

Thus, the flow of i -th component in the n -component gas mixture is determined only by the gradient of this component and its EDC.

Since the flow of each of the components of the mixture depends on the distribution of the concentration of all the components, the EDC is a complex quantity that changes during the transition from one point of the system to another. If this dependence is weakly expressed, we can already use the well-known solution of the corresponding diffusion problem for binary systems by simply replacing the mutual diffusion coefficient (MDC) - D_{12} for D_i^{eff} . Such special cases of diffusion include:

- for systems in which the components of 2,3... S move at the same speed or are stationary, the following relation is valid:

$$D_i^{eff} = \frac{1 - C_i}{\sum_{j=2}^s \frac{C_j}{D_{1j}}}; \quad (2)$$

- for the trace diffusion of component i into a homogeneous mixture of the others, we have:

$$D_i^{eff} = \frac{C_i}{\sum_{j=2}^s \frac{C_j}{D_{1j}}}; \quad (3)$$

- the diffusion of small impurities (trace diffusion) of substances 2,3,... n in almost pure substance 1, while $D_i^{eff} = D_{i1}$.

- diffusion in systems in which the mutual diffusion coefficients of the gas pairs entering the mixture are the same,

$$D_i^{eff} = D_{ij};$$

In other cases, it is necessary to find some EDC values averaged over the length of the diffusion layer. Despite the fact that there are currently no reasonable ways to find the average values of EDC, and therefore this method is widely used.

At the moment, there is enough information on the study of multicomponent mass transfer (for the most common cases) using EDC. Along with experimental studies, methods for calculating multicomponent diffusion were developed, which were based on the Stefan-Maxwell equations. Testing of this method on numerous experiments has shown that it is correct, has sufficient accuracy and is easy to use [16]-[19].

In the literature, a number of records of expressions for EDC are given. We will use the expression which is easily verified in diffusion experiments:

$$D_i^{eff} = D_{ii}^* + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} D_{ij}^* \frac{dc_j}{dc_i}, \quad (4)$$

where D_{ii}^* , $D_{ij}^* = f(D_{ij}, y_i, y_j)$ - the main and cross "practical" diffusion coefficients (PDC) or they are sometimes called matrix coefficients of multicomponent diffusion (MCMD); dc_j / dc_i - the ratio that relates the change in the concentration

of the j -th component to the change in the concentration of the i -th component; D_{ij} - MDC of gas pairs i and j ; y_i, y_j - molar fractions of components i and j .

The expression (4) in local quantities is quite difficult to apply, so it is simplified by moving to an approximate calculation of the integral (averaged over the entire diffusion layer) EDC of the i -th component in the n -component mixture.

The values D_{ii}^* , D_{ij}^* are calculated for the averaged (arithmetic mean) molar fractions, and the ratio of the gradients is replaced by the ratio of the differences in the concentrations of the components between points 0 and L at the boundaries of the diffusion layer:

$$\bar{D}_i^{eff} = \bar{D}_{ii}^* + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \bar{D}_{ij}^* \left(\frac{c_j^L - c_j^0}{c_i^L - c_i^0} \right). \quad (5)$$

It follows from (5) that, depending on the distribution of components within the system, the EDC sign depends, which can be either positive or negative. For the simplest multicomponent system - a triple mixture, the expression for calculating the EDC has the form:

$$\bar{D}_i^{eff} = \frac{(1 - \bar{y}_i) D_{ij} D_{ik} + \bar{y}_i D_{jk} D_{ik} + \bar{y}_i (D_{ik} D_{jk} - D_{ij} D_{jk}) \left(\frac{c_j^L - c_j^0}{c_i^L - c_i^0} \right)}{\bar{y}_i D_{jk} + \bar{y}_j D_{ik} + \bar{y}_k D_{ij}}, \quad (6)$$

$i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$.

$\bar{y}_i, \bar{y}_j, \bar{y}_k$ - are an averaged molar fractions of components.

Determination of effective coefficients (EDC) requires the presence of data on the coefficient of interdiffusion (MDC). For a binary system (consisting of A and B components), it is a function of temperature, pressure and composition. To obtain the necessary coefficients, we apply the Chapman - Enskog kinetic theory. The Chapman - Enskog formulas in the case of rarefied gases have the form:

$$c D_{AB} = 2.2646 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\sqrt{T \left(\frac{1}{M_A} + \frac{1}{M_B} \right)}}{\sigma_{AB}^2 \Omega_{D,AB}}. \quad (7)$$

If we accept that, according to the law of ideal gases $c = p / RT$, we find the following expression for D_{AB} :

$$D_{AB} = 0.0018583 \frac{\sqrt{T^2 \left(\frac{1}{M_A} + \frac{1}{M_B} \right)}}{p \sigma_{AB}^2 \Omega_{D,AB}}. \quad (8)$$

Here D_{AB} is expressed in sm^2/s ; c - in mol/sm^3 ; T - in K; p -

in atm; σ - in Å; $\Omega_{D,AB}$ - is a dimensionless function of temperature and intermolecular potential field for one molecule A and one molecule B. It is convenient to express this potential field by the Lennard-Jones function:

$$\varphi_{AB}(r) = 4\varepsilon_{AB} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{AB}}{r} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{AB}}{r} \right)^6 \right]. \quad (9)$$

Parameters of the forces of intermolecular interaction are shown in Table 1 and functions required for calculating the transport coefficients in rarefied gases are shown in Table 2.

Table 1.
Parameters of the forces of intermolecular interaction

Compon ent	Molecular weight	Lennard-Jones potential parameters	
		$\sigma, \text{Å}$	$\varepsilon/k, \text{K}$
Light elements			
H₂	2.016	2.915	38.0
He	4.003	2.576	10.2
Noble gases			
Ne	20.183	2.789	35.7
Kr	83.80	3.498	225.0
Simple polyatomic substances			
Air	28.97	3.617	97.0
CO₂	44.01	3.996	190.0
Hydrocarbons			
CH₄	16.04	3.822	137.0
C₂H₆	30.07	4.418	230.0
C₃H₈	44.09	5.061	254.0
n-C₄H₁₀	58.12	5.341	313.0
n-C₅H₁₂	72.15	5.769	345.0

Table 2.
Functions required for calculating the transport coefficients

kT / ε_{AB}	$\Omega_{D,AB}$	kT / ε_{AB}	$\Omega_{D,AB}$
0.30	2.662	2.50	0.9996
0.70	1.729	3.20	0.9328
1.00	1.439	3.70	0.8998
1.35	1.253	4.50	0.8610
1.80	1.116	4.80	0.8492
2.10	1.057	5.0	0.8422
6.0	0.8124	40.0	0.5960
7.0	0.7896	60.0	0.5596
8.0	0.7712	70.0	0.5464
9.0	0.7556	80.0	0.5352

10.0	0.7424	90.0	0.5256
20.0	0.6640	100.0	0.5170

In the above Tables 1 and 2 for the model with potential energy the values of $\Omega_{D,AB}$ are given as a function of kT / ε_{AB} . Using these data, it can be calculated that D_{AB} increases approximately in proportion to the power of 2.0 at low temperatures and to the power of 1.65 at very high temperatures. Combining the Lennard-Jones parameters for molecules A and B, it can be calculates the values in the range of the average deviation of about 6%:

$$\sigma_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_A \sigma_B) \quad (10)$$

$$\varepsilon_{AB} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_A \varepsilon_B}$$

In this way the necessary coefficients can be determined to calculate the effective diffusion coefficients.

III. CALCULATION METHODOLOGY

When measuring the EDC in most tasks, we used the method of a two-flask diffusion device [20]. The design of the diffusion apparatus, devices and components included in the experimental setup, as well as the operating procedure are described in detail in [21]. One of the devices used in the work had the following parameters: the volumes of the upper and lower flasks: $V_u = V_l = 76.9 \text{ sm}^3$; the length and diameter of the diffusion channel $L = 7.055 \text{ sm}$ and $d = 0.4 \text{ sm}$, respectively.

For this device, the complex of geometric dimensions (the constant of the device, where S is the cross-sectional area of the channel) was equal to 2261 sm^2 . For the devices available to us, the geometric characteristics could differ slightly, and their constants were in the range from 2100 to 2600 sm^2 . In the presented calculations, we used the geometric parameters of the diffusion apparatus, the constant of which was equal to 2500 sm^2 .

The method of operation on the device is as follows: the flasks of the device are separated from each other and the fore vacuum pump is pumped out of one of the flasks, which is then washed (filled and pumped out again 2-3 times) with gas from the corresponding cylinder and finally filled with gas to a pressure slightly higher than the pressure of the experiment. Similar procedures are performed with the second flask and fill it with a pressure slightly lower than the pressure of the experiment.

After the installation reaches the desired temperature (usually at a temperature of $T=298.0 \text{ K}$), the pressure in the flasks is equalized through a special container. According to the model pressure gauges, the pressure in the flasks of the device is noted. The absolute value of the pressure P_{exp} is found by summing the atmospheric pressure and the pressure

taken from the pressure gauge (overpressure).

The diffusion channel opens after equalizing the pressure and simultaneously turns on the stopwatch. At the end of the experiment, the flasks are separated, and the diffusion time is noted. The analysis of gases after diffusion is carried out either by the interferometry method (binary mixtures) or by the chromatographic method (three-component mixtures and higher) from both the upper and lower flasks.

The calculation of the MDC in binary mixtures and the EDC in multicomponent mixtures for a given pressure and temperature is performed as follows:

$$D = \frac{L}{St} \times \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{V_{upper}} + \frac{1}{V_{lower}}\right)} \ln \frac{\Delta C_0}{\Delta C_t} \quad (11)$$

Where L , S – the length and cross-sectional area of the diffusion capillary; V_{upper} , V_{lower} – the volumes of the upper and lower flasks of the device; ΔC_0 and ΔC_t – are the concentration difference between the flasks at the initial and final moments of time; t – the diffusion time.

In this paper, the diffusion of five natural hydrocarbon gas mixtures into the air at $T = 298$ K and $P = 0.101$ MPa was investigated by numerical experiment. The composition and concentrations of the components in the mixtures were taken from [5]. At the same time, the choice of a particular gas field was not related to the classification in [5], but was determined only by the concentration of the main gas – methane. This range ranged from its minimum values in the mixture to its maximum values. In our calculations, we took into account all the components, although many of the gases were present in the form of “traces”. The concept of “trace” concentration has the following meaning, when the molecules of a given gas do not experience collisions with each other, but only collide with other molecules. In quantitative terms (depending on what gases are in the mixture) this can be up to 5-7%.

Nowadays an important stage in the development of the gas and oil deposits is its exploration. Detailed exploration of a gas deposit, in particular, the availability of information about its chemical composition, physical characteristics contributes to the safety of the working area.

The transition to the integrated design of gas field development, the intensification of gas flow to wellbores, and the automation of installations in gas fields have significantly increased the working flow rates of wellbores, improved gas preparation for transportation, and reduced the cost of natural gas. The results of a computational study of the diffusion process of a multicomponent gas mixture are presented below.

The gas fields and their composition are listed as [5, P.178, 182]:

1. Markovian plast, investigated system: Air(1) – 0,7240 CH4(2) + 0,0930 C2H6(3) + 0,0780 C3H8(4) + 0,0490 n-C4H10(5) + 0,0305 n-C5H12(6) + 0,0100 CO2(7) + 0,0155 N2(8);

2. Urengoy field, investigated system: Air(1) – 0,8531 CH4(2) + 0,0581 C2H6(3) + 0,0536 C3H8(4) + 0,0200 n-C4H10(5) + 0,0018 n-C5H12(6) + 0,0044 CO2(7) + 0,0090 N2(8);

3. Berezanskoye field (average composition), investigated system: Air(1) – 0,8878 CH4(2) + 0,0475 C2H6(3) + 0,0056 C3H8(4) + 0,0015 n-C4H10(5) + 0,0129 n-C5H12(6) + 0,0387 CO2(7) + 0,0060 N2(8);

4. Srednevelyuyskoye field (average composition), investigated system: Air(1) – 0,9220 CH4(2) + 0,0510 C2H6(3) + 0,0090 C3H8(4) + 0,0025 n-C4H10(5) + 0,0009 n-C5H12(6) + 0,0075 CO2(7) + 0,0071 N2(8);

5. Gazli-XII field (average composition), investigated system: Air(1) – 0,9444 CH4(2) + 0,0258 C2H6(3) + 0,0031 C3H8(4) + 0,0015 n-C4H10(5) + 0,0039 n-C5H12(6) + 0,0020 CO2(7) + 0,0193 N2(8).

In the future, for convenience, we will use not the chemical symbols of gases, but their numerical numbering, given after them. To perform the calculations, the MDC of the gas pairs entering the systems was necessary. Calculations of the MDC were carried out according to the Chapman-Enskog theory using the Lennard-Jones potential [19] for $T = 298$ K, $P = 0.101$ MPa.

IV. RESULTS OF NUMERICAL CALCULATION

The MDC values are as follows:

D12 = 0,217; D13 = 0,144; D23 = 0,151; D14 = 0,111;
D24 = 0,121; D34 = 0,077; D15 = 0,79; D25 = 0,105;
D35 = 0,066; D45 = 0,050; D16 = 0,082; D26 = 0,092;
D36 = 0,057; D46 = 0,043; D56 = 0,036; D17 = 0,151;
D27 = 0,165; D37 = 0,104; D47 = 0,079; D57 = 0,067;
D67 = 0,058; D18 = 0,203; D28 = 0,217; D38 = 0,144;
D48 = 0,112; D58 = 0,096; D68 = 0,083; D78 = 0,151
sm²/s.

Also, air was relied on as one component. This is quite justified if we assume that the concentrations of oxygen and nitrogen do not undergo strong changes [22-23].

The calculated values of EDC and MCMD for the initial distribution of the component concentrations of the five natural gas systems are given below. ($T = 298$ K and $P = 0.101$ MPa).

EDC of components for the 1st system:

1 – 0,181; 2 – 0,206; 3 – 0,138; 4 – 0,107; 5 – 0,068; 6 – 0,079; 7 – 0,144; 8 – 0,193 sm²/s.

MCMD for the 1st system for independent flows and gradients (first seven components):

D(1,1) = 0,1868	D(1,2) = -0,0066	D(1,3) = 0,0273
D(1,4) = 0,0430	D(1,5) = 0,0623	D(1,6) = 0,0570
D(1,7) = 0,0246	D(2,1) = 0,0004	D(2,2) = 0,1968
D(2,3) = 0,0209	D(2,4) = 0,0299	D(2,5) = 0,0316
D(2,6) = 0,0382	D(2,7) = 0,0164	D(3,1) = 0,0001
D(3,2) = -0,0005	D(3,3) = 0,1346	D(3,4) = 0,0042
D(3,5) = 0,0045	D(3,6) = 0,0055	D(3,7) = 0,0025
D(4,1) = 0,0001	D(4,2) = -0,0006	D(4,3) = 0,0023
D(4,4) = 0,1063	D(4,5) = 0,0039	D(4,6) = 0,0048

D(4,7) = 0,0023 D(5,1) = 0,0009 D(5,2) = -0,0004
 D(5,3) = 0,0015 D(5,4) = 0,0023 D(5,5) = 0,0831
 D(5,6) = 0,0031 D(5,7) = 0,0015 D(6,1) = 0,0001
 D(6,2) = -0,0003 D(6,3) = 0,0009 D(6,4) = 0,0015
 D(6,5) = 0,0016 D(6,6) = 0,0783 D(6,7) = 0,0009
 D(7,1) = 0,00001 D(7,2) = -0,0001 D(7,3) = 0,0003
 D(7,4) = 0,0005 D(7,5) = 0,0005 D(7,6) = 0,0006
 D(7,7) = 0,1397 sm²/s.

EDC of components for the **2nd system**:

1 – 0,199; **2** – 0,212; **3** – 0,141; **4** – 0,107; **5** – 0,064; **6** – 0,077; **7** – 0,146; **8** – 0,198 sm²/s.

MCMD for the **2nd system** for independent flows and gradients (first seven components):

D(1,1) = 0,1985 D(1,2) = -0,0069 D(1,3) = 0,0287
 D(1,4) = 0,0455 D(1,5) = 0,0669 D(1,6) = 0,0605
 D(1,7) = 0,0259 D(2,1) = 0,0002 D(2,2) = 0,2071
 D(2,3) = 0,0261 D(2,4) = 0,0372 D(2,5) = 0,0392
 D(2,6) = 0,0476 D(2,7) = 0,0203 D(3,1) = 0,00001
 D(3,2) = -0,0003 D(3,3) = 0,1415 D(3,4) = 0,0028
 D(3,5) = 0,0030 D(3,6) = 0,0036 D(3,7) = 0,0017
 D(4,1) = 0,0001 D(4,2) = -0,0004 D(4,3) = 0,0017
 D(4,4) = 0,1119 D(4,5) = 0,0029 D(4,6) = 0,0035
 D(4,7) = 0,0017 D(5,1) = 0,0004 D(5,2) = -0,0002
 D(5,3) = 0,0006 D(5,4) = 0,0010 D(5,5) = 0,0865
 D(5,6) = 0,0014 D(5,7) = 0,0006 D(6,1) = 0,0000
 D(6,2) = -0,00002 D(6,3) = 0,0001 D(6,4) = 0,0001
 D(6,5) = 0,0001 D(6,6) = 0,0817 D(6,7) = 0,0007
 D(7,1) = 0,0000 D(7,2) = -0,00004 D(7,3) = 0,0001
 D(7,4) = 0,0002 D(7,5) = 0,0002 D(7,6) = 0,0003
 D(7,7) = 0,1487 sm²/s.

EDC of components for the **3rd system**:

1 – 0,206; **2** – 0,214; **3** – 0,142; **4** – 0,107; **5** – 0,063; **6** – 0,077; **7** – 0,146; **8** – 0,200 sm²/s.

MCMD for the **3rd system** for independent flows and gradients (first seven components):

D(1,1) = 0,2029 D(1,2) = -0,0070 D(1,3) = 0,0292
 D(1,4) = 0,0464 D(1,5) = 0,0685 D(1,6) = 0,0618
 D(1,7) = 0,0264 D(2,1) = 0,00003 D(2,2) = 0,2108
 D(2,3) = 0,0277 D(2,4) = 0,0394 D(2,5) = 0,0414
 D(2,6) = 0,0505 D(2,7) = 0,0214 D(3,1) = 0,0000
 D(3,2) = -0,0002 D(3,3) = 0,1440 D(3,4) = 0,0023
 D(3,5) = 0,0025 D(3,6) = 0,0030 D(3,7) = 0,0014
 D(4,1) = 0,00001 D(4,2) = -0,0001 D(4,3) = 0,0002
 D(4,4) = 0,1118 D(4,5) = 0,0003 D(4,6) = 0,0004
 D(4,7) = 0,0002 D(5,1) = 0,00003 D(5,2) = -0,00001
 D(5,3) = 0,0001 D(5,4) = 0,0001 D(5,5) = 0,0871
 D(5,6) = 0,0001 D(5,7) = 0,0001 D(6,1) = 0,00002
 D(6,2) = -0,0001 D(6,3) = 0,0004 D(6,4) = 0,0007
 D(6,5) = 0,0007 D(6,6) = 0,0842 D(6,7) = 0,0004
 D(7,1) = 0,0000 D(7,2) = -0,0004 D(7,3) = 0,0013
 D(7,4) = 0,0020 D(7,5) = 0,0021 D(7,6) = 0,0026
 D(7,7) = 0,1530 sm²/s.

EDC of components for the **4th system**:

1 – 0,210; **2** – 0,215; **3** – 0,142; **4** – 0,107; **5** – 0,062; **6** – 0,076; **7** – 0,148; **8** – 0,201 sm²/s.

MCMD for the **4th system** for independent flows and gradients (first seven components):

D(1,1) = 0,2054 D(1,2) = -0,0071 D(1,3) = 0,0296
 D(1,4) = 0,0470 D(1,5) = 0,0697 D(1,6) = 0,0627
 D(1,7) = 0,0267 D(2,1) = 0,00003 D(2,2) = 0,2131
 D(2,3) = 0,0291 D(2,4) = 0,0415 D(2,5) = 0,0435
 D(2,6) = 0,0532 D(2,7) = 0,0225 D(3,1) = 0,0000
 D(3,2) = -0,0003 D(3,3) = 0,1460 D(3,4) = 0,0025
 D(3,5) = 0,0027 D(3,6) = 0,0033 D(3,7) = 0,0015
 D(4,1) = 0,00001 D(4,2) = -0,0001 D(4,3) = 0,0003
 D(4,4) = 0,1136 D(4,5) = 0,0005 D(4,6) = 0,0006
 D(4,7) = 0,0003 D(5,1) = 0,0001 D(5,2) = -0,00002
 D(5,3) = 0,0001 D(5,4) = 0,0001 D(5,5) = 0,0884
 D(5,6) = 0,0002 D(5,7) = 0,0001 D(6,1) = 0,00000
 D(6,2) = -0,00001 D(6,3) = 0,00003 D(6,4) = 0,0001
 D(6,5) = 0,0001 D(6,6) = 0,0847 D(6,7) = 0,00003
 D(7,1) = 0,0000 D(7,2) = -0,0001 D(7,3) = 0,0002
 D(7,4) = 0,0004 D(7,5) = 0,0004 D(7,6) = 0,0005
 D(7,7) = 0,1543 sm²/s.

EDC of components for the **5th system**:

1 – 0,212; **2** – 0,216; **3** – 0,142; **4** – 0,107; **5** – 0,061; **6** – 0,075; **7** – 0,148; **8** – 0,202 sm²/s.

MCMD for the **5th system** for independent flows and gradients (first seven components):

D(1,1) = 0,2071 D(1,2) = -0,0072 D(1,3) = 0,0298
 D(1,4) = 0,0474 D(1,5) = 0,0704 D(1,6) = 0,0632
 D(1,7) = 0,0269 D(2,1) = 0,00002 D(2,2) = 0,2146
 D(2,3) = 0,0301 D(2,4) = 0,0428 D(2,5) = 0,0449
 D(2,6) = 0,0549 D(2,7) = 0,0232 D(3,1) = 0,0000
 D(3,2) = -0,0001 D(3,3) = 0,1464 D(3,4) = 0,0013
 D(3,5) = 0,0014 D(3,6) = 0,0017 D(3,7) = 0,0008
 D(4,1) = 0,0000 D(4,2) = -0,00003 D(4,3) = 0,0001
 D(4,4) = 0,1143 D(4,5) = 0,0002 D(4,6) = 0,0002
 D(4,7) = 0,0001 D(5,1) = 0,00003 D(5,2) = -0,00001
 D(5,3) = 0,0001 D(5,4) = 0,0001 D(5,5) = 0,0891
 D(5,6) = 0,0001 D(5,7) = 0,0001 D(6,1) = 0,00001
 D(6,2) = -0,00004 D(6,3) = 0,0001 D(6,4) = 0,0002
 D(6,5) = 0,0002 D(6,6) = 0,0857 D(6,7) = 0,0001
 D(7,1) = 0,0000 D(7,2) = -0,00002 D(7,3) = 0,0001
 D(7,4) = 0,0001 D(7,5) = 0,0001 D(7,6) = 0,0001
 D(7,7) = 0,1555 sm²/s.

At the Figures 1-2 presented the appearance of the screen with input data and the text of the report file with the results of calculations for the **1st system** (Markovian plast): Air – 0, 7240CH₄ + 0, 0930C₂H₆ + 0, 0780C₃N₈ + 0.0490 n - C₄H₁₀ + 0.0305 n - C₅H₁₂ + 0, 0100CO₂ + 0, 0155N₂ (at T=773 K and P=30 MPa).

```

Расчет диффузии в двухколбовом аппарате
*****
Введите число компонентов - 8
Обозвите газы по номерам.:
1 - Air
2 - CH4
3 - C2H6
4 - C3H8
5 - C4H10
6 - C5H12
7 - CO2
8 - N2
Введите число газов в верхней колбе - 1
Введите число газов в нижней колбе - 7
Введите начальные распределения концентраций газов
(N газа.... концентрация в мольных долях <Enter>)
Концентрация компонентов в верхней колбе.:
-1.1.0
Концентрация компонентов в нижней колбе.:
-2.0.7240
-3.0.0930
-4.0.0780
-5.0.0490
-6.0.0305
-7.0.0100
-8.0.0155
Введите коэффициенты взаимной диффузии, см2/с (Р норм, атм.):
D(1,2) = 0.045
D(1,3) = 0.030
D(2,3) = 0.034
D(1,4) = 0.024
D(2,4) = 0.027
D(3,4) = 0.018
D(1,5) = 0.021
D(2,5) = 0.024
D(3,5) = 0.016
D(4,5) = 0.012
D(1,6) = 0.018
D(2,6) = 0.021
D(3,6) = 0.014
D(4,6) = 0.011
D(5,6) = 0.009
D(1,7) = 0.033
D(2,7) = 0.036
D(3,7) = 0.024
D(4,7) = 0.018
D(5,7) = 0.016
D(6,7) = 0.014
D(1,8) = 0.041
D(2,8) = 0.045
D(3,8) = 0.030
D(4,8) = 0.024
D(5,8) = 0.021
D(6,8) = 0.018
D(7,8) = 0.032
Введите постоянную прибора в см2 - 2500
Атмосферное давление в мм. рт. ст. - 760
Давление в кгс/см2.:
Р_нач - 0
Р_кон - 30
Шаг по давлению - 30
Введите начальное время для вывода в минутах - 0
Введите конечное время для вывода в минутах - 150
Интервал вывода в минутах - 15
< Ввод данных завершен. Для начала расчета используйте Ctrl+E >

```

Fig. 1. The input data for the Markovian plast system.

```

-----
Результаты расчета
-----
Избыточное давление по манометру Р = 0 кгс/см2 ( 0 МПа )
Кэффициент перевода давления Кр = 1
М к м д... см2/с (для условий опыта) :
D(1,1) = 0,03881.; D(1,2) = -0,00197 ; D(1,3) = 0,00525
D(1,4) = 0,00812.; D(1,5) = 0,00961 ; D(1,6) = 0,01115 ;
D(1,7) = 0,00382.; D(2,1) = 0,00000 ; D(2,2) = 0,04148 ;
D(2,3) = 0,00338.; D(2,4) = 0,00555 ; D(2,5) = 0,00642 ;
D(2,6) = 0,00726.; D(2,7) = 0,00284 ; D(3,1) = 0,00000 ;
D(3,2) = -0,00024.; D(3,3) = 0,02932 ; D(3,4) = 0,00071
D(3,5) = 0,00083.; D(3,6) = 0,00093 ; D(3,7) = 0,00036 ;
D(4,1) = 0,00000.; D(4,2) = -0,00019 ; D(4,3) = 0,00037
D(4,4) = 0,02355.; D(4,5) = 0,00079 ; D(4,6) = 0,00081 ;
D(4,7) = 0,00040.; D(5,1) = 0,00000 ; D(5,2) = -0,00013
D(5,3) = 0,00022.; D(5,4) = 0,00042 ; D(5,5) = 0,02059 ;
D(5,6) = 0,00057.; D(5,7) = 0,00024 ; D(6,1) = 0,00000 ;
D(6,2) = -0,00009.; D(6,3) = 0,00013 ; D(6,4) = 0,00022
D(6,5) = 0,00031.; D(6,6) = 0,01779 ; D(6,7) = 0,00014 ;
D(7,1) = -0,00001.; D(7,2) = -0,00002 ; D(7,3) = 0,00005
D(7,4) = 0,00009.; D(7,5) = 0,00010 ; D(7,6) = 0,00011 ;
D(7,7) = 0,03093.;
-----

```

Имя газа	концентрации компонентов, мольные доли	разность концен., мольные доли	мгновен. значения	интегр. значения
	верхняя колба	нижняя колба	коэфф. диффузии, см2/с	коэфф. диффузии, см2/с
t = 0 мин				
Air	1,00000	0,00000	1,00000	0,03826
CH4	0,00000	0,72400	-0,72400	0,04329
C2H6	0,00000	0,09300	-0,09300	0,02885
C3H8	0,00000	0,07800	-0,07800	0,02311
C4H10	0,00000	0,04900	-0,04900	0,02012
C5H12	0,00000	0,03050	-0,03050	0,01705
CO2	0,00000	0,01000	-0,01000	0,03172
N2	0,00000	0,01550	-0,01550	0,03935
t = 15 мин				
Air	0,99316	0,00684	0,98632	0,03825
CH4	0,00560	0,71840	-0,71280	0,04330
C2H6	0,00048	0,09252	-0,09204	0,02886
C3H8	0,00032	0,07768	-0,07735	0,02312
C4H10	0,00018	0,04882	-0,04865	0,02014
C5H12	0,00009	0,03041	-0,03031	0,01706
CO2	0,00006	0,00994	-0,00989	0,03173
N2	0,00011	0,01539	-0,01528	0,03937
t = 30 мин				
Air	0,98642	0,01358	0,97284	0,03823
CH4	0,01111	0,71289	-0,70178	0,04332
C2H6	0,00096	0,09204	-0,09109	0,02887
C3H8	0,00064	0,07736	-0,07671	0,02313
C4H10	0,00035	0,04865	-0,04829	0,02015
C5H12	0,00019	0,03031	-0,03013	0,01708
CO2	0,00011	0,00989	-0,00977	0,03174
N2	0,00022	0,01528	-0,01507	0,03938
t = 45 мин				
Air	0,97977	0,02023	0,95954	0,03822
CH4	0,01654	0,70746	-0,69092	0,04333
C2H6	0,00143	0,09157	-0,09015	0,02889
C3H8	0,00096	0,07704	-0,07608	0,02315
C4H10	0,00053	0,04847	-0,04795	0,02016
C5H12	0,00028	0,03022	-0,02994	0,01710
CO2	0,00017	0,00993	-0,00986	0,03175
N2	0,00033	0,01528	-0,01507	0,03938

```

-----
Конеч расчета
-----

```

Fig. 2. The results of calculations for the Markovian plast system.

Since the diffusion process in a two-column apparatus is non-stationary, the behavior of the diffusion coefficients of the components in the systems over time is naturally of interest. As an example, this relationship is presented in Figure 3 below for the Urengoy field (2nd system).

имя	концентрации		разность	мгновен.	интегр.
газа	компонентов,		концен.,	значения	значения
	мольные доли		мольные	эффект.	эффект.
			доли	коэфф.	коэфф.
	верхняя	нижняя		диффузии	диффузии
	колба	колба		см2/с	см2/с
t = 0 мин					
Air	1,00000	0,00000	1,00000	0,19871	0,19871
CH4	0,00000	0,85310	-0,85310	0,21208	0,21208
C2H6	0,00000	0,05810	-0,05810	0,14082	0,14082
C3H8	0,00000	0,05360	-0,05360	0,10720	0,10720
C4H10	0,00000	0,02000	-0,02000	0,06445	0,06445
C5H12	0,00000	0,00180	-0,00180	0,07718	0,07718
CO2	0,00000	0,00440	-0,00440	0,14646	0,14646
N2	0,00000	0,00900	-0,00900	0,19826	0,19826
t = 300 мин					
Air	0,62184	0,37816	0,24367	0,19298	0,19610
CH4	0,33554	0,51756	-0,18202	0,21775	0,21455
C2H6	0,01864	0,03946	-0,02083	0,14404	0,14247
C3H8	0,01461	0,03899	-0,02437	0,11124	0,10946
C4H10	0,00409	0,01591	-0,01181	0,07920	0,07316
C5H12	0,00039	0,00141	-0,00101	0,08231	0,08021
CO2	0,00145	0,00295	-0,00151	0,15126	0,14892
N2	0,00344	0,00556	-0,00212	0,20355	0,20064
t = 600 мин					
Air	0,53133	0,46867	0,06266	0,18355	0,19236
CH4	0,40833	0,44477	-0,03644	0,23107	0,21897
C2H6	0,02540	0,03270	-0,00730	0,14711	0,14401
C3H8	0,02137	0,03223	-0,01085	0,11327	0,11091
C4H10	0,00673	0,01327	-0,00653	0,08436	0,07768
C5H12	0,00062	0,00118	-0,00055	0,08392	0,08175
CO2	0,00195	0,00245	-0,00050	0,15592	0,15124
N2	0,00426	0,00474	-0,00047	0,21408	0,20439

Fig. 3. The text of the report file with the results of calculations for the Air system (1) – 0.8531 CH4 (2) + 0.0581 C2H6(3) + 0.0536 C3H8(4) + 0.0200 n-C4H10(5) + 0.0018 n-C5H12(6) + 0.0044 CO2(7) + 0.0090 N2(8) at $T = 298$ K and $P = 0.101$ MPa.

The results showed a change in the EDC of the system components not exceeding 5% over a time interval of 600 minutes. This behavior of gases is explained by the fact that their MDC in the air differ slightly. Similar results were obtained for the other systems studied.

Note a very important point in the use of EDC and MCMD in the calculation of the diffusion fluxes of components in systems. In this case, when using the EDC, only 8 of them are needed, and the MCMD for independent streams - 48. It follows that the number of necessary coefficients to describe multicomponent diffusion is easier and simpler using EDC than MCMD.

Using these methods it is planning to hold experimental studies that aimed at establishing the boundaries of the transition from diffusion to convection, which also involves the study of developed convective mixing and the determination of the boundaries of stability of various modes of motion [24].

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, it can be said that: 1) to describe multicomponent diffusion is easier and simpler using EDC; 2) the calculated diffusion coefficients (EDC and MCMD) for the diffusion processes of natural hydrocarbon gas systems into

the air can serve as reference information in practical applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

M.T. Beketayeva expresses sincere gratitude and respect to the memory of the supervisor of the Doctor of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Professor Yu. I. Zhavrin for setting the problem, guidance and comprehensive support during the implementation of this work.

References

- [1] D. U. Kulzhanov, T. P. Serikov, Yu. I. Zhavrin, V. N. Kosov, "Issledovaniye diffuzii binarnoy smesi vodoroda s metanom v vozdukh," Oil and gaz, vol. 2, pp. 66-72, 2001.
- [2] F. Eshghabadi, F. Banitorfian, M. Dousti, N. Mohd Noh, "A Useful Application of a Simulator for Leak Events in Pipelines," Int. J. of Applied Mathematics, Computational Science and Systems Engineering, Vol. 3, pp. 85-88, 2021.
- [3] M. K. Asembaeva, V.N. Kosov, S.A. Krasikov, O.V. Fedorenko, "Effect of the Channel Inclination Angle on Convective Mixing Caused by Instability of Mechanical Equilibrium of Ternary Gas Mixture at Isothermal Diffusion," Tech. Phys. Lett., Vol. 45, pp. 1071–1074, 2019.
- [4] V.N. Kosov, O.V. Fedorenko, M.K. Asembaeva, V. Mukamedenkyzy, "Changing Diffusion–Convection Modes in Ternary Mixtures with a Diluent Gas," Theoretical Foundations of Chemical Engineering, Vol. 54, pp. 289-296, 2020.
- [5] V.A. Zagoruchenko, R.N.Bikchentai, A.A. Wasserman and etc, Teplotekhnicheskiye raschety protsessov transporta i regazifikatsii prirodnykh gazov. Reference manual. M.: Nedra, 1980.
- [6] J. Keizer, Statistical Thermodynamics of Nonequilibrium Processes. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [7] Ern and V. Giovangigli, "Thermal diffusion effects in hydrogen-air and methane-air flames," Combust. Theory Modell, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 349-372, 1998.
- [8] M.N. Mukhtarova, A.K.Nurseytova, S.D. Ermaganbetova, "Sedimentological method for nano-polycomposite coating," Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Vol. 14, pp. 8-12, 2019.
- [9] A.S. Askarova, M.T. Beketayeva, Z.K. Shortanbayeva, "On the effect of the temperature boundary conditions on the walls for the processes of heat and mass transfer," International Journal of Mechanics, Vol. 10, pp. 349–355, 2016.
- [10] S. Zheng, D. Yang, "Determination of Individual Diffusion Coefficients of C3H8/n-C4H10/CO2/Heavy-Oil Systems at High Pressures and Elevated Temperatures by Dynamic Volume Analysis," SPE J., vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 799-816, Oct. 2016.
- [11] S. Palle, Ch. Nolan, and R. S. Miller, "On molecular transport effects in real gas laminar diffusion flames at

- large pressure,” *Physics of Fluids*, vol. 17, pp. 103601.1-103601.19, 2005.
- [12] J. O. Hirschfelder, C. F. Curtiss, and R. B. Bird, *Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids*. New York: Wiley, 1954.
- [13] K. G. Harstad, and J. Bellan, “Mixing rules for multicomponent mixture mass diffusion coefficients and thermal diffusion factors,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, vol. 120, pp. 56-64, 2004.
- [14] T. R. Marrero, and E. A. Mason, “Gaseous Diffusion Coefficients,” *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 1-118, 1972.
- [15] Yu. I. Zhavrin, M. T. Beketaeva, and O. V. Fedorenko, “Raschet diffuzionnykh protsessov dlya nekotorykh prirodnykh uglevodorodnykh gazovykh smesey v vozdukh,” *KazNU Bulletin, Physical ser.*, vol. 3, no. 34, pp. 15-21, 2010.
- [16] E. Lightfoot, *Yavleniya perenosa v zhivykh sistemakh. Biomeditsinskiye aspekty perenosa kolichestva dvizheniya i massy: Trans. from English*. M.: Mir, 1977.
- [17] Yu.I. Zhavrin, N.D. Kosov, and Z.I. Novosad, *Raschet ekvimolyarnoy diffuzii v mnogokomponentnykh gazovykh smesyakh metodom effektivnykh koeffitsiyentov. Diffuziya v gazakh i zhidkostyakh*. Alma-Ata: MV and SSO KazSSR, 1974.
- [18] Yu.I. Zhavrin, N.D. Kosov, and etc., *Raschet diffuzionnogo protsessa v dvukhkolbovom apparate dlya sluchaya mnogokomponentnoy gazovoy smesi*. Almaty: Kaz. un-t, Sci.-Research Institute of ETPh, 1995.
- [19] Yu.I. Zhavrin, V.Yu. Zhavrin, V.N. Kosov, I.V. Poyarkov, *Raschet mnogokomponentnogo massoperenosa v dvukhkolbovom apparate s primeneniym yazyka programmirovaniya DELPHI*,” *KazNU Bulletin, Physical ser.*, vol. 2, no. 22, pp. 73-79, 2006.
- [20] S.P.S. Andrew, “A simple Method of Measuring Gaseous Diffusion Coefficient,” *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 4, pp. 269-272, 1955.
- [21] V.D. Seleznev, V.N. Kosov, I.V. Poyarkov, O.V. Fedorenko, and M.T. Beketaeva, “Double Diffusion in Ar-N₂ binary gas system at the constant value of temperature gradient,” *Acta Physica Polonica A.*, vol. 123, no. 1, pp. 62-66, 2013.
- [22] R. Berd, W. Stewart, E. Lightfoot, *Yavleniya perenosa: Trans. from English*. M.: Chemistry, 1974.
- [23] S.A. Bolegenova, V.Y. Maximov, M.T. Beketayeva, “Influence of boundary conditions to heat and mass transfer processes,” *International Journal of Mechanics*, Vol. 10, pp. 320-325, 2016.
- [24] V. Kossov, O. Fedorenko, A. Kalimov, “Diffusion Mechanisms for the Occurrence of the Instability of Mechanical Equilibrium of a Ternary Gas Mixture Containing Carbon Dioxide,” *Fluids*, Vol. 6, pp. 177-186, 2021.

Meruyert T. Beketayeva was born in Kyzylorda, one of the historical city of Kazakhstan in 1986 year. She studied Physics at bachelor since 2004 and earned PhD degree in Technical Physics in 2015 at al-Farabi Kazakh national University, which is the top educational organization of Kazakhstan Republic. She is a successor of the well-known scientific school of thermal physics and problems of heat and mass transfer in Kazakhstan. She is a participant of the national and international research programs on modeling of heat and mass transfer problems in energy sector.

Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (Attribution 4.0 International , CC BY 4.0)

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en_US