Remarks on Frobenius groups

Liguo He, Yubing Cao Dept. of Math., Shenyang University of Technology Shenyang, 110870, PR China

Abstract- Let the finite group G act transitively and non-regularly on a finite set Ω whose cardinality $|\Omega|$ is greater than one. Use N to denote the full set of fixed-point-free elements of G acting on Ω along with the identity element. Write H to denote the stabilizer of some $\alpha \in \Omega$ in G. In the note, it is proved that the subset N is a subgroup of G if and only if G is a Frobenius group. It is also proved $G = \langle N \rangle H$, where $\langle N \rangle$ is the subgroup of G generated by N.

Keywords- finite group, Frobenius group, permutation group

I. INTRODUCTION

Finite group G is a transitive permutation group acting on a set Ω , where $|\Omega| > 1$. Recall that an element g of G is a *derangement* if g acts fixed-point-freely on Ω . Let N be the subset of G consisting of all derangements together with the identity, so N is clearly a normal subset of G, but it need not be a subgroup in general. We refer to N as the derangement kernel of G. Observe that Gis the union of the derangement kernel N together with all of point stabilizers, which are conjugate in G, hence |N| > 1. Recall that a transitive action of G on Ω is said to be a Frobenius action if every point stabilizer is nontrivial but the intersection of any two point stabilizers is trivial. A group G is called a Frobenius group when it has a Frobenius action on some set Ω whose cardinality is greater than one. A celebrated theorem of Frobenius asserts that if G is a Frobenius group, then its derangement kernel N is a proper subgroup of G ([5, Theorem (7.2]), and in that case N is called the Frobenius kernel. In [6], it is proved that if all elements in N are involutions, then N is an elementary abelian 2-group such that either G = N or G is a Frobenius group with kernel N. In this note, we show that if the derangement kernel Nis a proper subgroup, then the action of G on Ω is of Frobenius. When N is a subgroup, it is easy to prove G = NH, where H is a point stabilizer in G. In fact, there are other conditions to guarantee G = NH. For example, we show that G = NH when G is 2-transitive on Ω (Proposition 4). Also we show that it is always true

that $G = \langle N \rangle H$, where $\langle N \rangle$ is the subgroup of G generated by N (Theorem 3). We even guess that G = NHwhenever G has a transitive action on Ω . However, we can neither prove the claim nor give a counterexample. Under only the hypothesis that G acts transitively and non-regularly on Ω , the subset N is not generally a subgroup of G. We prove that N is a group if and only if Gis Frobenius group (Theorem 1).

We mention that Frobenius groups paly a prominent role in the theory of finite groups, they usually act as either a starting point or a reduced goal (by the minimal counterexample argument) when investigating some problems of group theory, for example, see [1, 2, 8].

Unless otherwise stated, the notation and terminology is standard, as presented in [5].

Liguo He¹ would like to take this opportunity to thank the NSF of China (Grant No.12171058) for its support.

II. Results

The following result indeed shows that the derangement kernel N is a group exactly when G is a Frobenius group or a regular group. It is clear that $|G| = |N| = |\Omega|$ when G is a regular group on Ω .

Theorem 1. Let G be a transitive and non-regular group acting on Ω with the derangement kernel N, then $|\Omega| \leq |N|$. Furthermore, the following statements are equivalent.

- 1) The action of G on Ω is Frobenius.
- 2) The set N is a subgroup of G.
- 3) The equality $|\Omega| = |N|$ holds.

Proof. Write H for $C_G(\alpha_1)$. Since G acts transitively on Ω , it follows that $|\Omega| = n = |G : H|$ and $G = N \cup$ $C_G(\alpha_1) \cup C_G(\alpha_2) \cup \cdots \cup C_G(\alpha_n)$, then we may deduce that $|G| \leq |N| + n(|H| - 1)$. Thus we get that

$$|G| \le |N| + n|H| - n = |N| + |G:H||H| - n,$$

hence $|\Omega| = n \leq |N|$, as desired.

Now assume part 1. Then the derangement kernel N is just the Frobenius kernel, and Frobenius' theorem ([4,

¹Corresponding author, helg-lxy@sut.edu.cn

Satz V.7.6] or [5, Theorem 7.2]) yields that N is a group, part 2 follows.

Assuming part 2 we deduce that $|\Omega| = |\alpha_1^G| = |\alpha_1^G| = |\alpha_1^{C_G(\alpha_1)N}| = |\alpha_1^N| = |N|$; $C_N(\alpha_1)| = |N|$, hence $|N| = |\Omega|$, part 3 follows.

Finally assume part 3. We have $|\Omega| = |G : H|$, so $|G| = |\Omega||H|$. We are assuming that $|N| = |\Omega| = n$, and thus |G| = |N||H|. By the definition of N, we have $G = N \cup \bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} C_G(\alpha_i)$, so if we write $C_i = C_G(\alpha_i) - 1$, we have $G = N \cup \bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} C_i$. Now, $|C_i| = |H| - 1$ and by assumption, |N| = n, and thus we have $|N||H| = |G| = |N \cup \bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} C_i| \le |N| + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} |C_i| = |N| + n(|H| - 1) = |N| + |N|(|H| - 1) = |N||H|$. Equality holds, and thus the union is disjoint. Then $C_G(\alpha_i) \cap C_G(\alpha_j) = 1$ when i and j are different, and thus by definition, the action of G on Ω is Frobenius. The proof is finished. \Box

The following consequence may be regarded as a slight improvement of Frattini argument (see [7, Theorem 2.1.4], for example).

Lemma 2. Let G act transitively on the set Ω where $|\Omega| > 1$, $H = C_G(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Omega$ and N a subset of G. Then G = HN if and only if $\alpha^N = \Omega$, where $\alpha^N = \{\alpha^n \mid n \in N\}$.

Proof. If G = HN, then $\Omega = \alpha^G = \alpha^{HN} = \alpha^N$, as wanted. For $g \in G$, if $\alpha^g = \beta$ for $\beta \in \Omega$, then since $\alpha^N = \Omega$, there exists some $n \in N$ such that $\alpha^n = \beta$, thus $\alpha^{gn^{-1}} = \alpha$, so $gn^{-1} \in H$, hence $g \in HN$, and so G = HN, as desired.

Theorem 3. Let G be a transitive group acting on Ω with the derangement kernel N and $H = C_G(\alpha_1)$. Then the subgroup $\langle N \rangle$ is transitive on Ω and $\langle N \rangle H = G$. Furthermore, if NH is subgroup, then NH = G.

Proof. Since N is a normal subset, it follows that $\langle N \rangle$ is a normal subgroup, and thus $\langle N \rangle H$ is a subgroup that contains N. Now $\bigcup_{g \in G} (\langle N \rangle H)^g$ contains N and all conjugates of H, and since G is the union of N and the conjugates of H, it follows that $\bigcup_{g \in G} (\langle N \rangle H)^g = G$. But it is a fact that if the union of all conjugates of some subgroup of a group is the whole group, then the subgroup must be the whole group. We have $G = \langle N \rangle H = H \langle N \rangle$. By Lemma 2, all α_i are in the $\langle N \rangle$ -orbit containing α_1 , and thus $\langle N \rangle$ acts transitively. Finally, suppose NH is a subgroup. Then NH contains both $\langle N \rangle$ and H, so it contains $\langle N \rangle H = G$, and thus NH = G.

Observe that G may be expressible as G = NH even though N is not a subgroup, as shown in the following consequence.

Proposition 4. Let G act on the set $\Omega = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ with the derangement kernel N and $H = C_G(\alpha_1), n > 1$. If the action is 2-transitive, then G = NH.

Proof. Let $g \in G - H$ and $\alpha_1^g = \alpha_i$. Pick $1 \neq z \in N$ and let $\alpha_1^z = \alpha_j$. By the 2-transitivity, we know that Hacts transitively on the difference set $\Omega - \{\alpha_1\}$, and so there exists $h \in H$ such that $\alpha_j^h = \alpha_i$, then $\alpha_1^{zhg^{-1}} = \alpha_1$, which implies $zhg^{-1} \in H$ and so $g \in HNH$. Because HNH = NHH = NH, it follows $g \in NH$. We therefore conclude G = NH, as desired. \Box

It is known that Symmetric group S_n and Alternating group A_n are 2-transitive when $n \ge 4$. Thus they have the above product form.

For the alternating group A_5 of degree 5, we may get via GAP ([3]) that

$$\begin{split} N &= \{(), (1, 5, 4, 3, 2), (1, 4, 2, 5, 3), (1, 3, 5, 2, 4), \\ (1, 2, 3, 4, 5), (1, 4, 5, 3, 2), (1, 2, 4, 3, 5), (1, 5, 3, 2, 4), \\ (1, 4, 5, 2, 3), (1, 5, 4, 2, 3), (1, 3, 4, 5, 2), (1, 5, 3, 4, 2), \\ (1, 3, 2, 4, 5), (1, 3, 2, 5, 4), (1, 2, 4, 5, 3), (1, 5, 2, 3, 4), \\ (1, 2, 5, 4, 3), (1, 4, 3, 2, 5), (1, 2, 3, 5, 4), (1, 4, 3, 5, 2), \\ (1, 3, 4, 2, 5), (1, 5, 2, 4, 3), (1, 4, 2, 3, 5), (1, 3, 5, 4, 2), \\ (1, 2, 5, 3, 4)\}, \text{ and its subset} \end{split}$$

$$\{(), (1, 5, 4, 3, 2), (1, 4, 2, 5, 3), (1, 3, 5, 2, 4), (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)\}$$

is actually a right transversal for A_4 in A_5 , thus we achieve that $A_5 = A_4N = NA_4$ (as N is a normal subset). As $(1, 4, 5, 3, 2) * (1, 5, 4, 3, 2) = (1, 3)(2, 5) \notin N$, we see that N is not a group. (The nonabelian simple group A_5 has a proper normal subset N and a nontrivial factorization form $A_5 = NA_4$. This is really an interesting thing!) For A_6 , we may also verify via GAP ([3]) that $A_6 = A_5N = NA_5$, where N is the derangement kernel of A_5 .

References

- R. Brown, Frobenius groups and classical maximal orders, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 2001, 717
- [2] D.G. Costanzo, M.L. Lewis, The cyclic graph of a 2-Frobenius group, arXive: 2103.15574v1[mathGR], 20 Mar 2021
- [3] The GAP Group, GAP Groups, algorithms, and programming, Version 4.7.5, http://www.gapsystem.org, 2014
- [4] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1967
- [5] I.M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1976
- [6] I. M. Isaacs, T. M. Keller, M.L. Lewis, Transitive permutation groups in which all derangements are involutions, Pure Appl. Algebra, 2006, 207: 717–724
- [7] H. Kurzweil, B. Stellmacher, The Theory of Finite Groups: an Introduction, Springer-Verlag New York, 2004
- [8] J. Maccrron, Frobenius groups with perfect order classes, arXive: 2103.00425v1[mathGR], 28 Feb 2021

Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (Attribution 4.0 International, CC BY 4.0)

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en_US