Towards an Artificial Immune System to Identify and Strengthen Protein Coding Region Identification Using Cellular Automata Classifier
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Abstract—Genes carry the instructions for making proteins that are found in a cell as a specific sequence of nucleotides that are found in DNA molecules. But, the regions of these genes that code for proteins may occupy only a small region of the sequence. Identifying the coding regions play a vital role in understanding these genes. In this paper we have explored an artificial immune system can be used to strengthen and identify the protein coding regions in genomic DNA system in changing environments. It has been developed using a slight variant of genetic algorithm. Good regions in genomic DNA system in changing environments. It has been developed using a slight variant of genetic algorithm. Good classifier can be produced especially when the number of the antigens is increased. However, an increase in the range of the antigens had somehow affected the fitness of the immune system. Experimental results confirm the scalability of the proposed AIS FMACA based classifier to handle large volume of datasets irrespective of the number of classes, tuples and attributes. We note an increase in accuracy of more than 5.2%, over any existing standard algorithms for addressing this problem. This was the first algorithm to identify protein coding regions in mixed and non-overlapping exon-intron boundary DNA sequences also.
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I. INTRODUCTION

MANY of the challenges in biology are now challenges in computing. Bioinformatics, the application of computational techniques to analyze the information associated with biomolecules on a large scale, has now firmly established itself as a discipline in molecular biology. Bioinformatics is a management information system for molecular biology. Bioinformatics encompasses everything from data storage and retrieval to the identification and presentation of features within data, such as finding genes within DNA sequence, finding similarities between sequences, structural predictions. Analyzing the coding regions is not the scope of the project.

For better understanding of the specified objectives, we presented CA, FCA, AIS fundamentals in Section II and Section III. Section IV presents the design of AIS FMACA based pattern classifier [3], [7] as well as rule formation and chromosome representation. In Section V, we address the problem of protein coding region identification [11], [12] in DNA sequences. In order to validate the design of proposed model, experimental results are also reported in this section.

II. CELLULAR AUTOMATA (CA) AND FUZZY CELLULAR AUTOMATA (FCA)

A CA [4], [5], [6], consists of a number of cells organized in the form of a lattice. It evolves in discrete space and time. The next state of a cell depends on its own state and the states of its neighboring cells. In a 3-neighborhood dependency, the next state \( q_i(t+1) \) of a cell is assumed to be dependent only on itself and on its two neighbors (left and right), and is denoted as

\[
q_i(t+1) = f(q_{i-1}(t), q_i(t), q_{i+1}(t))
\]

where \( q_i(t) \) represents the state of the \( i^{th} \) cell at \( t^{th} \) instant of time, \( f \) is the next state function and referred to as the rule of the automata. The decimal equivalent of the next state function, as introduced by Wolfram, is the rule number of the CA cell [9],[10],[11]. In a 2-state 3-neighborhood CA, there are total 256 distinct next state functions.

A. FCA Fundamentals

FCA [2], [6] is a linear array of cells which evolves in time. Each cell of the array assumes a state \( q_i \), a rational value in the interval \([0, 1]\) (fuzzy states) and changes its state according to a local evolution function on its own state and the states of its two neighbors. The degree to which a cell is in fuzzy states 1 and 0 can be calculated with the membership functions. This gives more accuracy in finding the coding regions. In a FCA, the conventional Boolean functions are AND, OR, NOT.
B. Dependency Matrix for FCA

Rules defined in equations 1, 2 should be represented as a local transition function of FCA cell. That rules are converted into matrix form for easier representation of chromosomes [16].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Next State</th>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Next State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>( q_{i+1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>( q_{i+1} )</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>( q_{i-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294</td>
<td>( q_i )</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>( q_{i-1} + q_{i+1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238</td>
<td>( q_i ) + ( q_{i+1} )</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>( q_{i-1} + q_{i+1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>( q_{i-1} )</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>( q_{i-1} + q_{i} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>( q_{i-1} + q_{i+1} )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>( q_{i-1} + q_{i} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>( q_{i-1} + q_{i} )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>( q_{i-1} + q_{i} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254</td>
<td>( q_{i-1} + q_{i} ) + ( q_{i+1} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( q_{i-1} + q_{i} + q_{i+1} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 FA Rules

Example 1: A 4-cell null boundary hybrid FCA with the following rule
\(< 238, 254, 238, 252 >\) (that is, \( < (q_{i+1} + q_{i+1}), (q_{i-1} + q_{i} + q_{i+1}), (q_{i} + q_{i+1}), (q_{i-1} + q_{i}) >\)) applied from left to right, may be characterized by the following dependency matrix

While moving from one state to other, the dependency matrix indicates on which neighboring cells the state should depend. So cell 254 depends on its state, left neighbor, and right neighbor fig (1). Now we represented the transition function in the form of matrix. In the case of complement [5],[6],[8],FMACA we use another vector for representation of chromosome.

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Fig. 1 Matrix Representation

C. Transition from one state to other

Once we formulated the transition function, we can move form one state to other. For the example 1 if initial state is \( P(0) = (0.80, 0.20, 0.20, 0.00) \) then the next states will be

\[
P(1) = (1.00, 1.00, 0.20, 0.20),
\]

\[
P(2) = (1.00, 1.00, 0.40, 0.40),
\]

\[
P(3) = (1.00, 1.00, 0.80, 0.80),
\]

\[
P(4) = (1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00).
\]

FMACA Based Pattern Classifier

A n-cell FMACA with \( k \)-attractor basins can be viewed as a natural classifier. It classifies a given set of patterns into \( k \) distinct classes, each class containing the set of states in the attractor basin.

An \( n \)-cell FMACA with \( k \)-attractor basins classifying the elements into two classes.

Suppose, we want to design a FMACA based pattern classifier to classify a training set \( S = \{S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_K\} \) into \( K \) number of classes. First, a FMACA with \( k \) \( (k \geq K) \) number of attractor basins is generated. The training set \( S \) gets distributed into \( k \) attractor basins (nodes). Let, \( S \) be the set of elements in an attractor basin. If \( S \) belongs to only one class, then label that attractor basin as that class. Otherwise, this process is repeated recursively for each attractor basin (node) until all the patterns in each attractor basin belong to only one class.

III. ARTIFICIAL IMMUNE SYSTEMS

Artificial immune systems are motivated by the theory of immunology. The biological immune system functions to protect the body against pathogens or antigens that could potentially cause harm. It works by producing antibodies that identify, bind to, and finally eliminate the pathogens. Even though the number of antigens is far larger than the number of antibodies, the biological immune system has evolved to allow it to deal with the antigens. The immune system will learn the criteria of the antigens so that in future it can react both to those antigens it has encountered before as well as to entirely new ones. In 2002,
The human body is protected against foreign invaders by a multilayered system [15], [16]. The immune system is composed of physical barriers such as the skin and respiratory system, physiological barriers such as destructive enzymes and stomach acids and the actual immune system, which has two complementary parts, the innate and adaptive immune systems. The innate immune system is an unchanging mechanism that detects and destroys certain invading organisms, whilst the adaptive [17], [18] (or acquired) immune system responds to previously unknown foreign cells and builds a response that can remain in the body over a long period of time. Of most interest to us is the adaptive immune system, which is composed of a number of different agents performing different functions at a number of different locations in the body. The precise interaction of these agents is still a topic for debate [13], [16]. Two of the most important cells in this process are two types of white blood cells, called T-cells and B-cells. Both of these originate in the bone marrow (hence the ‘B’), but T-cells pass on to the thymus to mature (hence the ‘T’), before they circulate in the body and lymphatic vessels.

T-cells come in three types; T-helper cells which are essential to the activation of B cells, Killer T-cells which bind to foreign invaders and inject poisonous chemicals into them causing their destruction, and suppressor T-cells which inhibit the action of other immune cells thus preventing allergic reactions and autoimmune diseases. B-cells are responsible for the production and secretion of antibodies, which are specific proteins that bind to the antigen. Each B-cell can only produce one particular antibody. The antigen is found on the surface of the invading organism and the binding of an antibody to the antigen is a signal to destroy the invading cell. As can be gleaned from the brief explanations above, there is more than one mechanism at work in the human immune system [8], [12], [13], [16]. However let us now concentrate on the essential process exploited in most AIS: The matching between antigen and antibody which subsequently leads to increased concentrations (proliferation) of more closely matched antibodies. In particular, the negative selection mechanism and the ‘clonal selection’ and ‘somatic hyper mutation’ theories are primarily used in AIS models.

A. The human immune system

The human immune system is a complex system of cells, molecules and organs that represent an identification mechanism capable of perceiving and combating dysfunction from our own cells and the action of exogenous infectious microorganisms. The human immune system protects our bodies from infectious agents such as viruses, bacteria, fungi and other parasites. Any molecule that can be recognized by the adaptive immune system is known as an antigen (Ag). The basic component of the immune system is the lymphocytes or the white blood cells. Lymphocytes exist in two forms, B cells and T cells. These two types of cells are rather similar, but differ with relation to how they recognize antigens and by their functional roles. B-cells are capable of recognizing antigens free in solution, while T cells require antigens to be presented by other accessory cells. Each of this has distinct chemical structures and produces many Y shaped antibodies form its surfaces to kill the antigens.

![Fig. 3 Immune System](image)

Fig 2 shows a depiction of the immune response when antigens invade the body. B-cells that are able to bind to antigen become stimulated by Helper T-cells (not shown). Then they begin the repeated process of cell division (or mitosis). This leads to the development of clone cells with the same or slightly mutated genetic makeup. B-cells with the same genetic makeup will have identical receptors. However some B-cells will become mutated, and thus have slightly modified receptors. This results in the creation of a new B-cell that might have an increased affinity for the antigen. This phenomenon is called clonal selection because it is the antigen that essentially selects which B-cells are to be cloned [6]. This will eventually lead to the production of plasma cells and memory cells. Plasma cells mass produce and secrete soluble B-cell receptors that are now called antibodies. These antibodies bind to other antigen to neutralize and mark them for destruction by other cells. Some memory cells can survive for long periods of time by themselves, while other memory cells form a network of similar cells to maintain a stable population. This helps to keep the immune system from extinguishing itself once the antigen has been completely removed.

B. Fuzzy logic with Artificial Immune System

This work focuses on one kind of AIS in-spired by the clonal selection principle of the biological immune system. In essence, when an immune system detector (a lymphocyte) has a high affinity (a high degree of matching) with an antigen (invader microorganism), this recognition stimulates the proliferation and differentiation of cells that produce antibodies. This process, called clonal expansion...
Because new cells are produced by cloning and mutating existing cells, produces a large population of antibodies targeted for that antigen.

This clonal expansion leads to the destruction or neutralization of the antigen and to the retention of some cells in the immunological “memory”, so that the immune system can act more quickly the next time the same antigen is found in the body. This process is a form of natural selection. The better a clone recognizes an anti-gen, the more it tends to proliferate. This process is also adaptive, because the clones undergo mutation. Since the reproduction rate is very high, the frequency of mutation is also very high. This mechanism is called somatic mutation or hyper mutation. Jointly with the selective process, somatic mutation improves the clones' ability in recognizing the antigen (since the best mutations lead to a higher proliferation of the corresponding clones), producing clones with greater affinity for that particular anti-gen. Fuzzy systems use symbols called linguistic terms that have a well-defined semantics and are represented by membership functions of fuzzy sets. This allows the numerical processing of those symbols or concepts. Fuzzy systems are very effective in expressing the natural ambiguity and subjectivity of human reasoning. Membership functions determine to which degree a given object belongs to a fuzzy set. In a fuzzy system this degree of membership varies from 0 to 1. Membership functions can take different forms, varying from the simplest ones (triangular functions) to more complex functions (parameterized by the user). A classification problem with \( n \) attributes, fuzzy rules can be written as: where is an \( n \)-dimensional pattern vector, \( (i=1,\ldots, n) \) is the \( i \)-th attribute’s linguistic value (e.g. small or large), \( C \) is the class predicted by the rule, and \( N \) is the number of fuzzy if-then rules. In addition, it has been suggested that an AIS based on the clonal selection principle, called CLONALG, can be used for classification in the context of pattern recognition [6], although originally proposed for other tasks.

However, unlike the AIS algorithm proposed in this paper, neither AIRS nor CLONALG discovers comprehensible IF-THEN rules. Hence, neither of those two algorithms addresses the data mining goal of discovering comprehensible, interpretable knowledge (see Introduction). Also, they do not discover fuzzy knowledge, unlike the algorithm proposed in this paper. An AIS for discovering IF-THEN rules is proposed in [9]. Unlike the algorithm proposed in this paper, that work is based on extending the negative selection algorithm with a genetic algorithm. We have avoided the use of the negative selection algorithm because this kind of AIS method has some conceptual problems in the context of the classification task, as discussed in [10]. Also, again that work does not discover fuzzy rules. Fuzzy AIS is proposed in, however, that work addresses the task of clustering, which is very different from the task of classification addressed in this paper. To the best of our knowledge, the algorithm proposed in this paper is the first AIS for discovering fuzzy classification rules based on the clonal selection principle.

C. The Proposed Algorithm

The algorithm works as in Figure 3 (after each six steps we have one cell generation):

1. Generate a set \( P \) of candidate solutions, composed of the subset of memory cells \( M \) added to the remaining \( P_r \) population \( (P = P_r + M) \);
2. Determine (Select) the \( n \) best individuals of the population \( P_n \), based on an affinity measure;
3. Reproduce (Clone) these \( n \) best individuals of the population, giving rise to a temporary population of clones \( C \). The clone size is an increasing function of the affinity with the antigen;
4. Submit the population of clones to a hypermutation scheme, where the hypermutation is proportional to the affinity of the antibody with the antigen. A maturated antibody population is generated \( (C^*) \);

![Fig. 4 Proposed Algorithm](image)
(5) Re-select the improved individuals from C* to compose the memory set M. Some members of P can be replaced by other improved members of C*;
(6) Replace d antibodies by novel ones (diversity introduction). The lower affinity cells have higher probabilities of being replaced.

IV. AIS FMACA BASED TREE-STRUCTURED CLASSIFIER

Like decision tree classifiers, FMACA based tree structured classifier uses the distinct k-means algorithm recursively partitions the training set to get nodes (output of proposed algorithm 3.3) belonging to a single class. Each node (attractor basin) of the tree is either a leaf indicating a class; or a decision (intermediate) node which specifies a test on a single AIS FMACA.

Suppose, we want to design a AIS FMACA based pattern classifier to classify a training set S = {S1, S2, · ·, SK} into K classes. First, a AIS FMACA with k-attractor basins is generated. The training set S is then distributed into k attractor basins (nodes). Let, S’ be the set of elements in an attractor basin. If S’ belongs to only one class, then label that attractor basin for that class. Otherwise, this process is repeated recursively for each attractor basin (node) until all the examples in each attractor basin belong to one class. Tree construction is reported in [7]. The above discussions have been formalized in the following algorithm. We are using genetic algorithm classify the training set.

Algorithm 1: AIS FMACA Tree Building (using proposed algorithm 3.3)

Input: Training set S = {S1, S2, · ·, SK} with antigen
Output: FMACA Tree.

Partition(S, K)
Step 1: Generate a AIS FMACA with k number of attractor basins.
Step 2: Distribute S into k attractor basins (nodes).
Step 3: Evaluate the distribution of examples in each attractor basin (node).
Step 4: If all the examples (S’) of an attractor basin (node) belong to only one class, then label the attractor basin (leaf node) for that class.
Step 5: If examples (S’) of an attractor basin belong to K’ number of classes, then Partition (S’, K’).
Step 6: Stop.

V. IDENTIFICATION OF PROTEIN CODING REGION IN DNA SEQUENCE

In this section we concentrate on application of AIS FMACA to protein coding region identification. The idea of new method is to use the existing work of AIS FMACA based tree structure classifier. Lot of research has been done for finding protein statistically. By using the standard codon frequencies, [13] we can identify whether the sequence contain protein coding regions or not.

Example 3:
Consider the sequence AGG ACC,
Since Codons will be in the form of triplets we split the input into three base sequences

So P(S) = F (AGG) · F (ACC) = 0.22 * 0.38 = 0.0836 using tables from, [11], [12].

In general, Let F0(c) be the frequency of codon c in a non-coding sequence.

P0 (C) =F0 (c1) F0 (c2)...F0 (cm)

Assuming the random model of non-coding DNA, F0(c) = 1/64= 0.0156 for all codons, P0 (S) = 0.0156 · 0.0156 = 0.000244. The log-likelihood (LP) ratio for S is LP(S) = log (0.000836/0.000244) = log (3.43) = 0.53.If LP(S) > 0, S is coding.

Like wise we can use Bayesian classifier to calculate the probability of finding the protein coding regions with accuracy up to 49. With our approach the average accuracy achieved is 75%.

A. Data and Method

The data used for this study are the human DNA data collected by Fickett and Tung. All the sequences are taken from GenBank in May 1992. Fickett and Tung have provided the 21 different coding measures that they surveyed and compared.

The benchmark human data include three different datasets. For the first dataset, non-overlapping human DNA sequences of length 54 have been extracted from all human sequences, with shorter pieces at the ends discarded.

Every sequence is labeled according to whether it is entirely coding, entirely non-coding, or mixed, and the mixed sequences (i.e., overlapping the exon-intron boundaries) are also included.

The dataset also includes the reverse complement of every sequence. This means that one-half of the data is guaranteed to be from the non-sense strand of the DNA.

In the next section we will give the experimental results for finding this coding region for all sequence lengths. It was compared with AIS FMACA and the accuracy reported was 2.2% more than that of standard ways of finding protein coding region.
VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. AIS MACA rule space in successive generations

The motion of CA rule space in successive generations is characterized by evaluating the entropy and mutual information of CA rule vectors of a population. The rule vectors for study are sampled out at a gap of 5 generations. The top most fit rule vectors of the selected population is subjected to closer scrutiny.

The entropy and mutual information of the CA in successive generations of GA are reported in Fig 5,6,7,8 for four different CA size \( n = 10, 15, 20, 30 \). For each of the cases, the values of entropy and mutual information reach their steady state once the AIS FMACA for a given pattern set gets evolved. For understanding the motion, the initial population (IP) is randomly generated. All these figures points to the fact that as the CA evolve towards the desired goal of maximum pattern recognizing capability, the entropy values fluctuate in the intermediate generations, but saturate to a particular value (close to the critical value 0.84 [245]) when fit rule is obtained. Simultaneously, the values of mutual information fluctuate at the intermediate points prior to reaching maximum value that remains stable in subsequent generations. All these figures indicate that the CA move from chaotic region to the edge of chaos to perform complex computation associated with pattern recognition.

Fig. 5 Entropy & Mutation information for n=10

Fig. 6 Entropy & Mutation information for n=15

Fig. 7 Entropy & Mutation information for n=20

Fig. 8 Entropy & Mutation information for n=30
B. Accuracy Calculations

The below tables show the predictive accuracy of different algorithms on both coding and non-coding DNA sequences.

In this section we present the results on using AIS FMACA for Fickett and Tung’s dataset. Values are given for the percentage accuracy on test set coding sequences and the percentage accuracy on test set non-coding sequences.

Table 2: Predictive Accuracy for length 54 human DNA Sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Coding</th>
<th>Non Coding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dicodon Usage</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayesian</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sup FMACA</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS FMACA</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>73.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Predictive Accuracy for length 108 human DNA sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Coding</th>
<th>Non Coding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dicodon Usage</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayesian</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sup FMACA</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS FMACA</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Predictive Accuracy for length 252 human DNA sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Coding</th>
<th>Non Coding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dicodon Usage</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayesian</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sup FMACA</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS FMACA</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>71.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph 1 Percentage Accuracy for 54, 108, 252 Length DNA sequence

Graph 2 Percentage Accuracy NPCRIT VS AIS FMACA

Fig. 9 AIS FMACA Interface

The graph 1 compares the accuracy of finding the protein coding regions with existing standard algorithms like Bayesian, Data Base Search, Splicing Algorithm, Sup
FMACA, and AIS FMACA. We can observe the accuracy increased considerably. The graph 2 compares the best protein coding region identification algorithm NPCRIT[19] with AIS FMACA. Both the graphs shows accuracy of AIS FMACA is comparable with any standard algorithm. AIS FMACA can be used to identify protein coding regions among DNA sequence lengths.

AIS FMACA overcomes all the disadvantages of previous standard algorithms like fixing the position of the gene and static order of the DNA sequence. The average accuracy reported is 76.6%. It also finds the protein coding regions in mixed and non-overlapping exon-inton boundary DNA sequences with average accuracy 74.5.

Fig 9 shows the AIS FMACA Tool Interface; with witch we can find the class of a given DNA sequence.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper presents the application of AIS MAFA based supervised pattern classifier to solve the problem of protein coding region identification in DNA sequences. It also finds the protein coding regions in mixed and non-overlapping exon-inton boundary DNA sequences with considerable accuracy. Aside from developing a good classifier for this particular problem, the proposed model may be very much useful to solve many other bioinformatics problems like protein structure prediction, RNA structure prediction, promoter region identification, etc.
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