

# Demand Priority in a Power System Integrated with Wind Power Contribution Load Shedding Scheme Based

Yasser F. Hassan· Yasir G. Rashid and Firas M. Tuaimah

**Abstract**— The load shedding (LS) scheme has been extensively implemented as a fast solution for unbalance conditions. Therefore, it's crucial to investigate supply-demand balancing in order to protect the network from collapsing and to sustain stability as possible, however its implementation is mostly undesirable. One of the solutions to minimize the amount of load shedding is the integration renewable energy resources, such as wind power (WP), in the electric power generation could contribute significantly to minimize power cuts as it is ability to positively improving the stability of the electric grid. In this paper propose a method for shedding the load base on the priority demands (PDs) with incorporating the WP generated. The higher PDs are fed with a reliable wind energy resource in order to protect them from shedding under contingency condition such as high overloading by the real time monitoring of the network accompanied with power reducing for the lower PDs. The simulation results prove effectiveness and practicality of the applied method paving the way for possible applications in power systems.

**Keywords**— Load shedding, Load matrix (LM), Importance matrix (IM), Wind power penetration.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Most non-developing and under developing countries strive hard to tackle the situation of power crisis and to combat the imbalance between supply-demand power especially in the case of increasing of the population which lead to the load exceeds the limitation of the network. Thus, it will be a challenge to the power system to cater the increasing of demand while maintaining the system stability[1-3]. In this scheme, LS is a necessary strategy to reduce the requirements of some loads to compensate for big difference and to keep the load under specified power [4 , 5]. Several of the conventional techniques shedding the loads—under frequency load shedding (UFLS) and under voltage load shedding (UVLS) are independent design, either excessive or insufficient and without estimating the actual power imbalance. These techniques may have a slow response time so that this fact may lead to problems in power system quality and tripping in

total power system because of the restriction on the real time monitoring [6-8]. Adaptive LS scheme then was developed to improve the traditional LS methods by adaptive selection the parameters of the proposed schemes and estimation the rate change of the network frequency through measuring the magnitude of the disturbance [9-11]. The authors in ref. [12,13] proposed combinatorial algorithms to combine (UF-UV) LS that the frequency and voltage signals are locally measured to enhance the adaptive LS method in the power system. Researchers in [14,15] proposed an adaptive UFLS scheme taking into account the magnitude of the disturbance in order to find the location and the amount of load to be shed. However, the operations of the conventional, adaptive and the proposed LS scheme are unsuitable to perform in large scale power system and unhandled the various forms of the contingencies. In addition, these technics are also incapable to shed a precise amount of the loads [16]. Therefore, there are a few research that works have done the shedding candidates are selected and the load categorization/priority based on load types of the systems. In [17-19] for example, the LS based on importance has been proposed for loads to progress the execution of the power system during contingencies and to minimize the impact of the LS on the consumers by taking the social factors into consideration. Therefore, these LS schemes do not consider the effects of the high penetration and the contribution of each of wind generator on the LS performance of the system in their research. One of the solutions to minimize the amount of load shedding is the integration renewable energy resources, such as WP, into the electric power generation could contribute significantly to minimizing power cuts as it is ability to positively improving the stability of electric grid. In [20], the permeation of WP is rising rapidly around the world in recent years in the power system and the major power plants are incorporated with the multiple WP generating units with considerable active power output. WP generation systems as the main part of sustainable energy sources are confirming the operation the network of the power system, therefore, their unavoidable influence on the operation the network of power systems along with their increasing penetration level to power grid [21-22]. A novel adaptive load shedding (LS) scheme is approached that considering the penetration of the WP generation into account. In the proposed scheme, improve the power precision so as to reduce the error of LS. effects of the WP output on the LS, thus cutting down the LS costs and even preventing the

Yasser F. Hassan, Ph.D. Student, Department of Electronic & Communication Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Baghdad, Iraq, yasser.falah81@gmail.com.

Yasir G. Rashid, Msc. Student, Department of Electronic & Communication Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Baghdad, Iraq, yasirghazirashid@gmail.com.

Firas M. Tuaimah, Assist. Professor, Department of Electronic & Communication Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Baghdad, Iraq, dr.firasmt@gmail.com

frequency overshoot [23]. New online UFLS strategy considering the wind turbines is proposed in order to prevent the power system from frequency collapse. At present, increasing wind turbines have been jointed to the network of the power system, so that it is allow to attain the target of the less LS and quicker frequency recapture based on this strategy[24]. Recently some works have been done regarding LS considering the impacts of WP while the large-scale wind farms become a salient feature in the modern power grid. Improved LS scheme considering wind generation by using the directional relays, power flow through feeders, and WP measurements to choose the feeders to be discrete during the LS such that is reduced the amount of loads required to be shed [25]. In this work we propose LS strategy based on PDs considering the WP generation. Through this approach, we first prioritize the loads according to their importance and apply logarithmic RM with take into account the penetration of the WP. We test a practical case in Iraqi national grid and the simulation results showed reduction in demands while the supplied power to the important loads kept intact. The selective LS improved the system reliability and effectiveness for the critical loads. This paper is organized in what follow, we first in section 2.1 underlay the categorization strategy of loads importance. Section 2.2 presents the main theoretical result of this work. We present the load shedding scheme based on PDs and drive the mathematical modeling for shedding process. The impact of WP generation in the shedding requirements and suitable locations for the installation of wind turbines in Iraq is describe in section 3. The response of a power system without the suggested penetration of the WP is shown in section 4.1. Finally, we put in section 4.2 the full system with the penetration of the WP in to test using real data.

## II. METHODOLOGY OF LOAD SHEDDING

### A. Loads Categorizations

Whenever there is a shortage of supply in a system, alert is sent to the control center in order to release certain load demands. In conventional technique, a whole feeder is switching off regardless the load type which belongs to that particular feeder based upon the demonstrative calculations for keeping the system in nominal operating. In practice, different types of loads, such as domestic, healthcare etc. could be connected to a single feeder. Thus, that single feeder could have variance of demand priorities which may be considered to include diverse type of loads. Hence, in the presented scheme, a feeder will have priority mechanism based on the PDs. In other words, any feeder included in a power system can be considered to have a lower or higher importance predicated on the number and type of the loads e.g. critical or non-critical loads which connected to a particular feeder. So, non-critical loads which have been selected for shedding in order to preserve the power supply to the load with higher PDs. Critically definition in this paper depend only on the nature of loads that are associated with its effect on the life safety of people, these loads consist of healthy installations such as hospitals, call center and fire stations. Since such loads

have high priority, it consists of criticality factor. Each type of load will have its own importance and can be categorized based on their criticality and the range of load importance distribution is shown in Table.1

Table.1: Loads categorizations based on importance

Categorization	Importance
Healthcare	0.9 – 1.0
Communication, Transportation	0.8 – 0.9
Security	0.7 – 0.8
Services, financial	0.6 – 0.7
Industrial	0.5 – 0.6
Commercial	0.4 – 0.5
Residential	0.3 – 0.4
Domestic	0.1 – 0.3

From the Table 1, each category of loads will its own importance value between (0.1 - 1.0) so the value of the importance will be increasing according to the criticality of load itself. At the first type, which have been considered as critical loads like healthcare includes hospitals will have high importance value between (0.9 - 1.0). The second type is the communication installations that considered also as critical loads but with the importance (0.8 - 0.9) such as datacenters. Consequently, the last type of categorization which have been considered as non-critical loads (0.1 - 0.3) is the first one to be shed in the implemented scheme while the loads at the first type is the last one being shed.

### B. Importance Scheme for Loads

In this section, we propose a reduction method to control network load distributions and to direct its resources to the most important services. To convert the actual geographical distributions of the loads in the network, we construct the load matrix (LM) as follow:

$$\text{Load Matrix (LM)} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & \dots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & & & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & \dots & a_{nm} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m} \quad (1)$$

Where  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  index the network substations and  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  index the network feeders that connected to each substation, respectively. For instance,  $a_{11}$  assign the first feeder of the first substation and so on. Matrix data formulation of the network feeders with various load categories based on PDs is convenient for optimization and mathematical handling, and also, for syntheses of the control systems nodes. Next, we construct a matrix analogous to the LM and refer to it as the importance matrix (IM):

$$\text{Importance Matrix (IM)} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \dots & \dots & \alpha_{1m} \\ \alpha_{21} & & & \alpha_{2m} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1} & \dots & \dots & \alpha_{nm} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m} \quad (2)$$

Where  $m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \alpha_{nm}$  is the importance factor assigned to each  $a_{nm}$  in LM, and its value normalized between (0.1-1.0) basing on the suggested categorization of loads in Table.1. When the criticality is high the  $\alpha_{nm}$  approach unity. Obviously matrices sizes are equal and depend on the number of a particular substations and feeders that inter-connected in

the network system. A detailed flowchart that clarifies the implementation process of the proposed reduction of loads is as shown in the Fig.1:

- 1- Set the available power value and the demand of the load.
- 2- Construct load matrix LM in Eq. 1.
- 3- Define the importance matrix IM in Eq.2.
- 4- When the first condition is takes place, all the loads will be operating and if not then shedding load with lowest  $\alpha_{nm}$ .
- 5- All the loads with high importance will be operating when the second condition is investigated, if not then shedding the next load N + 1 with low priority in order to protect critical load as possible.




Fig.1: Flowchart of the shedding loads process based on demand priority

### III. MAJOR IMPACT OF WIND POWER ON THE LS SCHEME

For the LS scheme, the considering of WP generation in the shedding requirements will have some great influences on its validation. The importance of taking into account the integration of WP generation within the power system is to alleviating of demand-supply balancing and mitigating the influence of LS on the critical and non-critical loads. When the penetration of WP generation is increases, then the amount of loads required to be switch off should be reduced. In the opposite situation, the quantity of loads to be curtailed must be increased for avoiding the instability and breaking down of the power system. Therefore, the operation of the critical loads

with interruption is minimized due to the contribution of wind generator and non-critical loads are considered for shedding.

However, the most suitable locations for the installation of wind turbines in Iraq are calculated by Spanish company National Renewable Energy Centre (CENER) contracted with the Ministry of Science and Technology. As we can see that in the Fig. 2, wind speed shows random abrupt changes from region to other in the geographical area, therefore the best ever region in the south of Iraq at Shaikh Saad and Al-Dujaili reign.




Fig. 2 Iraqi wind atlas wind speeds at 100m height

Of all the states, the common changes in wind speed are as depicted in Fig.3 (the icon 1 represents the process of wind speed increases in a sudden and the icon 2 is respectively stands for the process of wind speed gradually rising).




Fig.3. Wind speed changes in Wasit city within 24 hours

South of Iraq in the Wasit city is a good region to aggressive the goal of producing energy from renewable sources. Fig. 4 shows the total energy production from wind sources for a certain location.




Fig.4. Wind output power

IV. CASE STUDY ND SIMULATION SETUP

A real hourly demand data of the present year from the capital of Iraq (Baghdad city), were used to test and evaluate the simulation results of the load shedding and reducing program. The penetration of the renewable generation in the south of Iraq (Wasit city) has been significantly considering. The applied practical system includes number of substations and each of them contains number of feeders for Wasit National Grid (WNG). Choosing of a regional power network is according to the geography and the actual operating parameters of the substations are belonging to different categories of loads. The implemented scheme of the load shedding on WNG with and without penetration of WP is developed by using MATLAB of R2014 a version.

A. Load shedding scheme without connected wind energy resource to the network


A sample of practical system which is considered as a case study for the WNG is defined within the LM structure in MW is shown below:

$$LM = \begin{bmatrix} 5.5 & 2.6 & 3.1 & 4.7 & 3.3 & 5.0 & 4.4 & 2.2 & 5.1 & 2.8 & 5.4 & 2.0 \\ 3.2 & 4.2 & 4.4 & 5.5 & 2.7 & 4.7 & 3.7 & 5.0 & 3.0 & 4.0 & 3.3 & 4.4 \\ 3.0 & 4.0 & 5.2 & 2.5 & 4.7 & 3.1 & 2.2 & 4.4 & 4.1 & 5.5 & 2.1 & 3.1 \\ 4.9 & 2.5 & 4.5 & 3.3 & 5.5 & 2.9 & 5.3 & 2.0 & 2.7 & 3.2 & 5.0 & 4.0 \\ 4.4 & 5.3 & 2.5 & 3.9 & 4.4 & 2.6 & 3.1 & 5.5 & 3.1 & 4.8 & 2.4 & 2.0 \\ 3.1 & 2.3 & 3.9 & 4.5 & 5.2 & 4.7 & 5.0 & 2.3 & 4.4 & 3.5 & 5.1 & 2.0 \\ 4.2 & 4.5 & 3.1 & 5.1 & 2.5 & 3.6 & 2.5 & 5.4 & 2.4 & 5.1 & 3.2 & 4.6 \\ 2.8 & 5.1 & 4.6 & 3.2 & 4.1 & 2.1 & 5.4 & 3.1 & 4.1 & 3.3 & 2.1 & 4.2 \\ 5.3 & 4.8 & 3.2 & 2.1 & 3.6 & 5.4 & 4.4 & 3.9 & 2.0 & 4.4 & 2.2 & 3.5 \\ 3.9 & 5.2 & 2.5 & 4.3 & 4.3 & 3.0 & 5.5 & 4.8 & 3.9 & 2.0 & 3.2 & 5.1 \\ 4.5 & 3.6 & 5.3 & 2.8 & 2.5 & 4.3 & 3.8 & 5.3 & 3.6 & 5.1 & 4.5 & 3.9 \\ 2.3 & 3.3 & 4.6 & 5.2 & 3.9 & 4.7 & 5.1 & 2.6 & 5.0 & 2.7 & 4.6 & 2.1 \\ 4.0 & 2.0 & 5.4 & 3.9 & 2.5 & 5.2 & 4.2 & 4.7 & 5.0 & 3.6 & 2.3 & 4.8 \\ 5.2 & 5.3 & 2.6 & 4.7 & 5.0 & 3.6 & 4.1 & 2.2 & 4.4 & 5.5 & 3.6 & 4.0 \end{bmatrix}$$


Where  $m = 12$ ,  $n = 14$  represents the substations number (33/11) KV and the feeders number. These feeders will have different types of loads such as (lighting loads, commercial, industrial ... etc.) under various priorities as shown in Fig.5.a. Each number in the matrix denotes the consumed power by load in (MW) which obtained from the particular control center unit. The total demand power for utilized a sample of the WNG is 649.8 MW. Each feeder in the LM will have its own priority based on the load category and this priority will be defined in the IM. Add this matrix also imported the real life data as shown:

$$IM = \begin{bmatrix} 0.62 & 0.45 & 0.55 & 0.32 & 0.37 & 0.94 & 0.35 & 0.47 & 0.32 & 0.93 & 0.24 & 0.81 \\ 0.92 & 0.33 & 0.21 & 0.34 & 0.36 & 0.42 & 0.46 & 0.32 & 0.54 & 0.72 & 0.87 & 0.33 \\ 0.64 & 0.90 & 0.89 & 0.94 & 0.27 & 0.53 & 0.42 & 0.74 & 0.37 & 0.95 & 0.84 & 0.31 \\ 0.31 & 0.53 & 0.33 & 0.45 & 0.95 & 0.67 & 0.28 & 0.35 & 0.46 & 0.64 & 0.34 & 0.59 \\ 0.32 & 0.37 & 0.92 & 0.21 & 0.86 & 0.31 & 0.73 & 0.38 & 0.62 & 0.43 & 0.42 & 0.67 \\ 0.62 & 0.22 & 0.51 & 0.53 & 0.63 & 0.26 & 0.91 & 0.35 & 0.56 & 0.85 & 0.57 & 0.77 \\ 0.91 & 0.88 & 0.35 & 0.31 & 0.42 & 0.82 & 0.72 & 0.32 & 0.37 & 0.25 & 0.39 & 0.33 \\ 0.35 & 0.35 & 0.79 & 0.42 & 0.21 & 0.92 & 0.96 & 0.89 & 0.94 & 0.56 & 0.23 & 0.52 \\ 0.23 & 0.71 & 0.42 & 0.26 & 0.82 & 0.32 & 0.38 & 0.84 & 0.68 & 0.32 & 0.47 & 0.57 \\ 0.55 & 0.34 & 0.31 & 0.84 & 0.35 & 0.36 & 0.33 & 0.34 & 0.21 & 0.65 & 0.72 & 0.95 \\ 0.21 & 0.23 & 0.54 & 0.36 & 0.36 & 0.32 & 0.42 & 0.39 & 0.68 & 0.76 & 0.88 & 0.38 \\ 0.91 & 0.31 & 0.44 & 0.66 & 0.54 & 0.37 & 0.64 & 0.72 & 0.35 & 0.45 & 0.92 & 0.44 \\ 0.56 & 0.59 & 0.21 & 0.52 & 0.35 & 0.87 & 0.71 & 0.69 & 0.91 & 0.68 & 0.36 & 0.37 \\ 0.61 & 0.39 & 0.80 & 0.90 & 0.24 & 0.81 & 0.38 & 0.74 & 0.34 & 0.94 & 0.52 & 0.38 \end{bmatrix}$$

For instance, the value of feeder 2 is 0.92 since its feed the very critical loads (e.g. hospital) and 0.64 for feeder 3 as its feed the pump station and the other value as 0.35 for feeder 8 that its feed the non-critical load as shown in Fig.5.b.



(a)



(b)

Fig.5 a) Practical Loads of 14 Feeders Connected to the First Substation  
b) Importance for 14 Feeders Connected to the First Substation

The obtained simulation results showed that the loads with the lowest importance at the instant of LS within each category are selected for shedding as shown in the Fig.1. Therefore, those critical loads in every feeder in the substation are kept continuous operation and non-critical loads which are not so important are shed to zero in the new LM as shown:

$$LM = \begin{bmatrix} 5.5 & 2.6 & 3.1 & 0.0 & 3.3 & 5.0 & 0.0 & 2.2 & 0.0 & 2.8 & 0.0 & 2.0 \\ 3.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.7 & 4.7 & 3.7 & 0.0 & 3.0 & 4.0 & 3.3 & 0.0 \\ 3.0 & 4.0 & 5.2 & 2.5 & 0.0 & 3.1 & 2.2 & 4.4 & 4.1 & 5.5 & 2.1 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 2.5 & 0.0 & 3.3 & 5.5 & 2.9 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 2.7 & 3.2 & 0.0 & 4.0 \\ 0.0 & 5.3 & 2.5 & 0.0 & 4.4 & 0.0 & 3.1 & 5.5 & 3.1 & 4.8 & 2.4 & 2.0 \\ 3.1 & 0.0 & 3.9 & 4.5 & 5.2 & 0.0 & 5.0 & 2.3 & 4.4 & 3.5 & 5.1 & 2.0 \\ 4.2 & 4.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.5 & 3.6 & 2.5 & 0.0 & 2.4 & 0.0 & 3.2 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 4.6 & 3.2 & 0.0 & 2.1 & 5.4 & 3.1 & 4.1 & 3.3 & 0.0 & 4.2 \\ 0.0 & 4.8 & 3.2 & 2.1 & 3.6 & 0.0 & 4.4 & 3.9 & 2.0 & 0.0 & 2.2 & 3.5 \\ 3.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 4.3 & 0.0 & 3.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.0 & 3.2 & 5.1 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 5.3 & 2.8 & 2.5 & 0.0 & 3.8 & 5.3 & 3.6 & 5.1 & 4.5 & 3.9 \\ 2.3 & 0.0 & 4.6 & 5.2 & 3.9 & 4.7 & 5.1 & 2.6 & 5.0 & 2.7 & 4.6 & 2.1 \\ 4.0 & 2.0 & 0.0 & 3.9 & 0.0 & 5.2 & 4.2 & 4.7 & 5.0 & 3.6 & 2.3 & 4.8 \\ 5.2 & 5.3 & 2.6 & 4.7 & 0.0 & 3.6 & 4.1 & 2.2 & 0.0 & 5.5 & 3.6 & 4.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

For example, the residential load  $a_{41}$  in LM consumes 4.9 MW having  $\alpha_{41}$  is 0.31 importance in IM, so that under contingency condition over loading,  $a_{41}$  is shed to 0.0 MW that shown in Fig.6. Therefore, the total load of the grid after shedding will be 447.5 MW which is lower than the supply power that is 450 MW.




Fig.6: Practical Loads After Shedding Feeders (4-5-8-9-11) We can see that the feeders (4-5-8-9-11) are switching off (i.e. their values 0 MW) because they have a low importance factor. The aforementioned 4 feeders will be under shedding from the substation 1 in order to investigate the balance between the demands - supply power.

*B. Load shedding scheme with wind energy resource connected to the network*

The proposed impact integration wind energy on the power systems has been simulated using Power System Simulator for Engineer (PSS/E) software Version 30.3 applied on the 132 kV Iraqi grid systems. In this paper it has been suggested three wind farms include the following: Shaikh Saad and Al-Djal wind farms are located in the city of Wasit-Iraq, every wind farm location is assumed to have height is 100 m. of the selected model. However, the average output power of wind generator over year can be seen in the Table. 2 as shown :

Table. 2 Average output power of wind generator per year

Month	Shaikh (MW)	Saad	Al-Dujaili (MW)	Total MW
Jan.	35.188		29.020	64.208
Feb.	44.680		37.701	82.381
Mar.	56.730		48.836	105.566
Apr.	19.568		14.898	34.466
Ma.	66.247		57.697	123.945
Jun.	51.785		44.041	95.826
Jul.	109.635		94.176	203.812
Aug.	66.247		57.455	123.702
Sep.	83.059		73.455	156.514
Oct.	30.595		24.859	55.455
Nov.	28.847		23.129	51.977
Dec.	41.529		34.808	76.338

It should be note from the table here that the maximum output of generation in the July due to the variation of the wind speed in this time maximum as shown in Fig.7




Fig.7 Output power of wind generator in Shaikh Saad, Al-Dujaili reign

To achieve air gap between supply-demand power minimize extremely, rapid development of renewable energy is necessary, especially WP integration with the power system in order to achieve additional capacity of generation.




Fig.8 Output power of generation with and without wind power

It is evident from Fig.8 that, within integration of wind generators to the system, the gap between the supply and demand power is minimized as much as possible. The maximum demand power inquired at July month reach to 650.363 MW, and the power generation is 400.624 MW without contribution of WP so with it 604.436 MW. In addition, it is known from Fig.8 that the total LS quantity of the proposed scheme is minimal. It seems that, by these integrations of wind generators to the power system, the LS

scheme alerts and the amount of loads that should be shed from the feeders are reduced. In order to clarify the variation on the amount of LS with the penetration of WP and without it that can be shown in Fig.9.




Fig.9: Practical loads after shedding feeders with and without wind energy source

Under disturbance condition such as over loading, the gap between supply and load is small value due to this contribution and the system will be operating without collapsing. Furthermore, the strategy has to reduce the load anywhere in the LM that has low priority in order to recover high priority loads with the continuous supply. In addition, from the Fig.9 the red feeders (4-5-8-9-11) at first substation are switching off as shown in Fig.6 and their values will be 0 MW. But the blue feeders (9-11) are only being reduced from the first substation when incorporating of wind generators with the network. However, it is also important to see that only two feeders are being shed and the other three feeders are supplied due to the penetration of WP. Furthermore, the severity of demand-supply power unbalance on the critical load will be alleviated gradually through integrate of WP during the LS period and the process of LS scheme is based on PDs.

Table 3 Load shedding scheme based on PDs at substation with and without wind power generation.

Sub. No.	Power demand at Sub.	Power demand at Sub. after LS		LS based PDs at Sub.		Feeders number being reduce	
		Without WP	With WP	Without WP	With WP	Without WP	With WP
1	56.3	34.4	46.5	21.9	9.8	4, 5, 8, 9, 11	9, 11
2	54.7	25.7	48.8	29	5.9	2, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12	6, 11
3	54.9	35	45.1	19.9	9.8	2, 4, 7, 10, 13	2, 13
4	55.7	31.6	51.8	24.1	3.9	1, 2, 5, 7, 9	5
5	54.2	25.1	45.1	29.1	9.1	3, 8, 10, 13, 14	8, 14
6	54.9	30.2	54.9	24.7	0.0	5, 6, 9, 11	.....
7	58.7	40.4	58.7	18.3	0.0	1, 4, 10	.....
8	53.4	33.9	53.4	19.5	0.0	2, 7, 10	.....
9	52.8	27.9	48.9	24.9	3.9	1, 10, 14	10
10	55.5	46	55.5	9.5	0.0	7, 9	.....
11	49	34.2	41.5	14.8	7.5	1, 4, 8	1, 8
12	49.7	32.8	49.7	16.9	0.0	2, 3, 7	.....
Total	649.8	397.2	599.9	252.6	49.9		

Table 3 condenses the comparison of the LS scheme with the wind generators that are jointed to the network of the power system and without them. It is apparent that, with the

incorporation of wind generators to the network, the LS scheme alters and reduces the amount of load to be disconnected from the substation. The penetration of WP creates desirable effects on the power system by providing supplementary system generation capacity during disturbance conditions. However, when this contribution of WP generation is obsoleted, the system is suffered from over shedding for non-critical loads and unbalance supply-demand power. As it can be seen from Table 3, the feeders in the network are picked based PDs using Table 1 to disconnect established amount of demand. In this scheme, feeder with critical load (i.e. hospital, given very high importance) represents high importance feeders in the network which are except from LS. For example, the LS location at substation 1 with a LS amount of 21.9 MW without considering WP and with it a LS amount of 9.8 MW. It should be noted that the results obviously shows that the LS at all substation mend appreciably and one important factor in minimizing impact of LS on the system is to have wind generators connected to the network of the power system.

V. CONCLUSION

We presented a LS process that takes place at the feeder's level based on their importance. Feeders will be disconnected according to the criticality of the demands. Consequently, low priority feeders are switched off along with the attached noncritical loads so as to minify the shedding influence on the critical loads. In this paper, the proposed LS scheme considering the penetration of WP generation in the power system. The results have been shown that high contribution of WP generation can have large influence on the system during contingency conditions. The impacts of absence this contribution during LS on the power system can be large amount of LS and unreliable for critical loads. The higher PDs are fed with a reliable power source with the penetration of wind generation by the real time monitoring of the network accompanied with power reducing for the lower PDs. Processes of the LS scheme according to implementing IM and LM, resulting on new LM is represented the practical new loads with shedding low PDs to investigate supply-demand balancing. In addition, this reduction is high for non-critical loads and low or not exists for critical loads in order to maintain the operation of the important loads to the maximum extension possible. The tested sample are employed the Iraqi national grid (ING) control center in Baghdad based on the CENER.

The main contribution of this paper is to sustain power for higher important loads where possible; and accurate load shedding amount while keeping the load under identified power threshold. In this case, the critical loads such as health care and security installation are kept intact without any interruption as possible. The result of the implementation shows the effectiveness of the proposed load reducing scheme, as well as the logarithmic IM and LM. Moreover, in order to determine the LS capacity of each feeder based on the PDs, the shedding can be distributed between all the non-critical loads to achieve an effective process and improve the reliability for essential and unessential loads.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Leonard L. Grigsby, *Electric Power Engineering Handbook*, 2nd ed. New York: CRC Press, 2007.
- [2] P. Kundur et al., "Definition and Classification of Power System Stability," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 1387–1401, May 2004.
- [3] M. A. Abdulsada and F. M. Tuaimah, "Power System Static Security Assessment for Iraqi Super High Voltage Grid," *Int. J. Appl. Eng. Res.*, vol. 12, no. 19, pp. 8354–8365, 2017.
- [4] S. Hirodantis, H. Li, and P. A. Crossley, "Load Shedding in a Distribution Network," *IEEE Int. Conf. Sustain. Power Gener. Supply*, pp. 1–6, 2009.
- [5] J. Xu, W. Qi, L. Wang, and Y. Liu, "Study of Load Shedding Procedure for Power System Voltage Stability," *IEEE PES Transm. Distrib. Conf. Expo.*, no. 1, pp. 1–4, 2010.
- [6] C. W. Taylor, "Concepts of Undervoltage Load Shedding for Voltage Stability," *JEEE Trans. Power Deliv.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 480–488, 1992.
- [7] P. M. Anderson and M. Mirheydar, "An Adaptive Method for Setting Under Frequency Load Shedding Relays," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 647–655, 1992.
- [8] U. Rudez and R. Mihalic, "Analysis of Underfrequency Load Shedding Using a Frequency Gradient," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 565–575, 2011.
- [9] V. V. Terzija, "Adaptive Underfrequency Load Shedding Based on the Magnitude of the Disturbance Estimation," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1260–1266, 2006.
- [10] H. S. and M. S. Pasand, "New centralised adaptive load-shedding algorithms to mitigate power system blackouts," *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 99–114, 2009.
- [11] U. Rudez and R. Mihalic, "Monitoring the First Frequency Derivative to Improve Adaptive Underfrequency Load-Shedding Schemes," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 839–846, 2011.
- [12] A. Saffarian and M. S. Pasand, "Enhancement of Power System Stability Using Adaptive Combinational Load Shedding Methods," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 1010–1020, 2011.
- [13] A.P. Ghaleh, M. S. Pasand, and A. Saffarian, "Power system stability enhancement using a new combinational load-shedding algorithm," *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 551–560, 2011.
- [14] S. Manson, G. Zweigle, and V. Yedidi, "Case study: An adaptive underfrequency load-shedding system," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 1–9, 2014.
- [15] C. P. Reddy and S. C. Srivastava, "A Sensitivity-Based Method for Under-Frequency Load-Shedding," *IEEE Trans. POWER Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 984–987, 2014.
- [16] M. Lu, W. A. W. ZainalAbidin and, and T. Masri, "Under-Frequency Load Shedding ( UFLS ) Schemes – A Survey," *Int. J. Appl. Eng. Res.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 456–472, 2016.
- [17] M. A. Mostafa, G. A. N. Mbamalu, and M. M. Mansour, "Effects of prioritizing demand on optimal load shedding policy," *ELSEVIER Electr. Power Energy Syst.*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 415–424, 1996.
- [18] K. U. Rao and et al., "A Novel Grading Scheme for Loads to Optimize Load Shedding Using Genetic Algorithm in a Smart Grid Environment," *IEEE Innov. Smart Grid Technol. (ISGT Asia)*, pp. 1–6, 2013.
- [19] J. A. Laghari et al., "A New Under-Frequency Load Shedding Technique Based on Combination of Fixed and Random Priority of Loads for Smart Grid Applications," *IEEE Trans. POWER Syst.*, pp. 1–9, 2014.
- [20] J. M. Carrasco et al., "Power-Electronic Systems for the Grid Integration of Renewable Energy Sources: A Survey," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 1002–1016, 2006.
- [21] Z. Gao et al., "An Overview on Development of Wind Power Generation," *IEEE Chinese Control Decis. Conf.*, pp. 435–439, 2016.
- [22] N. A. Masood, Y. Ruifeng, and T. K. Saha, "Frequency Response with Significant Wind Power Penetration: Case Study of a Realistic Power System," *IEEE PES, Conf. USA*, pp. 1–5, 2014.
- [23] JH. Zhang, C. S. Lai, S. Mieee, L. L. Lai, and F. Xu, "A Novel Load Shedding Strategy Combining Undervoltage and Underfrequency with Considering of High Penetration of Wind Energy," *IEEE Ind. Commer. power Syst. Tech. Conf. Syst.*, pp. 659–664, 2015.
- [24] S. Li and F. Tang, "Adaptive Under-Frequency Load Shedding Scheme in System Integrated with High Wind Power Penetration: Impacts and Improvements," *Energies*, pp. 1–16, 2017.
- [25] B. Huang, Z. Du, Y. Liu, and F. Zhao, "Study on online under-frequency load shedding strategy with virtual inertia control of wind turbines," *Int. Conf. Renew. Power Gener.*, no. 13, pp. 1819–1823, 2017.

**Biographies**

**Yasser F. Hassan** was born in 1981. He received his B.S. degree in electrical engineering from Al-Musransirya University in 2004. He is a Ph.D. student in Baghdad University. His research interest is load shedding strategy based on demand priority for Iraqi National Grid. E-mail: yasser.falah81@gmail.com.

**Yasir Ghazi Rashid** was born in 1991. He received his M.Sc degree in Electrical Engineering from Baghdad University in 2019. His research interest is impact of integrating wind energy on Iraqi power grid system. E-mail : yasirghazirashid@gmail.com

**Firas M. Tuaimah** was born in 1975. He received his Ph.D. degree in power system from Baghdad University. Since 2007, he has been a professor at Baghdad University. His main research interests are Power System Analysis under contingency condition. E-mail: dr.firasmt@gmail.com