

Influence of Irradiation on Mechanical Properties of PA 11

P. Kratky, D. Manas, M. Manas, M. Stanek, M. Ovsik, A. Skrobak, M. Reznicek

Abstract — The experimental study deals with mechanical properties of the hard surface layer of modified polyamide 11. The mechanical properties were acquired by nanohardness test with using the DSI method (Depth Sensing Indentation). The surface layer of PA11 specimen made by injection technology was modified by irradiation cross-linking using beta irradiation, which significantly influences mechanical properties of the surface layer. This technology allows polymer materials modification followed by the change of their end-use properties. The subject of this research is the influence of irradiation dosage on the changes of mechanical properties of PA11.

Keywords — Crosslinking, irradiated, nano-hardness, PA 11.

I. INTRODUCTION

Polyamide belongs to the group of synthetic thermoplastics polymers. This group consists of aliphatic polyamides and aromatic polyamides. Family of aliphatic polyamides is well known as Nylon. The Nylon is one of used the most polymers.

As Polymers belong to constructive materials which find use at the most industry branches. The advantage is a low weight together with the excellent mechanical properties, very good chemical resistance and other properties, which assign them for various applications. Disadvantage is mainly low temperature stability which significantly reduces usage of these polymers.

Polyamide belongs to the group of synthetic thermoplastics polymers. This group consists of aliphatic polyamides and aromatic polyamides. Family of aliphatic polyamides is well known as Nylon. The Nylon is one of used the most polymers.

Every properties improvement especially temperature stability helps to increase application possibilities. In addition,

Petr Kratky is with the Tomas Bata University in Zlin, nam. T. G. Masaryka 5555, 76001 Zlin, Czech Republic (phone: +420576035237; e-mail: kratky@ft.utb.cz).

David Manas is with the Tomas Bata University in Zlin, nam. T. G. Masaryka 5555, 76001 Zlin, Czech Republic (e-mail: dmanas@ft.utb.cz).

Miroslav Manas is with the Tomas Bata University in Zlin, nam. T. G. Masaryka 5555, 76001 Zlin, Czech Republic (e-mail: manas@ft.utb.cz).

Michal Stanek is with the Tomas Bata University in Zlin, nam. T. G. Masaryka 5555, 76001 Zlin, Czech Republic (e-mail: stanek@ft.utb.cz).

Martin Ovsik is with the Tomas Bata University in Zlin, nam. T. G. Masaryka 5555, 76001 Zlin, Czech Republic (e-mail: ovsik@ft.utb.cz).

Adam Skrobak is with the Tomas Bata University in Zlin, nam. T. G. Masaryka 5555, 76001 Zlin, Czech Republic (e-mail: skrobak@ft.utb.cz).

Martin Reznicek is with the Tomas Bata University in Zlin, nam. T. G. Masaryka 5555, 76001 Zlin, Czech Republic (e-mail: mreznicek@ft.utb.cz).

properties modification of standard polymers, which are relatively cheap products, gives them advantage for another usage. One of the possibilities of polymers improvement is their radiation cross-linking.

The irradiation cross-linking of thermoplastic materials via electron beam or cobalt 60 (gamma rays) is performed separately, after processing. Generally, ionizing radiation includes accelerated electrons, gamma rays and X-rays.1

Radiation processing with an electron beam offers several distinct advantages when compared with other radiation sources, particularly γ -rays and x-rays. The process is very fast, clean and can be controlled with much precision. There is no permanent radioactivity since the machine can be switched off. In contrast to γ -rays and x-rays, the electron beam can be steered relatively easily, thus allowing irradiation of a variety of physical shapes. The electron beam radiation process is practically free of waste products and therefore is no serious environmental hazard. The main difference between beta and gamma rays is in their different abilities to penetrate the irradiated material. Gamma rays have a high penetration capacity. The penetration capacity of electron rays depends on the energy of the accelerated electrons. Due to electron accelerators, the required dosage can be applied within seconds, whereas several hours are required in the gamma radiation plant. (Fig. 1). [1,2]




Fig. 1 Design of gamma rays (a) and electron rays (b), 1 – Penetration depth of an electron, 2 – Primary electron, 3 – Secondary electron, 4 – Irradiated material, 5 – Encapsulated Co – 60 Radiation source, 6 – Gamma rays [2]

Beta and gamma rays can be used for the irradiation of polyolefines, polyesters, halogen polymers and polyamides from the thermoplastics group, elastomers and thermoplastic elastomers. Some of them need the addition of a cross-linking

agent. [1,6,7,8]

Radiation cross-linking usually improves strength, reduces creep, contributes to chemical resistance improvement and in many cases improves tribological properties. Effect of radiation cross-linking significantly improves temperature stability. Because of that, materials which belong to group of standard polymers can be used in applications, which would be in term of temperature stability intended only to constructive thermoplastic polymers.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

For this experiment polyamide 11 (PA11) V-PTS-CREAMID - 11T * M600/13 transparent; PTS Plastics Technologie Service, Germany was used. The material already contained a special cross-linking agent TAIC - triallylisocyanurate (5 volume %), which should enable subsequent cross-linking by ionizing β – radiation. The prepared specimens were irradiated with doses of 33, 66 and 99 kGy at BGS Beta-Gamma Service GmbH & Co. KG, Germany [1-4].

The samples were made using the injection molding technology on the injection moulding machine ArburgAllrounder 420C. Processing temperature 280–310 °C, mold temperature 60 °C, injection pressure 80 MPa, injection rate 50 mm/s.

Instrumented nanohardness tests were done using a Nanoindentation Tester (NHT2) – Opx/Cpx, CSM Instruments (Switzerland) according to the CSN EN ISO 6507-1. Load and unload speed was 100 mN/min. After a holding time of 90 s at maximum load 50 mN the specimens were unloaded. The indentation hardness HIT was calculated as maximum load to the projected area of the hardness impression according to:

$$H_{IT} = \frac{F_{max}}{A_p} \quad \text{with} \quad h_c = h_{max} - \varepsilon \frac{F_{max}}{S} \quad (1)$$

Where h_{max} is the indentation depth at F_{max} , h_c is contact depth. In this study the Oliver and Pharr method was used calculate the initial stiffness (S), contact depth (h_c). The specimens were glued on metallic sample holders.

The indentation modulus is calculated from the Plane Strain modulus using an estimated sample Poisson’s ratio:

$$E_{IT} = E^* \cdot (1 - \nu_s^2) \quad (2)$$

The deduced modulus is calculated from the following equation:

$$E_r = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \cdot S}{2 \cdot \beta \cdot \sqrt{A_p(h_c)}} \quad (3)$$

The Plane Strain Modulus E^* is calculated from the following equation:

$$E^* = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{E_r} - \frac{1 - \nu_i^2}{E_i}} \quad (4)$$

Where E_i is the Elastic modulus of the indenter, E_r is the reduced modulus of the indentation contact, ν_i is the Poisson’s ratio of the indenter. [8] [12] [41].

Determination of indentation creep C_{IT} :

$$C_{IT} = \frac{h_2 - h_1}{h_1} \cdot 100 \quad (5)$$

Where h_1 is representing the indentation depth at time t_1 of reaching the test force (which is kept constant), h_2 is the indentation depth at time t_2 of holding the constant test force (Fig. 2) [1] [7] [15].




Fig. 2 Illustration of indentation creep parameters

Elastic part of the indentation work η_{IT} (Fig. 3):

$$\eta_{IT} = \frac{W_{elast}}{W_{total}} \cdot 100 \quad \text{with} \quad W_{total} = W_{elast} + W_{plast} \quad (6)$$

Plastic part W_{plast} / W_{total} follows as 100% - η_{IT} (7)




Fig. 3 Illustration of coefficient of back deformation

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For Instrumented nanohardness test was used three different loads

A. Indentation load 10 mN

The values measured during the nanohardness test showed

that the lowest values of indentation hardness were found for the non-irradiated PA11. On the contrary, the highest values of indentation hardness were obtained for PA11 irradiated by a dose of 132 kGy (by 39% higher in comparison with the non-irradiated PA11), as can be seen at Fig. 4.




Fig. 4 Hardness HIT of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

Higher radiation dose does not influence significantly the nanohardness value. An indentation hardness increase of the surface layer is caused by irradiation cross-linking of the tested specimen. The decrease of indentation hardness of surface layer with high doses of radiation is caused by surface degradation

According to the results of measurements of nanohardness, it was found that the highest values of indentation modulus of elasticity were achieved at the PA11 irradiated with dose of 165 kGy (by 17% higher than compared with non-irradiated PA11). On the contrary, the lowest values of the indentation modulus of elasticity were found for non-irradiated PA11 as is seen at Fig. 5.




Fig. 5 Elastic modulus EIT of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

The lowest values of hardness Vickers were found for the

non-irradiated PA11. On the contrary, the highest values of hardness Vickers were obtained for PA11 irradiated by a dose of 132 kGy (by 39% higher in comparison with the non-irradiated PA11), as can be seen at Fig. 6.




Fig. 6 Hardness Vickers of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

Other important material parameters obtained during the nanohardness test were elastic and plastic deformation work. From the elastic deformation work we determine the reaction of material to applied (multiaxial) load with reversible deformation. The plastic part of the deformation work W_{pl} defines toughness of the tested material (surface layer) and its resistance to plastic deformation (Fig. 7).




Fig. 7 Elastic and plastic deformation work of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

The greatest values of elastic deformation work were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 132 kGy. The greatest values of plastic and elastic deformation work were

obtained for non-irradiated PA11. The lowest values of elastic deformation work were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 165 kGy. The lowest values of plastic deformation work were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 132 kGy. Radiation of specimens caused lower values of plastic a higher values of elastic deformation work which is apparent in Fig. 7.

The greatest values of indentation creep were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 165 kGy. The lowest values of indentation creep were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 198 kGy.

Radiation of specimens caused increase of indentation creep and subsequent decrease of indentation creep which is apparent in Fig. 8.




Fig. 8 Indentation Creep of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

The lowest values of back deformation coefficient n_{IT} were found for the PA11 irradiated with dose of 165 kGy. On the contrary, the highest values of back deformation coefficient n_{IT} were obtained for PA11 irradiated by a dose of 132 kGy (by 18% higher in comparison with the PA11 irradiated with dose of 165 kGy), as can be seen at Fig. 9.




Fig. 9 Coefficient of back deformation n_{IT} vs. irradiation doses

B. Indentation load 50 mN

The values measured during the nanohardness test showed that the lowest values of indentation hardness were found for the non-irradiated PA11.

On the contrary, the highest values of indentation hardness were obtained for PA11 irradiated by a dose of 132 kGy (by 24% higher in comparison with the non-irradiated PA11), as can be seen at Fig. 10.




Fig. 10 Hardness HIT of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

Higher radiation dose does not influence significantly the nanohardness value. An indentation hardness increase of the surface layer is caused by irradiation cross-linking of the tested specimen.

According to the results of measurements of nanohardness, it was found that the highest values of indentation modulus of elasticity were achieved at the PA11 irradiated with dose of 132 kGy (by 10% higher than compared with non-irradiated PA11). On the contrary, the lowest values of the indentation modulus of elasticity were found for non-irradiated PA11 as is seen at Fig. 11.




Fig. 11 Elastic modulus EIT of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

The lowest values of hardness Vickers were found for the non-irradiated PA11. On the contrary, the highest values of hardness Vickers were obtained for PA11 irradiated by a dose of 132 kGy (by 24% higher in comparison with the nonirradiated PA11), as can be seen at Fig. 12.




Fig. 12 Hardness Vickers of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

The greatest values of plastic deformation work were obtained for non-irradiated PA11. The greatest values of elastic and elastic deformation work were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 132 kGy. The lowest values of elastic deformation work were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 165 kGy. The lowest values of plastic deformation work were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 132 kGy as is seen at Fig. 13.




Fig. 13 Elastic and plastic deformation work of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

The greatest values of indentation creep were obtained for

PA11 irradiated with dose of 165 kGy. The lowest values of indentation creep were obtained for non-irradiated PA11.

Radiation of specimens caused increase of indentation creep and subsequent decrease of indentation creep which is apparent in Fig. 14.




Fig. 14 Indentation Creep of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

The lowest values of back deformation coefficient n_{IT} were found for the PA11 irradiated with dose of 132 kGy. On the contrary, the highest values of back deformation coefficient n_{IT} were obtained for PA11 irradiated by a dose of 165 kGy (by 10% higher in comparison with the PA11 irradiated with dose of 66 kGy), as can be seen at Fig. 15.




Fig. 15 Coefficient of back deformation n_{IT} vs. irradiation doses

C. Indentation load 250 mN

The values measured during the nanohardness test showed that the lowest values of indentation hardness were found for the non-irradiated PA11. On the contrary, the highest values of

indentation hardness were obtained for PA11 irradiated by a dose of 132 kGy (by 11% higher in comparison with the nonirradiated PA11), as can be seen at Fig. 16.




Fig. 16 Hardness HIT of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

Higher radiation dose does not influence significantly the nanohardness value. The decrease of indentation hardness of surface layer with high doses of radiation is caused by surface degradation.

According to the results of measurements of nanohardness, it was found that the highest values of indentation modulus of elasticity were achieved at the PA11 irradiated with dose of 165 kGy (by 7% higher than compared with non-irradiated PA11). On the contrary, the lowest values of the indentation modulus of elasticity were found for non-irradiated PA11 as is seen at Fig. 17.




Fig. 17 Elastic modulus EIT of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

The lowest values of hardness Vickers were found for the non-irradiated PA11. On the contrary, the highest values of hardness Vickers were obtained for PA11 irradiated by a dose of 132 kGy (by 11% higher in comparison with the non-irradiated PA11), as can be seen at Fig. 18.




Fig. 18 Hardness Vickers of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

The greatest values of elastic deformation work were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 132 kGy. The greatest values of plastic and elastic deformation work were obtained for non-irradiated PA11. The lowest values of elastic deformation work were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 165 kGy. The lowest values of plastic deformation work were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 132 kGy which is apparent in Fig. 19.




Fig. 19 Elastic and plastic deformation work of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

The greatest values of indentation creep were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 132 kGy. The lowest values of indentation creep were obtained for PA11 irradiated with dose of 198 kGy.

Radiation of specimens caused increase of indentation creep and subsequent decrease of indentation creep which is

apparent in Fig. 20.




Fig. 20 Indentation Creep of PA11 vs. irradiation doses

The lowest values of back deformation coefficient n_{IT} were found for non-irradiated PA11. On the contrary, the highest values of back deformation coefficient n_{IT} were obtained for PA11 irradiated by a dose of 132 kGy (by 6% higher in comparison with the non-irradiated PA11), as can be seen at Fig. 21.




Fig. 21 Coefficient of back deformation n_{IT} vs. irradiation doses

IV. CONCLUSION

For measurement with load of 10mN we obtained lowest indentation depth for PA11 irradiated with dose of 132 kGy. The greatest values were obtained for non-irradiated PA11, as can be seen at Fig. 22. For measurement with load of 50mN (Fig. 23) we obtained similar results as can be seen at Fig. 22.




Fig. 22 Indentation load vs. Indentation depth

The correlation between force and depth of indentation into surface layer is apparent in Fig. 23. It demonstrated the influence of radiation on the change of mechanical properties in the surface layer of specimens. The non-irradiated PA11 showed low hardness as well as increasing impression of the indenter in the surface layer.




Fig. 23 Indentation load vs. Indentation depth

For measurement with load of 50mN we obtained lowest indentation depth for PA11 irradiated with dose of 132 kGy.

The greatest values were obtained for non-irradiated PA11, as can be seen at Fig. 24.

The correlation between force and depth of indentation into surface layer is apparent in Fig. 25. It demonstrated the influence of radiation on the change of mechanical properties in the surface layer of specimens.




Fig. 24 Indentation load vs. Indentation depth

The non-irradiated PA11 showed low hardness as well as increasing impression of the indenter in the surface layer.




Fig. 25 Indentation load vs. Indentation depth

For measurement with load of 250mN we obtained lowest indentation depth for PA11 irradiated with dose of 132 kGy. The greatest values were obtained for non-irradiated PA11, as can be seen at Fig. 26.

The correlation between force and depth of indentation into surface layer is apparent in Fig. 27. It demonstrated the influence of radiation on the change of mechanical properties in the surface layer of specimens. The non-irradiated PA11 showed low hardness as well as increasing impression of the indenter in the surface layer.




Fig. 26 Indentation load vs. Indentation depth




Fig. 27 Indentation load vs. Indentation depth

The properties of surface layer of polyamide 11 modified by beta radiation improved significantly. The nanohardness values increased about 20%. Stiffness of surface layer increased significantly by 18% as a result of radiation. Changes of behavior in the surface layer were confirmed by final values of plastic deformation work whose values decreased in correlation with the increasing radiation dose. Elastic deformation was increasing with radiation dose. The highest values of micromechanical properties were reached at radiation dose of 132 kGy. The results of nanomechanical properties of surface layer of modified polyamide 11 show that it can be used in more difficult applications in some industrial fields, in particular where there are high requirements for strength, stiffness and hardness of surface layer which appears to be the most suitable area of application. The resistance of

surface layer to wear makes its use suitable for the production of gears, friction parts of machinery and as alternative to some metal materials. Thanks to its low weight polyamide 11 modified by beta radiation is a suitable alternative to commonly used materials in the car and electrical industry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper is supported by the internal grant of TBU in Zlin No. IGA/FT/2014/016 funded from the resources of specific university research and by the European Regional Development Fund under the project CEBIA-Tech No. CZ.1.05/2.1.00/03.0089.

REFERENCES

- [1] Drobny, J.G., *Radiation Technology for Polymers*, Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2003, ISBN 1-58716-108-7.
- [2] BGS – Beta Gama Service. [online]. www: <http://bgs.eu>
- [3] Drobny, J.G., *Handbook of Thermoplastic Elastomers*, William Andrew Publishing, Norwich, NY, 2007, ISBN: 978-0-8155-1549-4
- [4] Brocka, Z., *Werkstoff- und Einsatzpotential strahlenvernetzter Thermoplaste*, Lehrstuhl für Kunststofftechnik (LKT), Nürnberg, 2008.
- [5] Woods, R. J., *Applied radiation chemistry: radiation processing*, A Wiley-Interscience publication, New York, 1994, ISBN 0-471-54452-3.
- [6] Manas, M., Stanek, M., Manas, D. at all: *Temperature stability of irradiated polymers*. Chemicke listy, 105(S), p254-256, ISSN 0009-2770.
- [7] Holik, Z., Danek, M., Manas, M., at all: *Effect of irradiation cross-linking on mechanical properties of selected types of polymer*. Chemicke listy, 105(S), p269-271, ISSN 0009-2770.
- [8] Huang, Y., Zhang, F., Hwang, K. C., Nix, W. D., Pharr, G. M., Feng, G. A model of size effects in nano-indentation. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*. 2006, Vol. 54, p. 1668–1686.
- [9] M. Stanek et al., Optimization of Injection molding process, *International Journal of Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, Vol.5, 2011, pp. 413-421.
- [10] Z. Holik, M. Danek, M. Manas, J. Cerny, “The Influence of Cross-linking Agent on Mechanical Properties of Polyamide Modified by Irradiation Cross-linking”, in *Proc. 13th WSEAS International Conference on Automatic Control, Modelling & Simulation*, Lanzarote, Spain, 2011, pp.222-225.
- [11] Z. Holik, K. Kyas, M. Krumal, J. Cerny, M. Danek, “Improvement of Polypropylene Properties”, 21st International DAAAM Symposium, 2010, Zadar, Croatia, p. 1191-1192.
- [12] H. Vaskova, V. Kresalek, „Raman Spectroscopy of Epoxy Resin Crosslinking”, in *Proc. 13th WSEAS International Conference on Automatic Control, Modelling & Simulation*, Lanzarote, Canary Islands 2011, p.357-360.
- [13] M. Manas et al., *Improvement of Mechanical Properties of the TPE by Irradiation*, Chemicke Listy, Vol.105, 2011, pp. 828-829.
- [14] M. Manas et al., *Modification of Polyamides Properties by Irradiation*, Chemicke Listy, Vol.103, 2009, pp. 24-26.
- [15] D. Mañas, M. Mañas, M. Stanek, T. Drga, *Influence of Radiation on Polymer Properties*, Chemicke listy, Vol. 101, 2007, pp. 27-28.
- [16] D. Manas et al., *Thermal Effects on Steels at Different Methods of Separation*, Chemicke Listy, Vol.105, 2011, pp. 713-715.
- [17] D. Manas et al., *Influence of Mechanical Properties on Wear of Heavily Stressed Rubber Parts*, KGK – Kautschuk Gummi Kunststoffe, Vol.62, 2009, pp. 240-245.
- [18] D. Manas et al., *Wear of Multipurpose Tire Treads*, Chemicke Listy, Vol.103, 2009, pp. 72-74.
- [19] D. Manas, M. Stanek, M. Manas, “Workability and Wear of Rubber Parts”, Chapter 54 in *DAAAM International Scientific Book 2007*, Published by DAAAM International, DAAAM International, Vienna, Austria, p.611-626.
- [20] M. Stanek et al., Simulation of Injection Molding Process by Cadmould Rubber, *International Journal of Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, Vol.5, 2011, pp. 422-429.
- [21] M. Stanek et al., *Influence of Surface Roughness on Fluidity of Thermoplastics Materials*, Chemicke Listy, Vol.103, 2009, pp. 91-95.
- [22] M. Stanek et al., *Plastics Parts Design Supported by Reverse Engineering and Rapid Prototyping*, Chemicke Listy, Vol.103, 2009, pp. 88-91.
- [23] M. Stanek et al., *How the Filler Influence the Fluidity of Polymer*, Chemicke Listy, Vol.105, 2011, pp. 303-305.
- [24] M. Stanek, D. Manas, M. Manas, J. Javorik, “Simulation of Injection Molding Process,” in *Proc. 13th WSEAS International Conference on Automatic Control, Modelling & Simulation*, p.231-234.
- [25] K. Kyas, M. Stanek, Manas, M. Stanek, M. Krumal, Z. Holik, *Simulation of rubber injection holding process*, 2011, Chemicke listy, Volume 105, Issue 15, pp. S354-S356.
- [26] J. Javorik et al., The Shape Optimization of the Pneumatic Valve Diaphragms, *International Journal of Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, Vol.5, 2011, pp. 361-369.
- [27] Ovsik, M. – Manas, D. – Stanek, M. – Manas, M. – Cerny, J. – Bednarik, M. – Mizera, A.: *Microhardness of Modified Thermoplastics*, Annals of DAAAM for 2011 & Proceedings of the 22nd International DAAAM Symposium, 23-26th November 2011, Vienna, Austria, ISSN 1726-9679, ISBN 978-3-901509-83-4, p. 1187-1188, Published by DAAAM International Vienna, Vienna.
- [28] Navtatil, J. – Stanek, M. – Manas, M. – Manas, D. – Bednarik, M. – Mizera, A.: *Utilization of DMLS in Injection Mold Design*, Annals of DAAAM for 2011 & Proceedings of the 22nd International DAAAM Symposium, 23-26th November 2011, Vienna, Austria, ISSN 1726-9679, ISBN 978-3-901509-83-4, p. 1507-1508, Published by DAAAM International Vienna, Vienna
- [29] J. Javorik, M. Stanek, “The Numerical Simulation of the Rubber Diaphragm Behavior,” in *Proc. 13th WSEAS International Conference on Automatic Control, Modelling & Simulation*, Lanzarote, Spain, 2011, pp. 117-120.
- [30] J. Javorik, D. Manas, “The Specimen Optimization for the Equibiaxial Test of Elastomers,” in *Proc. 13th WSEAS International Conference on Automatic Control, Modelling & Simulation*, Lanzarote, Spain, 2011, pp. 121-124.
- [31] HOLÍK, Z. - MAÑAS, M. – DANĚK, M. – MACOUREK, J.: *Improvement of Mechanical and Thermomechanical Properties of Polyethylene by Irradiation Crosslinking*. Chemicke listy. Volume 103, 2009, s.60-63, ISSN 0009-2770 (IF: 0,593 MF: 1,256)
- [32] D. Manas, M. Hribova, M. Manas, M. Ovsik, M. Stanek, D. Samek, “The effect of beta irradiation on morphology and micro hardness of polypropylene thin layers”, 2012, *Thin Solid Films*, Volume 530, pp. 49-52. ISSN 0040-6090.
- [33] Pharr, G. M. Measurement of mechanical properties by ultra-low indentation. *Material Science and Engineering*. 1998, p. 151-159.
- [34] M. Ovsik, D. Manas, M. Manas, M. Stanek, M. Hribova, K. Kocman, D. Samek, “Irradiated polypropylene Studied by Microhardness and WAXS”, 2012. Chemicke listy, Volume 106, pp. S507-510. ISSN 0009-2770.
- [35] Pusz, A., Michalik, K., Creep damage mechanisms in gas pipes made of high density polyethylene, 2009, *Archives of Materials Science and Engineering* 36 (2)., pp. 89-95.
- [36] D. Janacova, H. Charvatova, K. Kolomaznik, V. Vasek, P. Mokrejs, “Solving of Non-Stationary Heat Transfer in a Plane Plate”, in *Proc. 13th WSEAS International Conference on Automatic Control, Modelling & Simulation*, Lanzarote, Canary Islands 2011, p.287-291.
- [37] P. Kratky, D. Manas, M. Manas, M. Stanek, M. Ovsik, K. Kyas, J. Navratil, “Nanohardness of electron beam irradiated PMMA”. *International Journal of Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 2013, vol. 7, iss. 12, s. 957-964. ISSN 1998-0140.
- [38] D. Samek, O. Bilek, J. Cerny, “Prediction of grinding parameters for plastics by artificial neural networks”, *International Journal of Mechanics*, 2011, pp. 250–261.
- [39] O. Bilek, L. Rokyta, “Rapid prototyping in casting technology”, *Case study. In: Annals of DAAAM and Proceedings of the International DAAAM Symposium*, 2011, pp. 1157–1158.
- [40] G. Habenicht, *Kleben, Grundlagen, Technologien, Anwendungen*. 6., aktualisierte Aufl. Berlin: Springer, 2009, ISBN 978-3-540-85264-3.