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Abstract—In present paper, molecular dynamics simulation is used to study amyloid fibril destruction by oppositely charged dendrimers of second and third generation. Dendrimers are often used for delivery of drugs and biological molecules. They also could be used as antibacterial, antiviral and antiamyloid agents. Since lysine dendrimers are less toxic than conventional synthetic dendrimers, they were chosen for present study and systems consisting of 2nd and 3rd generation dendrimers and stack of 16 short amyloid peptides in water were studied. It was shown that lysine dendrimers of both generations destroy amyloid stack and form stable complexes with amyloid peptides. The structures of the complexes in equilibrium state were investigated. Also it was obtained that peptides in complexes stay mainly on the surface of dendrimer and do not penetrate into them. The results obtained in present paper could be useful for elaboration in future the anti-amyloid agents for treatment of Alzheimer's disease, since it is believed that one of the reasons for its occurrence is the formation of amyloid fibrils.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease is currently one of the most common incurable neurodegenerative diseases. It is characterized by accumulation of amyloid plaques formed by amyloid Aβ peptides in brain tissues [1, 2]. Diagnostics of Alzheimer's disease is an extremely difficult task even with the most modern methods and devices. Its primary symptoms begin long before the appearance of serious pathologies and often coincide with symptoms of other nervous system diseases. In treatment of this disease three types of drugs are used: cholinesterase inhibitors (Galantamine, Donepezil and their analogues); drugs that reduce the activity of the glutamate mediator (Memantine); antipsychotic drugs for psychosis and aggression suppressing. This disease in the early stages causes short-term memory disorders, and later leads to long-term memory disorders, speech and cognitive impairment, and ultimately leads to death. Inhibition of beta-amyloid aggregation is one of the most promising ways of disease control.

Dendrimers are branched polymers that are widely used in industrial and biomedical applications. They were used as drug and gene delivery systems, as a branched carrier for multiple antigen peptides (MAPs), as antiviral and antibacterial agents. It was experimentally shown that dendrimers can destroy amyloid fibrils [3]. Lysine dendrimers are important class of dendrimers consisting of lysine aminoacid residues as branching repeating units. Recently it was shown that lysine dendrimers also could destroy amyloid fibrils [4].

The goal of present paper is to study the interaction of lysine dendrimers of different generations and stack of amyloid peptides in order to understand the mechanism responsible for amyloid fibrils destruction by dendrimer.

II. METHODS AND MATERIALS

A. Molecular dynamics method

Molecular dynamics (MD) method is currently the main method for simulation of polymer and biopolymer systems. The method consists in numerical solution of the classical Newton equations of motion for all atoms of the all molecules in the system:

\[ F_i = m_i \frac{d^2 r_i(t)}{dt^2} \]  

(1)

MD is used for detailed study of many specific molecules using both detailed full-atomic models as well as more general coarse-grained models. The potential energy of these models usually include valence bonds, valence angles and dihedral angle energies as well as van der Waals and electrostatic energies. The definition of parameters set adequately describing the test molecule properties (force-field) is challenging and requires the experimental data for these molecules, quantum chemical calculations as well as iterative procedures and a very large amount of machine time. These calculations can be made only by large groups of specialists. Due to this reason several packages of standard computer programs, in which these parameters are defined for a fairly
wide range of molecules become widely used in recent years. Currently the most popular molecular modeling packages are GROMACS, AMBER, CHARMM, and some others. Our simulation was performed by molecular dynamics method using the GROMACS 4.5.6 software package [5] and one of the most modern AMBER_99SB-ildn force fields [6].

B. Model and Calculation Method

Modeling was performed using the molecular dynamics method for systems consisting of one lysine dendrimer of second or third generation with 16 or 32 positively charged NH₃⁺ end groups, 16 LVFFAE peptides, water molecules and chloride counterions in a cubic cell with periodic boundary conditions. The initial conformation for peptide with internal rotation angles of ϕ = −135º, ψ = 135º, θ = 180º was modelled by Avogadro chemical editor. The structures were optimized in vacuum using molecular mechanics of AMBER force field. Further energy minimizations and simulations were performed using the GROMACS 4.5.6 software package and AMBER_99SB-ildn force fields. The potential energy of this force field consists of valence bonds and angles deformation energy, internal rotation angles, van der Waals and electrostatic interactions. The procedure of molecular dynamics simulation used for lysine dendrimers and polyelectolytes has been described earlier in [7-34]. In all calculations the normal conditions (temperature 300 K, pressure 1 ATM) were used. Computing resources on supercomputers “Lomonosov” were provided by supercomputer centre of Moscow State University [35].

The size of dendrimer and complexes at time t was evaluated by the mean square radius of gyration \( R_g(t) \) which is defined from:

\[
R_g^2(t) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} r_{ij}(t) - R \right]^2
\]

(2)

where \( R \) is the center of mass of subsystem, \( r_{ij} \) is coordinates and masses of \( i \)-atom correspondingly, \( N \) is the total number of atoms in subsystem, \( M \) is the total mass of dendrimer. This function was calculated using \( g_{gyrate} \) function of GROMACS software.

Radial distribution of density \( p(r) \) of atoms in dendrimer and complexes as well as distribution of ion pairs were calculated using \( g_{rdf} \) function of the GROMACS package.

To calculate the coefficient of translational mobility of dendrimer and complexes, the time dependence of the mean square displacements of the centers of inertia (MSD) of corresponding sub-system, were calculated. MSD was calculated using \( g_{msd} \) function of GROMACS.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Snapshots of systems consisting of dendrimer, peptides, ions and water during simulation are shown on Fig. 1 (water molecules are not shown for clarity). It is clearly seen that at the beginning of process (Fig. 1, a, d) peptide molecules are rather far from dendrimer. After 30 ns (Fig. 1, b, e) some part of peptide molecules are already adsorbed on the surface of dendrimer, and in the end after 160 ns (Fig. 1, c, f) all peptide molecules in the systems are on its surface. Atoms of dendrimer molecule is shown as beads with diameter equal to their van der Waals radii. Valence bonds of various peptides are shown with lines of different colors (backbone of each peptide is shown by thick line of the same color as valence bonds).

![Fig. 1. Stages of the destruction of amyloid stack by G2 and G3 dendrimers and dendrimer-peptides complex formation (initial, intermediate and final): system of G2 dendrimer and 16 peptides at t = 0 (a), t = 20 ns (b), t = 160 ns (c); system of G3 dendrimer and 16 peptides at t = 0 (d), t = 20 ns (e), t = 160 ns (f)](image)

First part (t < 30-40 ns) of time dependence of gyration radius \( R_g \) describes the process of destruction of amyloid stack by G2 and G3 dendrimer and dendrimer-peptides complex formation (Fig. 2). From Fig. 2a it can be seen that 2nd generation dendrimer forms complex with 16 peptides within 20 ns. From Fig. 2b it can be seen that 3rd generation dendrimer forms complex with 16 peptides within 40 ns. After that the complex size \( R_g \) fluctuate slightly, but its average values practically do not change with time. Therefore, we can assume that after 40 ns the system is in equilibrium state.
Fig. 2. Time dependence of gyration radius of dendrimer-peptides subsystem during destruction of amyloid stack and dendrimer-peptides complex formation: 1 – G2 and 16 LVFFAE; 2 – G3 and 16 LVFFAE

Another quantity that can characterize the rate of amyloid stack destruction by dendrimer and complex formation is the total number of hydrogen bonds (N) between dendrimer and peptides. The dependence of this value on time is shown on Fig. 3 and demonstrates how the number of contacts between dendrimer and peptides increases during stack destruction and complex formation. This value was calculated using g_hbonds function from package of GROMACS.

Fig. 3. Time dependence of dendrimer-peptides hydrogen bond number (N) during destruction of amyloid stack and dendrimer-peptides complex formation: 1 – G2 and 16 LVFFAE; 2 – G3 and 16 LVFFAE

From Fig. 3 it can be concluded that first system reaches equilibrium (plateau) after 20 ns and second system reaches equilibrium after 40-50ns. It correlates with the results of the inertia radii balance obtained in Fig. 2. The number of hydrogen bonds between peptides and dendrimers in equilibrium state shows how tightly peptides associate with dendrimer. The average hydrogen bonds number in equilibrium state (t > 50 ns) for the first complex is to 14 and for the second complex is equal to 29.

The distance between neighboring peptides in amyloid stack is an important characteristic of stability of the stack. This value also allows to estimate the rate of dendrimer-peptides complex formation after stack was destructed by dendrimer. (Fig. 4). In particular during the stack destruction and complex formation with G2 dendrimer, the distance between peptides for the first 40 ns increases. After 40 ns the function fluctuates slightly. It means that interaction with complex is not tightly enough and peptides can return to the stack. In second case (G3 and peptides), at the beginning, there is a large increase in distances between the neighboring peptides of the stack. It means that at small times (0 < t < 20ns) the destruction of amyloid stack occurs and peptides became separated from each other. After 20ns this separated peptides become attracted by dendrimer and distance between them start to decrease.

Fig. 4. Changes in distances between amyloid peptides during destruction of amyloid stack and dendrimer-peptides complex formation: 1 – G2 and 16 LVFFAE; 2 – G3 and 16 LVFFAE.

Similar information could be obtained from time dependence of distance between dendrimer and peptides (Fig. 5). This value characterizes mainly not state of peptide stack but state of dendrimer-peptide complex. In the beginning of time all peptides are far from dendrimer (see Fig. 1). In case of G2 and 16 LVFFAE the peptides are attracted by dendrimer in 20 ns.

Fig. 5. Changes in distances between dendrimer and peptides during destruction of amyloid stack and dendrimer-peptides complex formation: 1 – G2 and 16 LVFFAE; 2 – G3 and 16 LVFFAE.
In the second case at times less 40-50ns peptides become attracted by oppositely charged dendrimer and distance between dendrimer and peptides decrease. After that the distance does not change further with time. It means that we obtained equilibrium dendrimer-peptides complex at time $t>40-50\text{ns}$.

**B. Modelling of equilibrium state of dendrimer-peptide complex**

In equilibrium state the meansquared radius of gyration $R_g$ (averaged through equilibrium part of trajectory) of the first complex ($G_2$ and 16 LVFFAE) is 1.7 times larger, than the size of the dendrimer $G_3$. The meansquared radius of gyration $R_g$ of the second complex ($G_3$ and 16 LVFFAE) is 1.3 times larger, than the size of the dendrimer $G_3$ (Tab. 1). It is quite natural, since it correlates with the molecular weight of the complexes increase compared to the molecular weight of the individual dendrimer.

The shape of both complexes can be characterized by their tensor of inertia main component ratio $(R_{g11}, R_{g22}, R_{g33})$, that are in Tab. 1. For example, in the simplest case, anisotropy can be characterized by ratio $R_{g33} / R_{g11}$. This ratio for second generation dendrimer is 1.69, for third generation dendrimer is 1.35, for the complex of $G_2$ dendrimer with 16 peptides is 1.45 and for the complex of $G_3$ dendrimer with 16 peptides is 1.32. Thus, an addition of peptides practically does not change the anisotropy of our complex comparing to the anisotropy of the initial dendrimer.

The distribution function $p(R_g)$ of gyration radius $R_g$ gives more detailed information about fluctuations of $R_g$ of dendrimers-peptides complexes. This function is shown in Fig. 6.

**Table 1. Eigenvalues $R_{g11}, R_{g22}, R_{g33}$ of tensor of inertia in dendrimer and dendrimer - peptide complex**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>$R_{g11}$, nm</th>
<th>$R_{g22}$, nm</th>
<th>$R_{g33}$, nm</th>
<th>$R_g$, nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dendrimer ($G_2$)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dendrimer ($G_3$)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_2$ and 16 LVFFAE</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_3$ and 16 LVFFAE</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data demonstrates that in both subsystems dendrimer (curve 2) is located in the center of the complex and peptides (curve 1) are mainly on the surface of complex. At the same time, some fraction of peptides could slightly penetrate into outer part of dendrimer.

The distribution function $P(N)$ for the complexes (Fig. 8) has a peak of numbers of bonds that is close to the average value equal to 14 (Fig. 8a) and to 29 (Fig. 8b) and is quite symmetrical. Fluctuations in hydrogen bonds number are for the first system in the range of 6-26 and for the second system in the range of 15-45.

The other characteristic of interaction between dendrimer and peptides (1) in equilibrium dendrimer-peptide complex is the distribution of ion pairs number between their oppositely charged groups. Fig. 9 shows the dependence of ion pairs number on the corresponding distance between pairs of charges of dendrimer and peptides in our complex.

It is seen that there is very sharp peak in both cases, at the distance corresponding to the direct contact between positively charged groups (NH$_3^+$) of dendrimer and negatively charged groups (COO$^-$) of the glutamic acid in peptides (Fig 9, curves 1&2). At the same time, NH$_3^+$ groups of dendrimer form much fewer ion pairs with chlorine ions Cl$^-$ (Fig 9, curves 3&4).
Translational diffusion of the complex was obtained from the slope of this time dependence and was equal to (0.13 ± 0.04) × 10^5 sm^2/s and (0.14(5) ± 0.02) × 10^5 sm^2/s.

To evaluate the translational mobility of our complex, the time dependence of the mean square displacement of the center of inertia (MSD), was calculated (Fig. 10). MSD was calculated using g msd function of GROMACS. Coefficient of translational diffusion of the complex was obtained from the slope of this time dependence and was equal to (0.13(1) ± 0.04) × 10^5 sm^2/s and (0.14(5) ± 0.02) × 10^5 sm^2/s.

IV. CONCLUSION

The process of destruction of the stack consisting of 16 amyloid peptides LVFFAE (with charge of each peptide equal -1) by an oppositely charged lysine dendrimers of the second and third generation (having charge equal to 16 and 32), the complex formation and the structure of final equilibrium complex were studied. It was shown that the amyloid fibrils can be destroyed in 20-40 ns, and stable dendrimer-peptide complexes can be formed after 30-50ns in both cases.

The radial distribution function of atoms number shows that dendrimers are located in the center of the complexes and peptides are mainly on their surfaces. The strong electrostatic interactions between dendrimers and peptides in our complexes (contact of positively charged NH^+ groups of dendrimer and carboxyl groups of glutamic acid in peptides) were demonstrated. At the same time, we found that NH^+ groups of dendrimers form much fewer ion pairs with chloride ions Cl^-.
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