Logic Functions of Complementary Arrays

Shinya MATSUFUJI and Takahiro MATSUMOTO

Abstract—Complementary arrays mean a pair of multidimensional sequences, that at the same phase-shift the sum of these aperiodic auto-correlation functions takes zero except zero-shift. This paper clarifies logic functions of complementary arrays with dimension n, whose length is a power of two. The complementary arrays include binary complementary sequences with n = 1 and polyphase complementary arrays consisting of complex elements with unit magnitude. Complete complementary arrays, that the sum of these aperiodic cross-correlation functions for a pair of complementary arrays takes zero at any shift, are also investigated. These logic functions can easily give a lot of complementary arrays, and show that the number of complementary arrays is irrelevant to dimension.

Keywords— sequence design, complementary sequences, correlation function, logic function.

I. INTRODUCTION

C OMPLEMENTARY sequences [1]-[4] generally mean a pair of binary sequences with the same length characterized by a good correlation property such that at the same phase-shift the sum of these aperiodic auto-correlation functions takes zero except zero-shift, that is, the impulse characteristic. Some kinds of complementary sequences were proposed and applied in communications [4]-[8]. Families called complementary set were proposed and discussed for applications to CDMA communication [10]-[15]. The above families were extended to two dimension and three dimension, which can be applied to CDMA communication and digital water marking[16]-[19].

Recently complementary sequences generalized to multidimension, called complementary arrays, were discussed [20]-[22]. However the first author already reported complementary arrays, and considered these logic functions, which had been not considered yet [23]. The logic functions include ones of complementary sequences [3],[8]. Even-shift orthogonal arrays, which are closely related to complementary arrays, also discussed [24]-[26]

This paper concentrates on logical functions of complementary arrays including polyphase arrays consisting of complex elements with unit magnitude and complete complementary arrays that at the same phase-shifts the sum of the aperiodic cross-correlation functions for two complementary arrays takes zero for any shift.

In section 2, complementary arrays are defined. In section 3, a method that binary complementary arrays of long length can be systematically derived from complementary sequences of the shortest length called kernels, are given. It seems that

Manuscript received September 11, 2010.

- S. Matsufuji is associate professor with Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Yamaguchi University, Ube, Yamaguchi, Japan e-mail: smatsu@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp.
- T. Matsumoto is assistant professor with Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Yamaguchi University, Ube, Yamaguchi, Japan e-mail: matugen@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp.

the method can generate all complementary arrays. In section 4, logic functions of binary complementary arrays of length 2^{l} are formulated successfully. The logic functions can give not only a sequence generator consisting of binary counters and a feed forward logic, but also the number of the complementary arrays. In section 5, binary complementary arrays are extended to polyphase ones with 2^{m} phases, and give the logic functions of polyphase complementary arrays of length 2^{l} Quadriphase complementary arrays included in them may be practicable as well as binary complementary arrays. In section 6, the results derived in this paper are summarized and further studies are mentioned.

II. COMPLEMENTARY ARRAYS

Let a be a complex array of length $L = L_1 L_2 \cdots L_n$ with dimension n, defined by

$$a = \{a_{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_j, \cdots, i_n} \in C \mid 0 \le i_j < L_j\},\$$

where if $i_j < 0$ or $i_j \ge L_j$, any element is regarded as 0, i.e., $a_{i_1,\dots,i_n} = 0$. Concentrate on a polyphase array with $|a_{i_1,\dots,i_n}| = 1$, which includes a binary array consisting of elements 1 and -1.

Let $C_{ab}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)$ be the aperiodic correlation function between polyphase arrays a and b of length L with dimension n at shifts $\tau_i(|\tau_i| < L_i)$ defined by

$$C_{ab}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_n) = \sum_{\substack{L_1 - 1 \ L_2 - 1 \ i_1 = 0}} \sum_{i_2 = 0}^{L_1 - 1} \cdots \sum_{i_n = 0}^{L_n - 1} a_{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_n} b^*_{i_1 + \tau_1, i_2 + \tau_2, \cdots, i_n + \tau_n},$$

where x^* denotes the complex conjugate of x.

Definition 1 Let a and b be polyphase arrays. If the sum of these auto-correlation functions takes zero at the same shift except zero-shift, that is,

$$C_{aa}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \cdots, \tau_{n}) + C_{bb}(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \cdots, \tau_{n}) \\ = \begin{cases} 2L & \text{for } \tau_{1} = \tau_{2} = \cdots = \tau_{n} = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(1)

the arrays expressed by [a, b] are called complementary arrays.

Let [a, b] and [c, d] be complementary arrays. If the sum of these cross-correlation functions is zero for any shift, that is,

$$C_{ac}(\tau_1,\tau_2,\cdots,\tau_n)+C_{bd}(\tau_1,\tau_2,\cdots,\tau_n)=0,$$

these are called complete complementary arrays.

Note that complementary sequences are discussed as the special case of n = 1.

III. CONSTRUCTION OF BINARY COMPLEMENTARY ARRAYS

This section concentrates on binary complementary arrays of length 2^n .

Construction methods of complementary arrays are given as the following theorems.

Theorem 1 Let [a, b] be complementary arrays.

- Interchanging a and b gives complementary arrays. i.e., [b, a].
- 2) Inversion of a gives complementary arrays, i.e., [-a, b].
- Interchanging some directions gives complementary arrays expressed as

$$[\{a_{i_{k_1},i_{k_2}},\ldots, _{i_{k_n}}\},\{b_{i_{k_1},i_{k_2}},\ldots, _{i_{k_n}}\}]$$

with $1 \leq k_m (\neq k_j) \leq n$.

4) Reversing of a, \tilde{a} is a mate of b, i.e.,

$$[\tilde{a} = \{a_{L_1-i_1-1,L_2-i_2-1,\cdots,L_n-i_n-1,}\}, b].$$

5) Reversing for a direction gives complementary arrays, i.e.,

$$[\{a_{i_1,\cdots,L_j-i_j-1,\cdots,i_n}\},\{b_{i_1,\cdots,L_j-i_j-1,\cdots,i_n}\}].$$

Proof: The proofs of the items 1, 2, and 3 are trivial. The item 4 is proven by

where $j_k = N_k - i_k - 1$. The item 5 is also proven by a method similar to the proof of the item 4.

Note that Theorem 1 can produce a lot of complementary arrays. For example, use of 2, 1, 2, and 1 in Theorem 1, in order, gives complementary arrays, [-a, -b].

Theorem 2 Let [a, b] be complementary arrays of length L and dimension n. Arrays $[\hat{a}, \hat{b}]$ expressed by

$$\hat{a}_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n,i_{n+1}} = \begin{cases} a_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n} & \text{for } i_{n+1} = 0, \\ b_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n} & \text{for } i_{n+1} = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{b}_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n,i_{n+1}} = \begin{cases} a_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n} & \text{for } i_{n+1} = 0, \\ -b_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n} & \text{for } i_{n+1} = 1. \end{cases}$$

are complementary arrays of length 2L and dimension n + 1.

Proof: It is enough to show that the correlation function for $[\hat{a}, \hat{b}]$ satisfies (1) at shifts $-1 \le \tau_{n+1} \le 1$. For $\tau_{n+1} = -1$

$$C_{\hat{a}\hat{a}}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_n, -1) + C_{\hat{b}\hat{b}}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_n, -1) = C_{ba}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_n) - C_{ba}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_n) = 0.$$

For $\tau_{n+1} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\hat{a}\hat{a}}(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{n},0)+C_{\hat{b}\hat{b}}(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{n},0) \\ &= C_{aa}(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{n})+C_{bb}(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{n}) \\ &+C_{aa}(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{n})+C_{bb}(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{n}) \\ &= 2(C_{aa}(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{n})+C_{bb}(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{n})). \end{aligned}$$

For $\tau_{n+1}=1$

 $\begin{aligned} &C_{\hat{a}\hat{a}}\left(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{n},1\right)+C_{\hat{b}\hat{b}}(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{n},1) \\ &= C_{ba}\left(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{n}\right)-C_{ba}\left(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{n}\right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$

Theorem 3 Let [a,b] be complementary arrays of length L and dimension n. Arrays $[\hat{a}, \hat{b}]$ expressed by

$$\hat{a}_{i_{1},\dots,i'_{j},\dots,i_{n}} = \begin{cases} a_{i_{1},\dots,i_{j},\dots,i_{n}} & \text{for } i'_{j} = 2i_{j}, \\ b_{i_{1},\dots,i_{j},\dots,i_{n}} & \text{for } i'_{j} = 2i_{j} + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{b}_{i_{1},\dots,i'_{j},\dots,i_{n}} \quad \text{for } i'_{j} = 2i_{j}, \\ -b_{i_{1},\dots,i_{j},\dots,i_{n}} & \text{for } i'_{j} = 2i_{j} + 1, \end{cases}$$

or

$$\hat{a}_{i_{1},\dots,i'_{j},\dots,i_{n}} = \begin{cases} a_{i_{1},\dots,i_{j}=i'_{j},\dots,i_{n}} \\ for \ 0 \leq i'_{j} < L_{j}, \\ b_{i_{1},\dots,i_{j}=i'_{j}-L_{j},\dots,i_{n}} \\ for \ L_{j} \leq i'_{j} < 2L_{j}, \end{cases}$$
$$\hat{b}_{i_{1},\dots,i_{j}=i'_{j},\dots,i_{n}} = \begin{cases} a_{i_{1},\dots,i_{j}=i'_{j},\dots,i_{n}} \\ for \ 0 \leq i'_{j} < L_{j}, \\ -b_{i_{1},\dots,i_{j}=i'_{j}-L_{j},\dots,i_{n}} \\ for \ L_{j} \leq i'_{j} < 2L_{j}. \end{cases}$$

are complementary arrays of length 2L with dimension n.

Proof: The sum of the correlation functions of the arrays \hat{a} and \hat{b} produced by the former method, which are extension of length $L = L_1 L_2 \cdots L_j \cdots L_n$ to $2L = L_1 \cdots L_{j-1} 2L_j L_{j+1} \cdots L_n$ can be written as follows. For $\tau_j = 2k + 1$ with $|k| < L_j$,

$$\begin{array}{l} C_{\hat{a}\hat{a}}\left(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{j},\cdots,\tau_{n}\right)+C_{\hat{b}\hat{b}}(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{j},\cdots,\tau_{n})\\ = & C_{ab}\left(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{j}=k,\cdots,\tau_{n}\right)\\ & +C_{ba}\left(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{j}=k+1,\cdots,\tau_{n}\right)\\ & -C_{ab}\left(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{j}=k,\cdots,\tau_{n}\right)\\ & -C_{ba}\left(\tau_{1},\cdots,\tau_{j}=k+1,\cdots,\tau_{n}\right)\\ = & 0. \end{array}$$

For $\tau_i = 2k$ with $|k| < L_i$,

$$C_{\hat{a}\hat{a}}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j, \cdots, \tau_n) + C_{\hat{b}\hat{b}}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$= C_{aa}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j = k, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$+ C_{bb}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j = k, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$+ C_{aa}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j = k, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$+ C_{ba}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j = k, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$= 2(C_{aa}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j = k, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$+ C_{bb}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j = k, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

Therefore the arrays are complementary arrays.

The arrays \hat{a} and \hat{b} produced by the latter method, which are extension of length L to 2L, can be written as the following correlation functions.

For
$$0 < \tau_j \leq L_j$$

$$C_{\hat{a}\hat{a}}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_n) + C_{\hat{b}\hat{b}}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$= C_{aa}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$+ C_{ab}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j - L_j, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$+ C_{bb}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j - \tau_j, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$- C_{ab}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j - L_j, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$+ C_{bb}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$= 2(C_{aa}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j, \cdots, \tau_n)).$$
For $L_j < \tau_j < 2L_j$

$$C_{\hat{a}\hat{a}}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j - L_j, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$= C_{ab}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j - L_j, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$- C_{ab}(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_j - L_j, \cdots, \tau_n)$$

$$= 0$$

Similarly it is shown that the correlation function at $-2L_j < \tau_j \le 0$ is almost the same as the above equations. Therefore this proof is complete.

The formar and latter constructions are called as the interleaving method and concatenation method, respectively.

A special method of complementary arrays of length 2^{l} is given as the following conjecture, newly.

Conjecture 1 Let [a, b] be complementary arrays of length $L = 2^l$ with dimension n. Let $K = 2^k \leq L$. Complementary arrays $[\hat{a}, \hat{b}]$ of length 2L with dimension n is expressed by

$$\hat{a}_{i_{1},\cdots,i'_{j},\cdots,i_{n}} = \begin{cases} a_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{j}=m_{2}K+m_{1},\cdots,i_{n}} \\ \text{for } i'_{j} = 2m_{2}K+m_{1}, \\ b_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{j}=m_{2}K+m_{1},\cdots,i_{n}} \\ \text{for } i'_{j} = (2m_{2}+1)K+m_{1}, \\ a_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{j}=m_{2}K+m_{1},\cdots,i_{n}} \\ \text{for } i'_{j} = 2m_{2}K+m_{1}, \\ -b_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{j}=m_{2}K+m_{1},\cdots,i_{n}} \\ \text{for } i'_{j} = (2m_{2}+1)K+m_{1}, \end{cases}$$

with $0 \le m_1 < K$, $0 \le m_2 < L/K$, $0 \le i'_j < 2L_j$, and $0 \le l_k < L_k$.

The cases of K = 1 and K = L are true, since these are corresponding to Theorem 3. The other case can be certainly confirmed by a computer. Therefore it seems that Conjecture 5 is true. Note that a lot of complementary arrays of length $L = S2^{l}$ can be derived from complementary sequences of length S = 1,10 or 26 called kernels[1][4].

Theorem 4 Let [a, b] be complementary arrays. The mate of [a, b] for complete complementary arrays can be given as [c, d] or [-c, -d], expressed by

$$c_{i_1,\dots,i_n} = b_{L_1-i_1-1,\dots,L_n-i_i-1},$$

$$d_{i_1,\dots,i_n} = -a_{L_1-i_1-1,\dots,L_n-i_i-1}.$$

Proof: From Theorem 1, [c, d] are complementary arrays. The sum of aperiodic cross-correlation functions is written as

$$C_{ac}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_n) + C_{bd}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_n) = C_{ab}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_n) - C_{ab}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_n) = 0.$$

Example 1 Let [(+, +), (+, -)] be complementary sequences of length 2, where + and - denote 1 and -1, respectively. Theorem 2 gives complementary arrays of length 2×2 with dimension 2, written as

$$\left[a = \left(\begin{array}{c} + & + \\ + & - \end{array}\right), b = \left(\begin{array}{c} + & + \\ - & + \end{array}\right)\right].$$

These aperiodic auto-correlation functions $C_{aa}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ and $C_{bb}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ for $-1 \leq \tau_1, \tau_2 \leq 1$ are respectively expressed by

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

Since the sum of $C_{aa}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ and $C_{bb}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ is written as

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

it is confirmed that [a, b] are complementary arrays.

The concatenation method in Theorem 3 also gives complementary arrays of length 4×2 written as

$$\left[\left(\begin{array}{ccc} + & + & + & + \\ + & - & - & + \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{ccc} + & + & - & - \\ + & - & + & - \end{array} \right) \right].$$

Since these aperiodic auto-correlation functions $C_{aa}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ and $C_{bb}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ for $-3 \leq \tau_1 \leq 3, -1 \leq \tau_2 \leq 1$ are respectively expressed by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 8 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & -2 & 8 & -2 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

the sum of them is written as

Theorem 4 gives the mates for complete complementary arrays

$$\left[\pm \left(\begin{array}{rrrr}-+&-&+\\-&-&+&+\end{array}\right),\mp \left(\begin{array}{rrrr}+&-&-&+\\+&+&+&+\end{array}\right)\right].$$

Since one on the aperiodic cross correlations is written as

and the other is done as

$$\left(egin{array}{ccccccccc} -1 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \ -2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 2 \ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{array}
ight),$$

the sum of them takes zero at any shift.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS

The interleaving method in Theorem 3 also gives complementary arrays of length 4×4 written as

$$\left[\left(\begin{array}{cccc} + & + & + & + \\ + & + & - & - \\ + & - & - & + \\ + & - & + & - \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cccc} + & + & + & + \\ - & - & + & + \\ + & - & - & + \\ - & + & - & + \end{array} \right) \right]$$

Theorem 4 give the mate of complete complementary arrays as

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} + & - & + & - \\ + & - & - & + \\ + & + & - & - \\ + & + & + & + \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} + & - & + & - \\ - & + & + & - \\ + & + & - & - \\ - & - & - & - \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

And also Theorem 3 gives complementary arrays of length $4\times 4\times 2$ with dimension 3

These mates for complete complementary arrays are given as

Note that theorems can produce a lot of complementary arrays of length $S2^{l_1} \times 2^{l_2} \times \cdots \times 2^{l_n}$ with S = 1, 10 or 26, in order.

IV. LOGIC FUNCTIONS OF BINARY COMPLEMENTARY ARRAYS

Assume that Conjecture 1 is true and consider binary complementary arrays of length $L = 2^{l}$ given by Theorems 1-3 and Conjecture 1.

Let $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m)$ be a binary vector of order m, whose elements are coefficients expressed by binary expansion of an integer $x(0 \le x \le N - 1)$ expressed by

$$x = x_1 2^0 + x_2 2^1 + \dots + x_m 2^{m-1}$$

Theorem 5 Complementary arrays [a, b] of length $L = 2^{l}$ with dimension n are produced by logic functions expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n} &= (-1)^{f_a(\vec{i_1},\vec{i_2},\cdots,\vec{i_n})}, \\ b_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_n} &= (-1)^{f_b(\vec{i_1},\vec{i_2},\cdots,\vec{i_n})}, \end{aligned}$$

$$f_{a}(\vec{i_{1}}, \cdots, \vec{i_{n}}) = \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} \oplus \lambda_{2}\lambda_{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_{l-1}\lambda_{l} \\ \oplus a_{1,1}i_{1,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{1,l_{1}}i_{1,l_{1}} \\ \oplus a_{2,1}i_{2,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{2,l_{2}}i_{2,l_{2}}$$

$$\vdots \\ \oplus a_{n,1}i_{n,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{n,l_{n}}i_{n,l_{n}} \\ \oplus e_{a},$$

$$(2)$$

$$f_b(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) = f_a(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) \oplus \lambda_l \oplus e_b, \tag{3}$$

where \oplus denotes addition over GF(2) (modulo 2), $L_k = 2^{l_k}$, $l = l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_n$, $\vec{i_j} = (i_{j,1}, i_{j,2}, \cdots, i_{j,l_j})$, $\lambda_k (1 \le k \le l)$ is one of elements $\{i_{j,1}, \cdots, i_{j,l_j}\}$ of $\vec{i_j} (1 \le j \le n)$ with $\lambda_k \ne \lambda_m$ for $k \ne m$, and $a_{1,1}, \cdots, a_{n,l_n}, e_a$ and e_b are parameters to give different complementary arrays.

Proof: Induction method is adapted to this proof. For n = 1 and l = 1, the logic functions

$$egin{array}{rll} f_a(i_1) &=& a_{1,1}i_{1,1}\oplus e_a, \ f_b(\vec{i_1}) &=& f_a(\vec{i_1})\oplus\lambda_1\oplus e_b, \end{array}$$

with $\vec{i_1} = i_{1,1} = \lambda_1$ are true, because these can produce all the complementary sequences for n = 1. And also, it can be confirmed that

$$egin{array}{rcl} f_a(ec{i_1}) &=& \lambda_1\lambda_2\oplus a_{1,1}i_{1,1}\oplus\cdots\oplus a_{1,l_1}i_{1,l_1}\oplus e_a,\ f_b(ec{i_1}) &=& f_a(ec{i_1})\oplus\lambda_2\oplus e_b, \end{array}$$

can be produced all the complementary sequences for l = 2.

Assume that Eqs (2) and (3) for $L = L_1 L_2 \cdots L_n$ are true. Theorem 3 gives the logic functions of complementary arrays $[\hat{a}, \hat{b}]$ of length $2L = L_1 L_2 \cdots L_n L_{n+1}$ with dimension n+1 as

$$\begin{split} f_{\hat{a}}(\vec{i}_{1},\cdots,\vec{i}_{n},i_{n+1,1}) &= (i_{n+1,1}\oplus 1)f_{a}(\vec{i}_{1},\cdots,\vec{i}_{n}) \\ \oplus i_{n+1,1}f_{b}(\vec{i}_{1},\cdots,\vec{i}_{n})\oplus e_{f} \\ &= i_{n+1,1}f_{a}(\vec{i}_{1},\cdots,\vec{i}_{n})\oplus f_{a}(\vec{i}_{1},\cdots,\vec{i}_{n}) \\ \oplus i_{n+1,1}f_{a}(\vec{i}_{1},\cdots,\vec{i}_{n})\oplus i_{n+1,1}\lambda_{l}\oplus i_{n+1,1}e_{b}\oplus e_{f} \\ &= f_{a}(\vec{i}_{1},\cdots,\vec{i}_{n})\oplus i_{n+1,1}\lambda_{l}\oplus i_{n+1,1}e_{b}\oplus e_{f} \\ &= \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}\oplus\cdots\oplus\lambda_{l-1}\lambda_{l}\oplus\lambda_{l}i_{n+1,1} \\ \oplus a_{1,1}i_{1,1}\oplus\cdots\oplus a_{1,l_{1}}i_{1,l_{1}} \\ &\vdots \\ &\oplus a_{n,1}i_{n,1}\oplus\cdots\oplus a_{n,l_{n}}i_{n,l_{n}} \\ &\oplus e_{b}i_{n+1,1}\oplus e_{f}, \\ f_{b}(\vec{i}_{1},\cdots,\vec{i}_{n},i_{n+1,1}) \\ &= (i_{n+1,1}\oplus 1)f_{a}(\vec{i}_{1},\cdots,\vec{i}_{n}) \\ &\oplus i_{n+1,1}(f_{b}(\vec{i}_{1},\cdots,\vec{i}_{n})\oplus 1)\oplus e_{g} \\ &= f_{a}(\vec{i}_{1},\cdots,\vec{i}_{n})\oplus i_{n+1,1}\lambda_{l}\oplus i_{n+1,1}e_{b} \\ &\oplus i_{n+1,1}\oplus e_{g} \end{split}$$

Setting $i_{n+1,1} = i_{n+1} = \lambda_{l+1}$, $e_b = a_{n+1,1}$, and $e_g = e_b$, and rewriting $e_a \oplus e_f$ as e_a , the above equations are corresponding to Eqs (2) and (3).

Consider the logic functions of complementary arrays $[\hat{a}, \hat{b}]$ of length $2L = L_1 L_2 \cdots 2L_k \cdots L_n$ with dimension *n* derived from Conjecture 1.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS

Let $\vec{i_k}$ be a vector of order $l_k + 1$. Let $\vec{i_k}$ be a vector of order l_k , which removes an element i_{k,m_k} from $\vec{i_k}$, written as $\vec{i_k} = (i_{k,1}, \cdots, i_{k,m_k-1}, i_{k,m_k+1}, \cdots, i_{k,l_k})$ with $1 \le m_k \le l_k + 1$. These logic functions are written as

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\hat{a}}\left(\vec{i_{1}},\cdots,\vec{i_{k}},\cdots,\vec{i_{n}}\right) \\ &= (i_{k,m_{k}}\oplus 1)f_{a}\left(\vec{i_{1}},\cdots,\vec{i_{k}},\cdots,\vec{i_{n}}\right) \\ &\oplus i_{k,m_{k}}f_{b}\left(\vec{i_{1}},\cdots,\vec{i_{k}},\cdots,\vec{i_{n}}\right) \oplus e_{f} \\ &= i_{k,m_{k}}f_{a}\left(\vec{i_{1}},\cdots,\vec{i_{k}},\cdots,\vec{i_{n}}\right) \\ &\oplus f_{a}\left(\vec{i_{k}},\cdots,\vec{i_{k}},\cdots,\vec{i_{n}}\right) \\ &\oplus i_{k,m_{k}}f_{a}\left(\vec{i_{1}},\cdots,\vec{i_{k}},\cdots,\vec{i_{n}}\right) \oplus i_{k,m_{k}}\lambda_{l} \\ &\oplus i_{k,m_{k}}e_{b}\oplus e_{f} \\ &= f_{a}\left(\vec{i_{1}},\cdots,\vec{i_{k}},\cdots,\vec{i_{n}}\right) \oplus i_{k,m_{k}}\lambda_{l} \oplus i_{k,m_{k}}e_{b} \oplus e_{f} \\ &= \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}\oplus\cdots\oplus\lambda_{l-1}\lambda_{l}\oplus\lambda_{l}i_{k,m_{k}} \\ &\oplus a_{1,1}i_{1,1}\oplus\cdots\oplus a_{1,j_{1}}i_{1,j_{1}} \\ &\vdots \\ &\oplus a_{k,m_{k+1}}i_{k,m_{k+1}}\oplus\cdots\oplus a_{k,l_{k}}i_{k,l_{k}} \\ &\oplus a_{k,m_{k+1}}i_{k,m_{k+1}}\oplus\cdots\oplus a_{k,l_{k}}i_{k,l_{k}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} f_{\hat{b}}(\vec{i_1},\cdots,(\vec{i_k},i_{k,m_k}),\cdots,\vec{i_n}) \\ &= (i_{k,m_k} \oplus 1) f_a(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_k},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) \\ &\oplus i_{k,m_k} (f_b(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_k},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) \oplus 1) \oplus e_g \\ &= f_a(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_k},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) \oplus i_{k,m_k} \lambda_l \oplus i_{k,m_k} e_b \\ &\oplus i_{k,m_k} \oplus e_g. \end{split}$$

Setting $i_{k,m_k} = \lambda_{l+1}$, $e_b = a_{k,m_k}$ and $e_g = e_b$, the above equations are corresponding to Eqs (2) and (3). Therefore the final result is obtained.

Theorem 6 Let $f_a(\cdot)$ and $f_b(\cdot)$ be functions of complementary arrays [a, b] of length $L = 2^l$ with dimension n. The mates [c, d] of [a, b] for complete complementary arrays can be produced by

$$\begin{aligned} f_c(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) &= f_a(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) \oplus \lambda_1 \oplus e_b, \\ f_d(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) &= f_a(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) \oplus \lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_l \oplus 1. \end{aligned}$$

where e_b is the given parameter in Eq.(3).

Proof: In Theorem 4, c and d are the reverse of b and the inversion of the reverse of a, respectively. Note that the reverse of $\vec{i_j}$ can be expressed by the inversion of $\vec{i_j}$, i.e.,

$$\vec{i_j}' = (i_{j,1} \oplus 1, i_{j,2} \oplus 1, \cdots, i_{j,l_j} \oplus 1).$$

Therefore these logic function can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} f_c(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) &= f_b(\vec{i_1}',\cdots,\vec{i_n}') \\ &= f_a(\vec{i_1}',\cdots,\vec{i_n}') \oplus (\lambda_l \oplus 1) \oplus e_b, \\ f_d(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) &= f_a(\vec{i_1}',\cdots,\vec{i_n}') \oplus 1. \end{aligned}$$

where e_b is the given parameter in Eq.(3). As well as the proof of Theorem 5 substituting Eq.(2) to the above equations, and arranging parameters give the final result.

Note that the given logic functions can provide a sequence generator, whch consists of a feed forward logic circuit derived from $f(\cdot)$ and binary counters generating the input vectors $\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}, \cdots, \vec{i_n}$.

Example 2 Let [a, b] be complementary arrays of length 4×2 with dimension 2. From Theorem 5, these logic functions are given as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_a(\vec{i_1},\vec{i_2}) &=& \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \oplus \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \\ & & \oplus a_{1,1} i_{1,1} \oplus a_{1,2} i_{1,2} \oplus a_{2,1} i_{2,1} \oplus e_a, \\ f_b(\vec{i_1},\vec{i_2}) &=& f_a(\vec{i_1},\vec{i_2}) \oplus \lambda_3 \oplus e_b, \end{array}$$

where $\vec{i_1} = (i_{1,1}, i_{1,2})$ and $\vec{i_2} = (i_{2,1})$. Let $\lambda_1 = i_{1,1}, \lambda_2 = i_{2,1}, \lambda_3 = i_{1,2}$ and $a_{1,1} = a_{1,2} = a_{2,1} = e_a = e_b = 0$. The logic functions of a and b are written as

$$\begin{aligned} f_a(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) &= i_{1,1}i_{2,1} \oplus i_{2,1}i_{1,2}, \\ f_b(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) &= f_a(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) \oplus i_{1,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from Theorem 6 the mate [c, d] of [a, b] for complete complementary arrays is also expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} f_c(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) &= f_a(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) \oplus i_{1,1}, \\ f_d(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) &= f_a(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) \oplus i_{1,1} \oplus i_{1,2} \oplus 1 \end{aligned}$$

Table I shows the truth table of the above logic functions.

TABLE I TRUTH TABLE OF LOGIC FUNCTIONS OF BINARY COMPLETE COMPLEMENTARY ARRAYS.

$i_{2,1}$	$i_{1,2}$	$i_{1,1}$	$f_a(\cdot)$	$f_b(\cdot)$	$f_c(\cdot)$	$f_d(\cdot)$
0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	1	0	1
1	1	0	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	0	1	1	1

Therefore the binary complementary arrays defined by

$$s_{i_1,i_2} = (-1)^{f_a(\vec{i_1},\vec{i_2})},$$

where s is a, b, c or d, are easily generated. Note that these are the complementary arrays of length 4×2 in Example 1.

Note that selection of the parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \{i_{1,1}, i_{1,1}, i_{2,1}\}$ $(\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \neq \lambda_3)$ and $a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{2,1}, e_a, e_b \in 0, 1$ can give a lot of different complementary arrays of length 4×2 .

So that, Theorems 5 and 6 can easily produce a lot of complementary arrays of length 2^{l} with dimension n, which include complementary sequences with n = 1.

Theorem 7 The number of complementary arrays of length 2^l with $l \ge 1$, is

$$#ab = 2^{l+2}l(l-1)(l-2)\cdots 1$$

Proof: For the logic function $f_a(\cdot)$ of Eq.(2), the number of different expressions of $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_{l-1} \lambda_l$ is l!/2, the number of patterns $(a_{1,1}, \cdots, a_{n,l_n}, e_a)$ is 2^{l+1} . Therefore, the number

of different sequences *a*'s produced by $f_a(\cdot)$ is $l!2^l$. Since the number of different *b*'s for *a*, which is produced by $f_b(\cdot)$ of Eq.(3), obeys the selection of λ_1 or λ_l and $e_b \in \{0, 1\}$, it is 2^2 . Therefore the final result is obtained. \Box

Note that dimension n is not related to the number of complementary arrays.

The number of different complementary arrays of length 2^{l} are listed in Table II.

TABLE II THE NUMBER OF DIFFERENT COMPLEMENTARY ARRAYS WITH LENGTH 2^{2m} phases.

1	m = 1	m = 2	m = 3	m = 4
1	8	64	512	2048
2	32	512	8192	$pprox 1.3 imes 10^5$
3	192	6144	$pprox 1.9 imes 10^5$	$pprox 6.2 imes 10^{6}$
4	1536	98304	$pprox 6.2 imes 10^5$	$\approx 4.1 \times 10^8$
5	15360	$\approx 1.9 \times 10^{6}$	$\approx 2.6 \times 10^8$	$pprox$ 3.2 $ imes$ 10 10
6	184320	$\approx 4.8 \times 10^7$	$\approx 1.2 \times 10^9$	$pprox$ 3.0 $ imes$ 10 12

V. POLYPHASE COMPLEMENTARY ARRAYS

This section clarifies polyphase complementary arrays with $M = 2^m$ phases, whose elements takes

$$\omega_M^k = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{M}\right) + j\sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{M}\right)$$

with $j = \sqrt{-1}$ and $0 \le k < m$. Especially quadriphase complementary arrays with elements $\{\pm 1, \pm j\}$ included in them, are interesting, because in general quadriphase sequences are practicable as well as binary ones.

The following theorems can be given without proofs, since these are similar to proofs of theorems for binary complementary arrays.

Theorem 8 If [a,b] be polyphase complementary arrays, $[\omega_M^k a, b]$ is also polyphase complementary arrays.

Complementary arrays of the same length can be derived from Theorems 1 and 8. For example, $[\omega_M^{k_1}a, \omega_M^{k_2}b]$ are also complementary arrays.

Theorem 9 If [a, b] be complementary arrays, the mate of complete complementary arrays [c, d] is given as

$$c_{i_1,\dots,i_n} = \omega_M^k b_{L_1-i_1-1,\dots,L_n-i_n-1}, \\ d_{i_1,\dots,i_n} = -\omega_M^k a_{L_1-i_1-1,\dots,L_n-i_n-1}.$$

Theorem 10 Logic functions of polyphase complementary arrays [a, b] of length $L = L_1 \cdots L_n = 2^l$ with dimension n and 2^m phases, which are mapping from V_2^m to integers modulo 2^m , can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n}} &= \omega_{M}^{f_{a}(\vec{i_{1}},\vec{i_{2}},\cdots,\vec{i_{n}})}, \\ b_{i_{1},i_{2},\cdots,i_{n}} &= \omega_{M}^{f_{b}(\vec{i_{1}},\vec{i_{2}},\cdots,\vec{i_{n}})}. \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a}(\vec{i_{1}}, \cdots, \vec{i_{n}}) &= 2^{m-1}(\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} \oplus \lambda_{2}\lambda_{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_{l-1}\lambda_{l} \\ &\oplus a_{1,1}^{1}i_{1,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{1,l_{1}}^{1}i_{1,l_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{n,l_{n}}^{1}i_{n,l_{n}} \oplus e_{a}^{1}) \\ &\oplus 2^{m-2}(a_{1,1}^{2}i_{1,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{1,l_{1}}^{2}i_{1,l_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{n,l_{n}}^{2}i_{n,l_{n}} \oplus e_{a}^{2}) \quad f_{b}(\vec{i_{1}}, \cdots \oplus a_{1,l_{n}}^{m}i_{n,l_{n}} \oplus e_{a}^{2}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\oplus 2^{0}(a_{1,1}^{m}i_{1,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{1,l_{1}}^{m}i_{1,l_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{n,l_{n}}^{m}i_{n,l_{n}} \oplus e_{a}^{m}), \end{aligned}$$

where \oplus denotes addition over modulo 2^m , $L_k = 2^{l_k}$, $l = l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_n$, $\vec{i_j} = (i_{j,1}, \cdots, i_{j,l_j})$, $\lambda_k (1 \le k \le l)$ is one of elements $\{i_{j,1}, \cdots, i_{j,l_j}\}$ of $\vec{i_j}(1 \le j \le n)$ with $\lambda_k \ne \lambda_m$ for $k \ne m, a_{1,1}^1, \cdots, a_{1,l_n}^m, \cdots, a_{n,l_n}^m, e_a^1, \cdots, e_a^m$ and e_b^1, \cdots, e_b^m are parameters to give different complementary arrays.

The mate [c, d] of [a, b] for complete complementary arrrays can be generated by

$$\begin{aligned} f_c(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) &= f_a(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) \\ &\oplus 2^{m-1}(\lambda_1\oplus e_b^1)\oplus 2^{m-2}e_c^2\cdots\oplus 2^{m-m}e_c^m, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_d(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) &= f_a(\vec{i_1},\cdots,\vec{i_n}) \\ \oplus 2^{m-1}(\lambda_1\oplus\lambda_l\oplus1) \oplus 2^{m-2}e_d^2\cdots\oplus 2^{m-m}e_d^m, \end{aligned}$$

where e_c^2, \dots, e_c^{m-1} and e_d^2, \dots, e_d^{m-1} are elements of $\{0, 1\}$ to give different complementary arrays.

Example 3 Let [a, b] be complementary arrays of length 4×2 with dimension 2. From Theorem 10, these logic functions are given as

$$\begin{array}{lll} f_a(\vec{i_1},\vec{i_2}) &=& 2(\lambda_1\lambda_2 \oplus \lambda_2\lambda_3 \\ & & \oplus a^1_{1,1}i_{1,1} \oplus a^1_{1,2}i_{1,2} \oplus a^1_{2,1}i_{2,1} \oplus e^1_a), \\ & & \oplus a^2_{1,1}i_{1,1} \oplus a^2_{1,2}i_{1,2} \oplus a^2_{2,1}i_{2,1} \oplus e^2_a \\ f_b(\vec{i_1},\vec{i_2}) &=& f_a(\vec{i_1},\vec{i_2}) \oplus 2(\lambda_3 \oplus e^1_b) \oplus e^2_b, \end{array}$$

where $\vec{i_1} = (i_{1,1}, i_{1,2})$ and $\vec{i_2} = (i_{2,1})$. Let $\lambda_1 = i_{1,1}, \lambda_2 = i_{2,1}, \lambda_3 = i_{1,2}$ and $a_{1,1}^1 = 1.a_{1,2}^1 = a_{2,1}^1 = 0$, $a_{1,1}^2 = 0.a_{1,2}^1 = a_{2,1}^1 = 1$, $e_a^1 = e_a^2 = e_b^1 = e_b^2 = 0$. The logic functions of a and b are written as

$$\begin{aligned} f_a(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) &= 2(i_{1,1}i_{2,1} \oplus i_{2,1}i_{1,2} \oplus i_{1,1}) \oplus i_{1,2} \oplus i_{2,1}, \\ f_b(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) &= f_a(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) \oplus 2i_{1,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the mate [c, d] of [a, b] for complete complementary arrays is also expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} f_c(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) &= f_a(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) \oplus i_{1,1}, \\ f_d(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) &= f_a(\vec{i_1}, \vec{i_2}) \oplus i_{1,1} \oplus i_{1,2} \oplus 1. \end{aligned}$$

Table III shows the truth table of the above logic functions of polyphase (quadri-phase) complementary arrays. Therefore polypahse complementary arrays defined by

$$_{i_1,i_2} = \exp(j\frac{\pi f_s(\vec{i_1},\vec{i_2})}{2}),$$

where s is a, b, c or d, are easily given as

s

$$\begin{bmatrix} a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & j & -j \\ j & j & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -j & j \\ j & j & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\left[c = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 1 & j & j \\ j & -j & 1 & -1 \end{array}\right), d = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} -1 & -1 & j & j \\ -j & j & 1 & -1 \end{array}\right)\right],$$

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS

Since these aperiodic auto-correlation functions $C_{aa}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ and $C_{bb}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ for $-3 \leq \tau_1 \leq 3, -1 \leq \tau_2 \leq 1$ are respectively expressed by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2j & 0 & 2j & 8 & -2j & 0 & -2j \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -2j & 0 & -2j & 8 & 2j & 0 & 2j \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ \end{pmatrix}.$$

the sum of them is written as

Note that selection of the parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \{i_{1,1}, i_{1,1}, i_{2,1}\}$ $(\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \neq \lambda_3)$ and $a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{2,1}, e_a, e_b \in 0, 1$ can give a lot of different complementary arrays of length 4×2 .

TABLE III TRUTH TABLE OF LOGIC FUNCTIONS OF POLYPHASE COMPLEMENTARY ARRAYS

$i_{2,1}$	$i_{1,2}$	$i_{1,1}$	$f_a(\cdot)$	$f_b(\cdot)$	$f_c(\cdot)$	$f_d(\cdot)$
0	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	1	2	2	0	2
0	1	0	1	3	1	1
0	1	1	3	1	1	1
1	0	0	1	1	1	3
1	0	1	1	1	3	1
1	1	0	0	2	0	0
1	1	1	0	2	2	2

Theorem 11 The number of polyphase complementary arrays with length 2^l and 2^m phases, is

$$#ab = 2^{ml+2m}l(l-1)(l-2)\cdots 1.$$

Note that the case of m = 1 is equal to Theorem 7.

The number of different complementary arrays with length 2^{l} and 2^{m} phases are listed in Table IV.

TABLE IV

The number of different complementary arrays of length 2^l .

1	# ab	1	# ab
1	8	9	$\approx 7.4 \times 10^8$
2	32	10	$pprox 1.5 imes 10^{10}$
3	192	11	$pprox 3.3 imes 10^{11}$
4	1536	12	$pprox 7.8 imes 10^{12}$
5	15360	13	$pprox 2.0 imes 10^{14}$
6	184320	14	$pprox 5.7 imes 10^{15}$
7	2580480	15	$pprox 1.7 imes 10^{17}$
8	$\approx 4.1 \times 10^7$	16	$\approx 5.5 \times 10^{18}$

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper has clarified the construction of complementary arrays with length $L = S2^{l}$ and the phase number 2^{m} with S = 1, 10, 26 and $m \ge 0$, which include complete complementary arrays. The functions generating complementary arrays with S = 1 have been formulated. It has been shown that these number relates to length and the phase number, but not the dimension.

The fact that the complementary arrays can be systematically derived from complementary sequences may be useful for extension to multi-dimension for the other families of complementary sequences. Complementary arrays will be used as secret information in the digital watermark for the copyright protection, because of possession of good characteristics, such that the number is a lot, and these aperiodic auto-correlation property is optimal.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Professor Noriyoshi Kuroyanagi of Cyubu University and Professor Naoki Suehiro of University of Tsukuba for their valuable discussion. This work is supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), 2056035,2010.

REFERENCES

- M.J.E. Golay, Complementary series, *IRE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol.IT*-7,1961, pp.82-87.
- [2] R. Turin, "Ambiguity Function of Complementary Sequences, IEEE Trans. on Inform. Theory, vol. IT-9,1963, pp. 46-47.
- [3] J.A. Davis and J. Jedwab, "Peak-to-mean power control in OFDM, Golay complementary sequences, and Reed-Muller codes," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory vol.* 45,1999, pp. 2397-2417.
- [4] P. Fan, and M. Darnell, Sequence Design for Communications Applications, Research studies Press LTD, 1997.
- [5] C.C. Tseng, C.L. Liu, Complementary sets of sequences, *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, vol.IT-18*, 1972, pp.644-652.
- [6] R. Sivaswamy, Multiphase complementary codes, IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, vol.IT-24, 1978, pp.546-552.
- [7] R.L. Flank, Polyphase complementary codes, *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, vol.IT-26, 1980, pp.641-647.
- [8] S. Matsufuji, Y. Tanada, N. Suehiro, N. Kuroyanagi, On quadriphase Complementary Pairs, *Proc. of ICACT2003*, 2003, pp.435-438.
- [9] S. Matsufuji, N. Suehiro, and N. Kuroyanagi, A Quadriphase Sequence Pair Whose Aperiodic Auto / Cross-Correlation Functions Take Pure Imaginary Values, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences, Vol.E82-A*, 1999, pp. 2771-2773.
- [10] N. Suehiro, Complete Complementary Codes Composed of N-multiple Shift Orthogonal Sequences, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences (in Japanese), Vol. J65-A*, 11, 1982, pp,1247-1253.
- [11] S. Matsufuji, Families of Sequence Pairs with Zero Correlation Zone, IEICE Trans. Fundamentals of Electronics, and Computer Sciences, Vol.E89-A, No.11, 2006, pp.3013-3017.
- [12] Shinya Matsufuji, Families of Sequence Pairs with Zero Correlation Zone, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals of Electronics, and Computer Sciences, Vol.E89-A, No.11,* 2006, pp.3013-3017.
- [13] H.D. Luke, Sets of One and Higher Dimensional Welti Codes and Complementary Codes, *IEEE Trans. Serospace and Electronic Systems*, *Vol.AES*-21, No.2, 1985, pp.170-179.
- [14] N. Suehiro, M. Hatori, N-shift cross-orthogonal sequences," IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, vol.IT-34, no. 1, 1988, pp.143-146.
- [15] N. Suehiro, N. Kuroyanagi, T. Imoto, and S. Matsufuji, Very Efficient Frequency Usage Systems using Convolutional Spread Time Signals Based on Complete Complementary Code," *Proc. of PIRMC*'2000, 2000, pp. 1567-1572.
- [16] P. Farkaš, M. Turcsány, Two-dimensional Orthogonal Complete Complementary Codes, Proc. of Sympotic03, 10, 2003, pp. 21-24.
- [17] M. Turcsány, P. Farkaš, New 2D-MC-DS-SS-CDMA Techiques based on Two-dimensional Orthogonal Complementary Codes, *Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, ISBN 1-4020-1837-1* 11, 2003.
- [18] R. Shigemitsu, S. Matsufuji, Y. Tanada, N. Kuroyanagi, Construction of Two Dimensional Complementary Pairs (in Japanese), *Technical Report* of *IEICE*, vol. WBS2003-78, 10,2003, pp.69-72.

- [19] H. Aminaga, Y. Tanada, T. Matsumoto, S. Matsufuji, A Correlation-Based Digital Watermarking Method Using Two-Dimensional Complementary Pairs (in Japanese), *Technical report of IEICE*, vol. WBS2003-79, 10,2003, pp.73-77.
- [20] F. Fiedler, J. Jedwab and M.G. Parker, A multi-dimensional approach to the construction and enumeration of Golay complementary sequences, J. Combinatorial Theory (Series A), vol. 115, 2008 pp. 753-776.
- [21] F. Fiedler, J. Jedwab and M.G. Parker, A framework for the construction of Golay sequences, *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, vol. 54, 2008, pp. 3114-3129.
- [22] J. Jedwab and M.G. Parker, Golay complementary array pairs, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography, vol.* 44, 2007, pp. 209-216.
- [23] S. Matsufuji, R. Shigemitsu, Y. Tanada, N. Kuroyanagi, Construction of Complementary Arrays, Proc. of Sympotic'04, 2004, pp.78-81.
- [24] S. Matsufuji, Y. Tanada, N. Suehiro, N. Kuroyanagi, On quadriphase Complementary Pairs," Proc. of the 5th ICACT 2003,2003, pp.435-438.
- [25] S. Matsufuj, T. Matsumoto, K. Funakoshi, Properties of Even-Shift Orthogonal Sequences, Proc. of IWSDA'07 2007, pp. 181-184.
- [26] Y. Tsuchiyama, S. Matsufuji , T. Matsumoto, Generalization of Even-Shift Orthogonal Sequences to Multi-Dimension, *Proc. of ITCCSCC-*2008, 2008, pp. 1425-1428.



Shinya Matsufuji graduated from the Department of Electronic Engineering at Fukuoka University in 1977. He received the Dr. Eng. in Computer Science and Communication Engineering from Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan in 1993. From 1977 to 1984, he was a technical official at Saga University, Saga, Japan. From 1984 to 2002, he was a research associate in the Department of Information Science at Saga University. From 2002 to 2006, he was an associate professor of the Department of Computer Science and Systems Engineering at Yamaguchi

University. Since 2006, he has been an associate professor of the Graduate School of Science and Engineering at Yamaguchi University. His current research interests include sequence design and spread spectrum systems. He is a member of IEEE, IEICE, SITA and WSEAS.



Takahiro Matsumoto received the B. Eng. and M. Eng. degrees in Information and Computer Science from Kagoshima University, Japan, in 1996 and 1998, respectively, and the Ph. D. degree in Eng. from Yamaguchi University, Japan, in 2007. From 1998 to 2007, he was a research associate of the Department of Computer Science and Systems Engineering at Yamaguchi University, Japan. Since 2007, he has been an assistant professor of the Graduate School of Science and Engineering at Yamaguchi University. His current research interests include

spread spectrum system and its applications. He is a member of IEICE of Japan and SITA of Japan.