $m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$, using series of type $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f\left(t_{n}\right) m\left(A_{n}\right)$ determined by a countable partition $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}}$ of $T$ and $t_{n} \in A_{n}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. This definition was generalized (for example in [11]) for the case of a vector multifunction $F$ and a complete finite measure $m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ using series of type $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(t_{n}\right) m\left(A_{n}\right)$.

In [18] Gould defined an integral for a vector function $f: T \rightarrow X$ relative to a complete finite measure $m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow$ $[0,+\infty)$ using finite sums of type $\sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) m\left(A_{k}\right)$ determined by a finite partition $\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ of $T$ and $t_{k} \in A_{k}$ for every $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

Considering countable partitions and finite sums instead of series, in this paper we define and study a new Birkhoff type integral for real functions with respect to set multifunctions taking values in the family of all nonempty subsets of a real Banach space. This definition is more simple, easier handle
and may be placed between the Birkhoff integral and the Gould integral.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 is for introduction. In the second section we give some basic concepts and results. In Section 3 we define a new Birkhoff type integral $(B w) \int_{A} f d \mu$ (called Birkhoff weak) for a real function $f$ with respect to a set multifunction $\mu$ taking values in the family of all nonempty subsets of a real Banach space. We present some classical properties of this integral, such as heredity, monotonicity (relative to the function $f$, to the set multifunction $\mu$ and to the set $A$ ), homogeneity (with respect to $f$ and $\mu$ ) and additivity (relative to $f, \mu$ and $A$ ). Section 4 contains some particular cases concerning Birkhoff weak integrability on atoms. The final Section 5 highlights some conclusions.

## II. Preliminaries

Let be $T$ a nonempty set, $\mathcal{P}(T)$ the family of all subsets of $T$ and $\mathbb{R}^{T}$ the set of all real functions defined on $T$. Let also be $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ a real Banach space with the metric $d$ induced by its norm, $\mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ the family of all nonempty subsets of $X, \mathcal{P}_{c}(X)$ the family of all nonempty convex subsets of $X, \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ the family of all nonempty closed subsets of $X$, $\mathcal{P}_{b f}(X)$ the family of all nonempty bounded closed subsets of $X, \mathcal{P}_{b f c}(X)$ the family of all nonempty bounded closed convex subsets of $X$ and $\mathcal{P}_{k c}(X)$ the family of all nonempty compact convex subsets of $X$.
For every $M, N \in \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ and every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let $M+N=$ $\{x+y \mid x \in M, y \in N\}$ and $\alpha M=\{\alpha x \mid x \in M\}$. We denote by $\bar{M}$ the closure of $M$ with respect to the topology induced by the norm of $X$.

By " $\dot{+}$ " we mean the Minkowski addition on $\mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$, that is,

$$
M \dot{+} N=\overline{M+N}, \quad \forall M, N \in \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)
$$

Let $h$ be the Hausdorff metric given by

$$
h(M, N)=\max \{e(M, N), e(N, M)\}, \quad \forall M, N \in \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)
$$

where $e(M, N)=\sup _{x \in M} d(x, N)$ and $d(x, N)=\inf _{y \in N} d(x, y)$.

It is well-known that $\left(\mathcal{P}_{b f}(X), h\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{P}_{k c}(X), h\right)$ are complete metric spaces ([19]).

We denote $|M|=h(M,\{0\})$, for every $M \in \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$, where 0 is the origin of $X$.

By $i=\overline{1, n}$ we mean $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, where $\mathbb{N}^{*}=\mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2 \ldots\}$. We also denote $\mathbb{R}_{+}=$ $[0, \infty)$. In the following proposition we recall some properties regarding the excess and the Hausdorff metric ([19]).

Proposition 1: Let $A, B, C, D, A_{i}, B_{i} \in \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$, for every $i=\overline{1, n}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Then:
(i) $h(A, B)=h(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$.
(ii) $e(A, B)=0$ if and only if $A \subseteq \bar{B}$.
(iii) $h(A, B)=0$ if and only if $\bar{A}=\bar{B}$.
(iv) $h(\alpha A, \alpha B)=|\alpha| h(A, B), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
(v) $h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(A_{i}, B_{i}\right)$.
(vi) $h(\alpha A, \beta A) \leq|\alpha-\beta| \cdot|A|, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.
(vii) $h(\alpha A \dot{+} \beta B, \gamma A \dot{+} \delta B) \leq|\alpha-\gamma| \cdot|A|+|\beta-\delta| \cdot|B|$, $\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$.
(viii) $h(A+C, B+C)=h(A, B)$, for every $A, B \in$ $\mathcal{P}_{b f c}(X)$ and $C \in \mathcal{P}_{b}(X)$.
(ix) $\alpha(A+B)=\alpha A+\alpha B, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
(x) $(\alpha+\beta) A=\alpha A+\beta A$, for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\alpha \beta \geq 0$ and every convex $A \in \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$.
(xi) $\alpha A \subseteq \beta A$, for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, with $\alpha \leq \beta$ and every convex $A \in \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$, with $\{0\} \subseteq A$.
(xii) If $X=\mathbb{R}$, then $h([a, b],[c, d])=\max \{|a-c|,|b-d|\}$, for every $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b, c \leq d$.

In the sequel, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $T$.
Definition 2: (i) A finite (countable, respectively) partition of $T$ is a finite (countable, respectively) family of nonempty sets $P=\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=\overline{1, n}}\left(\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right.$, respectively $) \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset, i \neq j$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}=T\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n}=T\right.$, respectively).
(ii) If $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are two finite (or countable) partitions of $T$, then $P^{\prime}$ is said to be finer than $P$, denoted by $P \leq P^{\prime}$ (or, $\left.P^{\prime} \geq P\right)$, if every set of $P^{\prime}$ is included in some set of $P$.
(iii) The common refinement of two finite or countable partitions $P=\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ and $P^{\prime}=\left\{B_{j}\right\}$ is the partition $P \wedge P^{\prime}=\left\{A_{i} \cap B_{j}\right\}$.

Obviously, $P \wedge P^{\prime} \geq P$ and $P \wedge P^{\prime} \geq P^{\prime}$.
We denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the class of all partitions of $T$ and if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is fixed, by $\mathcal{P}_{A}$ we denote the class of all partitions of $A$.

All over the paper, $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ will be a set multifunction, with $\mu(\emptyset)=\{0\}$.

Definition 3: $\mu$ is said to be:
(i) monotone if $\mu(A) \subseteq \mu(B), \forall A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, with $A \subseteq B$.
(ii) subadditive if $\mu(A \cup B) \subseteq \mu(A)+\mu(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, with $A \cap B=\emptyset$.
(iii) a multisubmeasure if $\mu$ is monotone and subadditive.
(iv) finitely additive if $\mu(A \cup B)=\mu(A)+\mu(B)$ for every disjoint $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.
(v) null-additive if $\mu(A \cup B)=\mu(A)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, with $\mu(B)=\{0\}$.
(vi) $\sigma$-null-null-additive if $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n}\right)=\{0\}, \forall A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\mu\left(A_{n}\right)=\{0\}$.

Definition 4: Let $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ be a set-valued set function.
(i) The variation $\bar{\mu}$ of $\mu$ is the set function $\bar{\mu}: \mathcal{P}(T) \rightarrow$ $[0,+\infty]$ defined by $\bar{\mu}(E)=\sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\mu\left(A_{i}\right)\right|\right\}$, for every $E \in$ $\mathcal{P}(T)$, where the supremum is extended over all finite families of pairwise disjoint sets $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset \mathcal{A}$, with $A_{i} \subseteq E$, for every $i=\overline{1, n}$.
(ii) $\mu$ is said to be of finite variation on $\mathcal{A}$ if $\bar{\mu}(T)<\infty$.
(iii) $\widetilde{\mu}$, defined, for every $A \subseteq T$, by

$$
\widetilde{\mu}(A)=\inf \{\bar{\mu}(B) ; A \subseteq B, B \in \mathcal{A}\}
$$

Remark 5:
I. If $E \in \mathcal{A}$, then in definition of $\bar{\mu}$ we may consider the supremum over all finite partitions $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset \mathcal{A}$, of $E$.
II. $|\mu(A)| \leq \bar{\mu}(A)$, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$;
III. $\bar{\mu}$ is monotone and super-additive on $\mathcal{P}(T)$, that is $\bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}\right) \geq \sum_{i \in I} \bar{\mu}\left(A_{n}\right)$, for every finite or countable partition $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of $\stackrel{i \in I}{T}$.
IV. If $\mu$ is finitely additive, then $\bar{\mu}$ is finitely additive.

V . If $\mu$ is a multisubmeasure, then $\mu$ is null-additive.
Remark 6: Suppose $X$ is a Banach lattice and we denote by $\Lambda$ the positive cone of $X$, i. e. $\Lambda=\{x \in X ; x \geq 0\}$. If $m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a set function, we consider the induced set multifunction (see [13]) $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{b f}(X)$, defined by $\mu(A)=[0, m(A)]$, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then:
I. $|\mu(A)|=\|m(A)\|, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$;
II. $\bar{\mu}=\bar{m}$ on $\mathcal{P}(T)$;
III. $\widetilde{\mu}=\widetilde{m}$ on $\mathcal{P}(T)$;
IV. If $m$ is monotone ( $\sigma$-subadditive, $\sigma$-additive, respectively), then $\mu$ is monotone ( $\sigma$-subadditive, $\sigma$-additive set-valued measure, respectively).

Definition 7: A property ( $P$ ) about the points of $T$ holds almost everywhere (denoted $\mu$-a.e.) if there exists $A \in \mathcal{P}(T)$ so that $\widetilde{\mu}(A)=0$ and $(P)$ holds on $T \backslash A$.

Definition 8: I. A set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be an atom of $\mu$ if $\mu(A) \supsetneq\{0\}$ and for every $B \in \mathcal{A}$, with $B \subset A$, we have $\mu(B)=\{0\}$ or $\mu(A \backslash B)=\{0\}$.
II. $\mu$ is said to be finitely (countably, respectively) purely atomic if there is a finite (countable, respectively) disjoint family $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right.$, respectively $) \subset \mathcal{A}$ of atoms of $\mu$ so that $T=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\left(T=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n}\right.$, respectively $)$.

Lemma 9: Let $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$, with $\mu(\emptyset)=\{0\}$ and let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be an atom of $\mu$.
I. If $\mu$ is monotone and the set $B \in \mathcal{A}$ is so that $B \subseteq A$ and $\mu(B) \supsetneq\{0\}$, then $B$ is also an atom of $\mu$ and $\mu(A \backslash B)=\{0\}$. Moreover, if $\mu$ is null-additive, then $\mu(B)=\mu(A)$.
II. If $\mu$ is monotone and null-additive, then for every finite partition $\left\{B_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ of $A$, there exists only one $i_{0}=\overline{1, n}$ so that $\mu\left(B_{i_{0}}\right)=\mu(A)$ and $\mu\left(B_{i}\right)=\{0\}$ for every $i=\overline{1, n}, i \neq i_{0}$.
III. Suppose $\mu$ is monotone, null-additive and $\sigma$-null- nulladditive. Then for every countable partition $\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $A$, there is an unique $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\mu\left(B_{n_{0}}\right)=\mu(A)$ and $\mu\left(B_{n}\right)=\{0\}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq n_{0}$.

Proof. I. Since $A$ is an atom and $\mu(B) \neq\{0\}$, it results $\mu(A \backslash B)=\{0\}$. Let be $C \in \mathcal{A}, C \subseteq B$. Since $C \subseteq A$ and $A$ is an atom, it follows $\mu(C)=\{0\}$ or $\mu(A \backslash C)=$ $\{0\}$. If $\mu(A \backslash C)=\{0\}$, by the monotonicity of $\mu$, it results $\mu(B \backslash C)=\{0\}$. So, $B$ is an atom of $\mu$. If moreover $\mu$ is nulladditive, since $\mu(A)=\mu((A \backslash B) \cup B)$ and $\mu(A \backslash B)=\{0\}$, we obtain $\mu(B)=\mu(A)$.
II. If $\mu\left(B_{i}\right)=\{0\}$ for every $i=\overline{1, n}$, by the null-additivity of $\mu$, it results $\mu(A)=\{0\}$, false! Then there is $i_{0}=\overline{1, n}$ such that $\mu\left(B_{i_{0}}\right) \neq\{0\}$. From I, it follows $\mu\left(B_{i_{0}}\right)=\mu(A)$ and $\mu\left(A \backslash B_{i_{0}}\right)=\{0\}$. But $B_{i} \subseteq A \backslash B_{i_{0}}$ for every $i=\overline{1, n}$, $i \neq i_{0}$ and since $\mu$ is monotone, it results $\mu\left(B_{i}\right)=\{0\}$, for every $i=\overline{1, n}, i \neq i_{0}$.
III. The proof is analogous to that of II.

In the sequel let $T$ be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space, $\mathcal{K}$ be the lattice of all compact subsets of $T, \mathcal{B}$ be the Borel $\sigma$-algebra (that is the smallest $\sigma$-algebra containing $\mathcal{K})$ and $\tau$ be the class of all open sets belonging to $\mathcal{B}$.

In order to state our next theorems, some results of Gavriluţ [14] will be presented.

Definition 10: A set multifunction $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ is called regular if for each set $A \in \mathcal{B}$ and each $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and $D \in \tau$ such that $K \subseteq A \subseteq D$ and $|\mu(D \backslash K)|<\varepsilon$.

Theorem 11: Let $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ be regular multisubmeasure. If $A \in \mathcal{B}$ is an atom of $\mu$, then there exists an unique point $a \in A$ such that $\mu(A)=\mu(\{a\})$.

Corollary 12: Let $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ be a regular multisubmeasure. If $A \in \mathcal{B}$ is an atom of $\mu$, then there exists an unique point $a \in A$ such that $\mu(A \backslash\{a\})=\{0\}$.

Remark 13: Suppose $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ is a finitely purely atomic regular multisubmeasure. So there exists a finite family $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset \mathcal{A}$ of pairwise disjoint atoms of $\mu$ so that $T=$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$. By Corollary 12 , there are unique $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in T$ $\stackrel{i=1}{\text { such that } \mu\left(A_{i} \backslash\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)=\{0\} \text {, for every } i=\overline{1, n} \text {. Then we }}$ have
$\mu\left(T \backslash\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}\right) \subset \mu\left(T \backslash\left\{a_{1}\right\}\right)+\ldots+\mu\left(T \backslash\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right)=\{0\}$,
which implies $\mu\left(T \backslash\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}\right)=\{0\}$. Now, since $\mu$ is null-additive, it follows $\mu(T)=\mu\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}\right)$.

## III. BIRKHOFF WEAK INTEGRABILITY OF REAL FUNCTIONS RELATIVE TO A SET MULTIFUNCTION

In this section we define a Birkhoff type integral (named Birkhoff weak) of real functions with respect to a set multifunction and present some of its classical properties.

In the sequel, suppose $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, $T$ is infinite, $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $T$ and $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ is a set multifunction of finite variation such that $\mu(\emptyset)=\{0\}$.

Definition 14: I. [9] Let $m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a non-negative set function. A function $f \in \mathbb{R}^{T}$ is said to be Birkhoff weak m-integrable (on $T$ ) if there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ having the property that for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist a countable partition $P_{\varepsilon}$ of $T$ and $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every other countable partition $P=\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $T$, with $P \geq P_{\varepsilon}$ and every $t_{n} \in A_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds $\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) m\left(A_{k}\right)-a\right|<\varepsilon$, for every $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$.
The real $a$ is called the Birkhoff weak m-integral of $f$ (on $T$ ) and is denoted by $(B w) \int_{T} f d m$ or simply $\int_{T} f d m$.
II. A function $f \in \mathbb{R}^{T}$ is said to be Birkhoff weak $\mu$-integrable on $T$ (shortly $\mu$-integrable) if there exists $E \in \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ having the property that for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist a countable partition $P_{\varepsilon}$ of $T$ and $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every other countable partition $P=\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $T$, with $P \geq P_{\varepsilon}$ and every $t_{n} \in A_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds $h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(A_{k}\right), E\right)<\varepsilon$, for every $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$.
The set $\bar{E}$ is called the Birkhoff weak $\mu$-integral of $f$ on $T$ and is denoted by $(B w) \int_{T} f d \mu$ or simply $\int_{T} f d \mu$.
$f$ is called Birkhoff weak $\mu$-integrable on a set $E \in \mathcal{A}$ if the restriction $f \mid E$ is Birkhoff weak $\mu$-integrable on $\left(E, \mathcal{A}_{E}, \mu\right)$ and its integral is denoted by $(B) \int_{E} f d \mu$ or simply $\int_{E} f d \mu$.

Remark 15: If they exist, the integrals in Definition 14 are unique.

Example 16: I. Suppose $T=\left\{t_{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is countable, $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and let be $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f\left(t_{n}\right) \mu\left(\left\{t_{n}\right\}\right)$ is unconditionally convergent. Then $f$ is Birkhoff weak $\mu$-integrable and $(B w) \int_{T} f d \mu=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f\left(t_{n}\right) \mu\left(\left\{t_{n}\right\}\right)$.
II. Suppose $m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a non-negative set function and $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k c}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is the set multifunction induced by $m$, that is $\mu(A)=[0, m(A)]$, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$ be a function. Then $f$ is Birkhoff weak $\mu$-integrable on $T$ if and only if $f$ is Birkhoff weak $m$-integrable on $T$. Moreover, $(B w) \int_{T} f d \mu=\left[0,(B w) \int_{T} f d m\right]$.
This follows by Definition 14 and Proposition 1-(xii).
In the sequel we present some classical integral properties.

Theorem 17: Let $f \in \mathbb{R}^{T}$ be bounded. If $f=0 \mu$-ae, then $f$ is $\mu$-integrable and $\int_{T} f d \mu=\{0\}$.

Proof. Since $f$ is bounded, there exists $M>0$ so that $|f(t)| \leq M$, for every $t \in T$.
Denoting $A=\{t \in T ; f(t) \neq 0\}$ and since $f=0 \mu$-ae, we have $\widetilde{\mu}(A)=0$. Then, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $B_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $A \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}$ and $\bar{\mu}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right)<\varepsilon / M$. Let $P_{\varepsilon_{\infty}}=\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a partition of $T$, such that $C_{0}=T \backslash B_{\varepsilon}$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}=B_{\varepsilon}$.
Consider now an arbitrary partition $P=\left\{D_{i}^{i=1}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $T$ so that $P \geq P_{\varepsilon}$. Let $t_{i} \in D_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrarily chosen. Without any loss of generality, we may consider $P=P^{\prime} \cup P^{\prime \prime}, P^{\prime}=$ $\left\{D_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, P^{\prime \prime}=\left\{D_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_{i}^{\prime}=C_{0}$ and $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_{i}^{\prime \prime}=$ $B_{\varepsilon}$.
Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{i=0}^{n} f\left(t_{i}\right) \mu\left(D_{i}\right)\right| \leq\left|\sum_{i=0}^{n} f\left(t_{i}\right) \mu\left(D_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leq \\
& \quad \leq M \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|\mu\left(D_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leq M \cdot \bar{\mu}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right)<\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $f$ is $\mu$-integrable and $\int_{T} f d \mu=\{0\}$.
Theorem 18: [10] Let $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real function. Then $f$ is $\mu$-integrable on $A \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $f \chi_{A}$ is $\mu$-integrable on $T$, where $\chi_{A}$ is the characteristic function of $A$.

Theorem 19: Let be $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{c}(X)$ and $f, g: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $\mu$-integrable functions so that $f(t) \cdot g(t) \geq 0$, for every $t \in T$. Then $f+g$ is $\mu$-integrable and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}(f+g) d \mu=\int_{T} f d \mu \dot{+} \int_{T} g d \mu \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f$ is $\mu$-integrable, then for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $P_{1} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $P \in \mathcal{P}, P=\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $P \geq P_{1}$ and every $t_{n} \in A_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(A_{k}\right), \int_{T} f d \mu\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, because $g$ is $\mu$-integrable, there exist $P_{2} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $P \in \mathcal{P}, P=\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $P \geq P_{2}$ and every $t_{n} \in B_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{n}\right), \int_{T} g d \mu\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let be $P_{0}=P_{1} \wedge P_{2}$ and $n_{0}=\max \left\{n_{\varepsilon}^{1}, n_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\}$.
Then for every partition $P=\left\{C_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}$, with $P \geq P_{0}$ and $t_{n} \in C_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (2) and (3) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}(f+g)\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right), \int_{T} f d \mu+\int_{T} g d \mu\right)= \\
& =h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right), \int_{T} f d \mu+\int_{T} g d \mu\right) \leq \\
& \leq h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n=0} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right), \int_{T} f d \mu\right)+ \\
& +h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right), \int_{T} g d \mu\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f+g$ is $\mu$-integrable and (1) is satisfied.
Theorem 20: If $f, g: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are $\mu$-integrable bounded functions, then

$$
h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \int_{T} g d \mu\right) \leq \sup _{t \in T}|f(t)-g(t)| \cdot \bar{\mu}(T) .
$$

Proof. Since $f$ is $\mu$-integrable, then for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $P_{1} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $P=\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}$, with $P \geq P_{1}$ and $t_{n} \in A_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(A_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, because $g$ is $\mu$-integrable, there exist $P_{2} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $P=\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}$, with $P \geq P_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\int_{T} g d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let be $P_{1} \wedge P_{2} \in \mathcal{P}, P=\left\{C_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}$, with $P \geq P_{1} \wedge P_{2}$ and $t_{n} \in C_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrarily. Consider a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq$ $\max \left\{n_{\varepsilon}^{1}, n_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\}$. Then from (4) and (5) it results

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \int_{T} g d \mu\right) \leq h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right)\right)+ \\
& +h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right)\right)+ \\
& +h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right), \int_{T} g d \mu\right) \ll \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
& +h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right)\right) \leq \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|f\left(t_{k}\right)-g\left(t_{k}\right)\right|\left|\mu\left(C_{k}\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
& +\sup _{t \in T}|f(t)-g(t)| \cdot \bar{\mu}(T)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$. This implies $h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \int_{T} g d \mu\right) \leq$ $\sup _{t \in T}|f(t)-g(t)| \cdot \bar{\mu}(T)$.

As a consequence of the previous theorem we obtain:
Corollary 21: If $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mu$-integrable bounded function, then

$$
\left|\int_{T} f d \mu\right| \leq \sup _{t \in T}|f(t)| \cdot \bar{\mu}(T)
$$

The next proposition easily follows from Definition 14-II.
Theorem 22: Let be $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a $\mu$-integrable function and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:
I) $\alpha f$ is $\mu$-integrable and

$$
\int_{T} \alpha f d \mu=\alpha \int_{T} f d \mu
$$

II) $f$ is $\alpha \mu$-integrable and

$$
\int_{T} f d(\alpha \mu)=\alpha \int_{T} f d \mu
$$

Theorem 23: Suppose $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{c}(X)$ is so that 0 is in $\mu(A)$ for every $A$ in $\mathcal{A}$. If $f, g: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$are $\mu$-integrable functions on $T$ so that $f \leq g$ on $T$, then $\int_{T} f d \mu \subseteq \int_{T} g d \mu$.
Proof. Since $f$ is $\mu$-integrable, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $P_{1} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $P=\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in$ $\mathcal{P}, P \geq P_{1}$ and every $t_{n} \in A_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(A_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{1} .
$$

Analogously, because $g$ is $\mu$-integrable, there exist $P_{2} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $P=\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}, P \geq P_{2}$ and every $t_{n} \in B_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
h\left(\int_{T} g d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{2}
$$

Consider $P_{0}=P_{1} \wedge P_{2}$. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be arbitrarily chosen, with $P=\left\{C_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \geq P_{0}$. Then $P \geq P_{1}$ and $P \geq P_{2}$. Let be $t_{n} \in C_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq$ $\max \left\{n_{\varepsilon}^{1}, n_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\}$. We get that $h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\bar{\varepsilon}}{3}$ and $\left(\int_{T} g d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, which imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \int_{T} g d \mu\right) \leq h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right)\right)+ \\
& +e\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right)\right)+ \\
& \left.+h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right), \int_{T} g d \mu\right)\right)< \\
& <\frac{2 \varepsilon}{3}+e\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (xi) and (ii) of Proposition 1, it holds $e\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \int_{T} g d \mu\right)<\frac{2 \varepsilon}{3}$, for every $\varepsilon>0$, which implies $\int_{T} f d \mu \subseteq \int_{T} g d \mu$.

Theorem 24: Let be $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$, with $\mu_{1}(\emptyset)=$ $\mu_{2}(\emptyset)=\{0\}$ and suppose $f: T \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is both $\mu_{1-}$ integrable and $\mu_{2}$-integrable. If $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ is the set multifunction defined by $\mu(A)=\mu_{1}(A)+\mu_{2}(A)$, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $f$ is $\mu$-integrable and

$$
\int_{T} f d\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)=\int_{T} f d \mu_{1} \dot{+} \int_{T} f d \mu_{2}
$$

Proof. Since $f$ is $\mu_{1}$-integrable, then for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $P_{1} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $P=\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in$ $\mathcal{P}, P \geq P_{1}$ and $t_{n} \in A_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu_{1}, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{1}\left(A_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ is $\mu_{2}$-integrable, there exist $P_{2} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $P=\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}, P \geq P_{2}$ and $t_{n} \in B_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu_{2}, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{2}\left(B_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let be $n \geq \max \left\{n_{\varepsilon}^{1}, n_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\}, P=\left\{C_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}, P \geq P_{1} \wedge P_{2}$ and $t_{n} \in C_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Then, by (6) and (7), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(C_{k}\right), \int_{T} f d \mu_{1}+\int_{T} f d \mu_{2}\right)= \\
& =h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right)\left[\mu_{1}\left(C_{k}\right)+\mu_{2}\left(C_{k}\right)\right], \int_{T} f d \mu_{1}+\int_{T} f d \mu_{2}\right)= \\
& =h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{1}\left(C_{k}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{2}\left(C_{k}\right), \int_{T} f d \mu_{1}+\int_{T} f d \mu_{2}\right) \\
& \leq h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{1}\left(C_{k}\right), \int_{T} f d \mu_{1}\right)+ \\
& +h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{2}\left(C_{k}\right), \int_{T} f d \mu_{2}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $f$ is $\mu$-integrable and $\int_{T} f d\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)=$ $\int_{T} f d \mu_{1} \dot{+} \int_{T} f d \mu_{2}$.

Theorem 25: Let be $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ set multifunctions and $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a simultaneously $\mu_{1}$-integrable and $\mu_{2^{-}}$ integrable function. If $\mu_{1}(A) \subseteq \mu_{2}(A)$, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\int_{T} f d \mu_{1} \subseteq \int_{T} f d \mu_{2}$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrarily. Since $f$ is $\mu_{1}$-integrable, there exist $P_{1} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $P=\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in$ $\mathcal{P}, P \geq P_{1}$ and $t_{n} \in A_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu_{1}, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{1}\left(A_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{1}
$$

Analogously, since $f$ is $\mu_{2}$-integrable, there exist $P_{2} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $P=\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}, P \geq \mathcal{P}_{2}$ and $t_{n} \in B_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu_{2}, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{2}\left(B_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{2}
$$

Let $P_{0}=P_{1} \wedge P_{2}$, and let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be arbitrarily chosen, with $P=\left\{C_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \geq P_{0}$. Let be $t_{n} \in C_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq \max \left\{n_{\varepsilon}^{1}, n_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\}$.

We get that $h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu_{1}, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{1}\left(C_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu_{2}, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{2}\left(C_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \text { which imply } \\
& \quad e\left(\int_{T} f d \mu_{1}, \int_{T} f d \mu_{2}\right) \leq e\left(\int_{T} f d \mu_{1}, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{1}\left(C_{k}\right)\right)+ \\
& \quad+e\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{1}\left(C_{k}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{2}\left(C_{k}\right)\right)+ \\
& \quad+e\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{2}\left(C_{k}\right), \int_{T} f d \mu_{2}\right)< \\
& \quad<\frac{2 \varepsilon}{3}+e\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(\theta_{k}\right) \mu_{1}\left(C_{k}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{2}\left(C_{k}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Proposition 1-(ii), we have

$$
e\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{1}\left(C_{k}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu_{2}\left(C_{k}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

Consequently, $e\left(\int_{T} f d \mu_{1}, \int_{T} f d \mu_{2}\right)<\varepsilon$, for every $\varepsilon>0$, which implies the equality $e\left(\int_{T} f d \mu_{1}, \int_{T} f d \mu_{2}\right)=0$. Applying again Proposition 1-(ii), it results $\int_{T} f d \mu_{1} \subseteq \int_{T} f d \mu_{2}$.

Theorem 26: Suppose $\mu$ is finitely additive. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, with $A \cap B=\emptyset$. If $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mu$-integrable on $A$ and $\mu$-integrable on $B$, then $f$ is $\mu$-integrable on $A \cup B$, and, moreover,

$$
\int_{A \cup B} f d \mu=\int_{A} f d \mu \dot{+} \int_{B} f d \mu
$$

Proof. Let be $\varepsilon>0$. Since $f$ is $\mu$-integrable on $A$, there exist a partition $P_{A}^{\varepsilon}=\left\{C_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}_{A}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $P=\left\{E_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}_{A}, P \geq P_{A}^{\varepsilon}$ and $t_{n} \in E_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
h\left(\int_{A} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(E_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{1} .
$$

Analogously, since $f$ is $\mu$-integrable on $B$, we find a partition $P_{B}^{\varepsilon}=\left\{D_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}_{B}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $P=\left\{E_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}_{B}$, with $P \geq P_{B}^{\varepsilon}$, and $t_{n} \in E_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
h\left(\int_{B} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(E_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{2}
$$

Consider $P_{A \cup B}^{\varepsilon}=\left\{C_{n}, D_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}_{A \cup B}$ and $n \geq$ $\max \left\{n_{\varepsilon}^{1}, n_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\}$. Let $P=\left\{E_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}_{A \cup B}$ such that $P \geq$ $P_{A \cup B}^{\varepsilon}$, then we have
$h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(E_{k}\right), \int_{A} f d \mu \dot{+} \int_{B} f d \mu\right)=$
$\left.=h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right)\left[\mu\left(E_{k} \cap A\right)+\mu\left(E_{k} \cap B\right)\right]\right), \int_{A} f d \mu \dot{+} \int_{B} f d \mu\right)$ $\leq h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(E_{k} \cap A\right), \int_{A} f d \mu\right)+$
$+h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(E_{k} \cap B\right), \int_{B} f d \mu\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon$.

The proof is thus finished.
Theorem 27: Suppose $\mu$ is monotone. Let be $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, with $A \subseteq B$. If $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mu$-integrable on $A$ and $\mu$-integrable on $B$, then

$$
\int_{A} f d \mu \subseteq \int_{B} f d \mu
$$

Proof. Since $f$ is $\mu$-integrable on $A$, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $P_{\varepsilon}^{1}=\left\{C_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}_{A}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $P=\left\{E_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}_{A}$, with $P \geq P_{\varepsilon}^{1}$, and $t_{n} \in E_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\int_{A} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(E_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{1} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, there exist $P_{\varepsilon}^{2}=\left\{D_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}_{B}$ and $n_{\varepsilon}^{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $P=\left\{E_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}_{B}$, with $P \geq P_{\varepsilon}^{2}$, and $t_{n} \in E_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\int_{B} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(E_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider $\widetilde{P}_{\varepsilon}^{1}=\left\{C_{n}, B \backslash A\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then $\widetilde{P}_{\varepsilon}^{1} \in \mathcal{P}_{B}$ and $\widetilde{P}_{\varepsilon}^{1} \wedge P_{\varepsilon}^{2} \in \mathcal{P}_{B}$.

Let also be an arbitrary partition $P=\left\{E_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}_{B}$, with $P \geq \widetilde{P}_{\varepsilon}^{1} \wedge P_{\varepsilon}^{2}$.

We observe that $P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{E_{n} \cap A\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also a partition of $A$ and $P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime} \geq P_{\varepsilon}^{1}$. Consider $n_{\varepsilon}=\max \left\{n_{\varepsilon}^{1}, n_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\}$. Let $t_{n} \in$ $E_{n} \cap A, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Then by (8) and (9), for a fixed $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$
h\left(\int_{B} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(E_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

and

$$
h\left(\int_{A} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(E_{k} \cap A\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

According to Proposition 1-(ii), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e\left(\int_{A} f d \mu, \int_{B} f d \mu\right) \leq h\left(\int_{A} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) m\left(E_{k} \cap A\right)\right)+ \\
& +e\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(E_{k} \cap A\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(E_{k}\right)\right)+ \\
& +h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(E_{k}\right), \int_{B} f d \mu\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$. Then $\int_{A} f d \mu \subseteq \int_{B} f d \mu$, as claimed.
Theorem 28: Suppose $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ is finitely additive.
Let $f, g: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded functions so that:
(i) $f$ is $\mu$-integrable and
(ii) $f=g \mu$-ae.

Then $g$ is $\mu$-integrable and $\int_{T} f d \mu=\int_{T} g d \mu$.
Proof. Let $M=\max \left\{\sup _{t \in T}|f(t)|, \sup _{t \in T}|g(t)|\right\}$. If $M=0$, then $f=g=0$ and the conclusion is evident. Suppose $M>0$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrarily. Since $f$ is $\mu$-integrable, there exist $P_{\varepsilon}=$
$\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $P=\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $P \geq P_{\varepsilon}$ and every $t_{n} \in B_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\left.h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right), \int_{T} f d \mu\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}
$$

Let $E \subset T$ be such that $f=g$ on $T \backslash E$ and $\widetilde{\mu}(E)=0$. By the definition of $\widetilde{\mu}$, there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $E \subseteq A$ and $\bar{\mu}(A)<\frac{\varepsilon}{4 M}$.
Consider $P_{0}=\left\{A \cap A_{n}, A_{n} \backslash A\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}$. Let also be the arbitrary partition $P=\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}$, with $P \geq P_{0}$ and $t_{n} \in B_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, without any loss of generality we suppose that $B_{n}=B_{n}^{\prime} \cup B_{n}^{\prime \prime}$, with $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_{n}^{\prime}=A$ and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_{n}^{\prime \prime}=T \backslash A$. Considering a fixed $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$, we prove that $h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right)<\varepsilon$ (then $g$ is $\mu$-integrable on $T$ and $\left.\int_{T} f d \mu=\int_{T} g d \mu\right)$.

Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right) \leq h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right)+ \\
& +h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right)< \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since $\mu$ is finitely additive, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right)= \\
& =h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \\
& \leq h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right)+ \\
& +h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|f\left(t_{k}\right)-g\left(t_{k}\right)\right| \mid \mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime}\left|+\sum_{k=0}^{n}\right| f\left(t_{k}\right)-g\left(t_{k}\right)| | \mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,
$\left.h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|f\left(t_{j}\right)-g\left(t_{j}\right)\right| \cdot \right\rvert\, \mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime} \mid\right.$ $+\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|f\left(t_{j}\right)-g\left(t_{j}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$.

Since for every $k=\overline{0, n}, B_{k}^{\prime \prime} \subset T \backslash A \subset T \backslash E$ and $f=g$ on $T \backslash E$, then $f\left(t_{k}\right)=g\left(t_{k}\right)$, for every $k=\overline{0, n}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(\int_{T} f d \mu, \sum_{k=0}^{n} g\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right)\right)< \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|f\left(t_{j}\right)-g\left(t_{j}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+2 M \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|\mu\left(B_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+2 M \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n} \bar{\mu}\left(B_{k}^{\prime}\right)= \\
& =\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+2 M \cdot \bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{n} B_{k}^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+2 M \cdot \bar{\mu}(A)< \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+2 M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4 M}=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

so the proof is finished.

## IV. Birkhoff weak integrability on atoms

In this section we obtain some properties regarding Birkhoff weak integrability on atoms and on finitely purely atomic setvalued measure spaces.

In the sequel, suppose $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, $T$ is infinite, $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $T$ and $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ is a set multifunction of finite variation such that $\mu(\emptyset)=\{0\}$.

Firstly, we present a characterization result of Birkhoff weak integrability on atoms.

Theorem 29: Suppose $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ is a $\sigma$-null-nulladditive multisubmeasure and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is an atom of $\mu$. Let $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real function. Then $f$ is Birkhoff weak $\mu$-integrable on $A$ if and only if there exists $E \in \mathcal{P}_{0}(X)$ having the property that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exist a countable partition $P_{\varepsilon}=\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $T$ and $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $t_{n} \in A_{n}$ we have

$$
h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(A_{k}\right), E\right)<\varepsilon, \forall n \geq n_{\varepsilon}
$$

Proof. Let $P^{\prime}=\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable partition of $A$. Since $A$ is an atom of $\mu$, according to Lemma 9-III, we may suppose without any loss of generality that $B_{1}$ is an atom of $\mu, \mu\left(B_{1}\right)=\mu(A)$ and $\mu\left(B_{n}\right)=\{0\}$, for every $n \geq 2$. If we consider $P=\left\{C_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ another countable partition of $A$, with $P \geq P$, then, reasoning as before, we may suppose that $C_{1}$ is an atom of $\mu, \mu\left(C_{1}\right)=\mu(A)$ and $\mu\left(C_{n}\right)=\{0\}$, for every $n \geq 2$.
Since $P \geq P^{\prime}$, we discuss two cases:
I. $C_{1} \subset B_{1}$. In this case, $\mu\left(C_{1}\right)=\mu\left(B_{1}\right)=\mu(A)$.
II. $C_{1} \subset \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n}$. We observe that $\mu\left(C_{1}\right) \subset \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n}\right)=\{0\}$.
(False!) (False!)

In the sequel, $T$ is a locally compact Hausdorff topological space and $\mathcal{B}$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $T$.

Theorem 30: Suppose $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ is a regular $\sigma$ -null-null-additive multisubmeasure. If $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Birkhoff
$\mu$-integrable on an atom $A \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\int_{A} f d \mu=\overline{f(a) \mu(A)}$, where $a \in A$ is the single point resulting by Theorem 11 .

Proof. Let be $\varepsilon>0$. Since $f$ is Birkhoff $\mu$-integrable, by Definition 14-II there exists $P_{\varepsilon}=\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a countable partition of $A$ so that for every $t_{n} \in B_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(t_{k}\right) \mu\left(B_{k}\right), \int_{A} f d \mu\right)<\varepsilon . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose (by Lemma 9-III) that $\mu\left(B_{0}\right)=\mu(A)$ and $\mu\left(B_{n}\right)=$ $\{0\}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. According to Theorem 11, there is an unique $a$ in $A$ so that $\mu(A)=\mu(\{a\})$. Suppose $a \notin B_{0}$. Then there exists an unique $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that $a \in B_{k_{0}}$. Since $\mu$ is monotone and $\mu\left(B_{k_{0}}\right)=\{0\}$, it follows $\mu(\{a\})=\{0\}=$ $\mu(A)$, false!

So $a \in B_{0}$. Taking $t_{0}=a$, from (10) we obtain

$$
h\left(f(a) \mu(A), \int_{A} f d \mu\right)<\varepsilon
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$, which shows that $\int_{A} f d \mu=\overline{f(a) \mu(A)}$.
Corollary 31: Suppose $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ is a finitely purely atomic regular $\sigma$-null-null-additive multisubmeasure, with $T=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$, where $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset \mathcal{A}$ are pairwise disjoint atoms of $m$. If the real function $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $m$-integrable, then $\int_{T} f d \mu=\overline{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(a_{i}\right) \mu\left(A_{i}\right)}$, where $a_{i} \in A_{i}$ is the single point resulting by Theorem 11 , for every $i=\overline{1, n}$.

Corollary 32: Suppose $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{b f}(X)$ is a regular $\sigma$ -null-null-additive multisubmeasure and let $f, f_{n}: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $m$-integrable on an atom $A \in \mathcal{B}$ of $\mu$, such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(a)=$ $f(a)$, where $a \in A$ is the single point resulting from Theorem 11. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A} f_{n} d \mu=\int_{A} f d \mu$.

## V. Conclusions

In this paper we have defined a new Birkhoff type integral $(B w) \int_{A} f d \mu$ (called Birkhoff weak) for a real function $f$ with respect to a set multifunction $\mu$ taking values in the family of all nonempty subsets of a real Banach space. Some classical properties of this integral are presented, such as heredity, monotonicity (relative to $f, \mu$ and $A$ ), homogeneity (with respect to $f$ and $\mu$ ) and additivity (by $f, \mu$ and $A$ ). Birkhoff weak integrability properties on atoms are also established.

Our future research on this integral concerns comparative results with other set-valued integrals, such as the integrals of Aumann type, Gould type or Choquet type and a RadonNikodym type theorem for Birkhoff weak integrability.
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